2 <u>Stayman</u>

Stayman is a convention that uses 2. as an artificial bid to enquire about the 1NT opener's major suit holding. Opener's responses to Stayman 2. are: -

- $2 \blacklozenge = no 4 \text{ card major}$
- $2 \checkmark = 4 \text{ card } \checkmark \text{ suit, may have } 4 \bigstar$'s
- $2 \bigstar = 4 \text{ card } \bigstar \text{ suit, denies } 4 \checkmark \text{'s}$

Higher bids (e.g. 2NT) are not generally used, but see section 2.7.

Note also that if you allow 5 card majors in your opening 1NT then obviously the $2 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ response may be a 5 card suit.

The first thing that we have to consider when using Stayman is the high card points requirement. There are various versions of Stayman but the best (and most widely used – very important) is 'garbage' Stayman. So called because the Stayman bidder does not promise any points.

Once responder has bid 2*, Stayman, he has various options after opener's reply and everything is covered in this Stayman section: -

- 1) pass or correct to $2 \checkmark / \clubsuit$
- 2) invite to game in a major or NT
- 3) bid game in a major or NT
- 4) enquire further about opener's shape
- 5) ask about key cards/aces
- 6) look for slam via splinters, RKCB, Gerber and other means.

Weak Hands

Hand A	Hand B	How do you bid these hands if partner opens 1NT? If there was a points requirement then you would have to pass, even though you know that there is a better contract. Playing 'garbage' Stavman it is
 ▲ Q963 ♥ J763 ◆ J9852 ♣ - 	 ▲ Q9852 ♥ J763 ◆ J2 ♣ 74 	easy. With Hand A you bid $2 \clubsuit$ and pass any response from partner. And Hand B? You could transfer (more about transfers later) but if you transfer into \bigstar 's then you may miss a 4-4 \clubsuit fit. The way to bid weak hands that are 5-4 (or 4-5) in the majors is to use Stayman. You pass $2 \checkmark / \bigstar$ and convert a $2 \clubsuit$ response into your 5 card major.
Hand C	Hand D	These two hands are different. If you bid Stayman with Hand C then all is well if partner responds $2 \checkmark$, but not if the reply is $2 \diamondsuit / \bigstar$.
 ▲ J6 ♥ Q963 ♦ J95 ♣ J874 	 ▲ 74 ◆ J2 ◆ J763 ◆ J9852 	You cannot risk Stayman and so have to pass the 1NT opening. With Hand D it would be nice to be able to sign off in 2* but you cannot, 2* is Stayman. So again pass 1NT with this hand.

Invitational Hands

Hand E	Hand F		
 ▲ 975 ◆ K973 ◆ J2 ▲ A974 	 ▲ K973 ♥ A974 ◆ J2 ♥ 975 		
Hand G			

- ▲ K973
- ♥ 975
- ♦ J2
- ♣ A974

Strong Hands

Hand H	Hand J
▲ K975	▲ KQ73
♥ K973	♥ A74
♦ J2	♦ J2
♣ A97	♣ K975

Very Strong Hands

Hand K	Hand L	
▲ K975 ▲ K973	▲ AK3 ▲ K173	
 AJ AJ7 	 ♦ Q2 • KO52 	
₩ AJ/	• KQ32	

<u>No Major</u>

Hand M	Hand N
▲ K95	▲ Q32
♥ 1093	♥ K73
♦ AJ7	♦ J2
& A976	♣ KQJ52

Invitational hands present no problem. Here partner has opened 1NT. With Hand E you bid 2. If partner responds 2. then you invite game by bidding 3. If partner responds 2. then you invite game by bidding 2NT. Hand F is similar; you bid 2NT over a 2. response and raise 2. (6. to 3. (6.), invitational.

Hand G is slightly different. Again you bid $2 \clubsuit$ Stayman. If partner responds $2 \diamondsuit$ you reply 2NT. If partner responds $2 \bigstar$ then you invite with $3 \bigstar$. But if partner responds $2 \checkmark$ then he may also have $4 \bigstar$'s. No problem, you can still invite with 2NT as partner knows that you must have a 4 card major (thus \bigstar 's) in order to bid Stayman. If he also has $4 \bigstar$'s then he will correct to $3 \bigstar$ or $4 \bigstar$. Note, however, that playing 4-way transfers then this hand must bid $2 \bigstar$ at the second turn (instead of 2NT). This is explained in the section 2.2.

We also use Stayman with strong hands. These examples are good enough for game opposite a strong NT and we start off by looking for a 4-4 major suit fit, so 2. With Hand H if partner replies either $2 \lor$ or $2 \blacktriangle$ then we raise to game in that suit. With Hand J it is slightly more subtle. A $2 \bigstar$ response is raised to $4 \bigstar$ and $2 \bigstar$ receives 3NT. If partner bids $2 \lor$ then we bid 3NT; partner knows that we have $4 \bigstar$'s as otherwise we would not have bid Stayman but bid 3NT directly, so he converts to $4 \bigstar$ if he also has $4 \bigstar$'s.

Here we are definitely looking for slam opposite a strong NT opener. Again we use Stayman as a tool to find a fit. With Hand K we bid a small slam in the major if partner replies $2 \checkmark / \clubsuit$. After a $2 \blacklozenge$ reply you could try 6NT; but 4NT (quantitative) is probably best, without a fit you need a maximum partner. Hand L is most certainly worth slam – perhaps a grand, we start with Stayman. If partner responds $2 \blacklozenge / \clubsuit$ (denying $4 \checkmark$'s) then we should investigate a \clubsuit slam. This Hand J is dealt with in section 2.5.

Hand M is balanced with no 4 card major. There are the values for 3NT – so bid it. This hand responds 3NT directly.
Hand N again has the values for 3NT but has a good & suit.
2* is Stayman, 3* is defined in section 5.2 and is looking for slam. This is a good hand but not good enough to go slamming, so simply bid 3NT.

2.1 Denying a 4 card major

Many books will tell you that if partner opens 1NT and your shape is 4333 or 3433 then you should ignore Stayman and jump directly to 3NT if you have the values for game. *This is not one of those books*!

Hand A	Hand B	Hand C	Partner opens a strong NT (15-17), what do you do?
			Obviously you have the values to try game, but
▲ KQ53	▲ 74	▲ A107	Stayman or a direct 3NT? Now 'everybody' would
♥ KJ74	♥ A1053	♥ A1053	bid Stayman with Hand A – if there is a fit in either
♦ 64	♦ A1074	♦ J42	major that will be preferable to 3NT with this small
& 1094	& J94	& 1094	doubleton ♦. And Hand B? Again, use Stayman. If a 4-4 ♥
			fit exists, then $4 \mathbf{v}$ will normally be a far

superior contract to 3NT. But what about Hand C? A direct 3NT on this flat hand or look for the 4-4
 ♥ fit? This is from a club tournament and South opened 1NT, 15-17. North raised immediately to 3NT with Hand C. Is this the recommended bidding? I said no, the two players disagreed. Let's examine this all in a little more detail.

Now we all agree (I hope) that 4-4 major suit fits are usually better than 3NT, especially if one player has a weak doubleton. The argument for not bidding Stayman on Hand C is that it is totally flat – no ruffing values. I totally agree, no ruffing values in this hand - but what about partner? He has opened 1NT, promising a balanced 15-17. If he does not have a 4 (or 5!) card \checkmark suit then there is no problem with bidding Stayman (you end up in 3NT anyway). So, let's consider the case where partner does have a 4 card ♥ suit, is 3NT best? - very unlikely! The point is that although you do not have ruffing potential, partner may well have! Partner's most likely shape is (any order) 4432. If he shows 4 ♥'s then, with this shape, you almost certainly belong in $4 \bullet$, not 3NT. Partner will have a doubleton opposite one of your 3 card suits - when opponents have 8 cards in a suit then that spells trouble for a non-max 3NT. And what if partner also happens to be exactly 3433? Nowhere near so likely, but $4 \bullet$ is still probably the best spot! In this case you have three 6 card suits with the opponents on lead. It only needs one of them to be divided 5-2 (or worse) and 3NT is probably a disaster. The only case where it is preferable to play in 3NT rather than 4 of a major is when you have *ample* points (say 27+) and at least a double stop in every suit, even then, 4 of the major may be better. In this actual case you are nowhere near max for 3NT and both minor suits are suspect. Additionally, of course, if you are one of the enlightened pairs who may open 1NT with a 5 card major, then you will be the laughing stock of the club if you end up in 3NT missing a 5-4 ♥ fit!

Now if you change Hand C slightly, and swap the \checkmark A with the \clubsuit 4, thus having a very weak 4 card \checkmark suit, then I would agree that there is a case for forgetting Stayman. With this actual hand (4 ¹/₂ points in \checkmark 's) the strong \checkmark suit means that *all* the other suits *cannot* be adequately covered. Quite simply, a 4-4 fit will usually produce an extra trick and stops the rot of opponents running a suit.

The experts will continue to argue this for years to come (whether or not to bid Stayman when 4333 or 3433). Apart from all the arguments that I have put forward, two are undeniable: - (1) Partner may have a 5 card major and (2) Computer studies have shown that bidding Stayman has a higher success rate.

Never (or hardly ever) deny a 4 card major !

To satisfy the unbelievers, let's look at possible bidding sequences a little more closely: -

West	East	Example 1
▲ KQ63	▲ A74	You are playing a strong NT. Obviously you open 1 &, partner
♥ KJ74	♥ AQ53	replies $1 \checkmark$, you support with $2 \checkmark$ and partner raises to $4 \checkmark$. But
♦ 65	♦ J74	who was dealer? Makes no difference, the bidding is the same.
♣ KJ5	& Q94	Actually instead of the final $4 \mathbf{v}$, 3NT is a better bid just in case opener has
		supported on a 3 card suit; either way, the correct $4 \checkmark$ contract is easily

reached.

Now what happens if you are playing a weak NT? You open 1NT, partner bids Stayman and you again reach the simple $4 \mathbf{v}$. If East opens the bidding that is certainly the case, but what if West is dealer? There are players out there who would not bid Stayman with 4333 type shape and just game values! 3NT is the wrong contract.

West	East	Example 2
 ▲ KQ63 ♥ KJ74 ◆ K5 ♣ KJ5 	 ▲ A74 ♥ AQ53 ♦ A74 ♣ Q94 	Let's try a couple of strong NT openers. If you ignore Stayman then you reach 3NT making +2 if \bigstar 's fail to break 3-3. You always make 12 tricks in \checkmark 's. This shows (yet again) the power of a good 4-4 fit. Are there people out there who are trying to tell me that if East opens 1NT then they reach 4 \checkmark but if West opens 1NT they play in 3NT?
West	East	Example 3
 ▲ KJ ♥ Q764 ♦ KQ63 ♣ AJ4 	 ▲ AQ4 ♥ 9532 ◆ AJ4 ♣ KQ9 	So when does this policy of ignoring Stayman work? When you have an abundance of points (28 is a good norm) <i>and</i> all the side suits well covered. Often, the weakest suit is the 'trump suit'. Here, ten top tricks, maybe also a ♥ trick in 3NT. And if ♥ 's are trumps? Not so nice, you have to tackle ♥ 's if they are trumps and it does not play so nicely.

There – 'I told you so' shout all the 3NT leapers in unison – 'East should not bid Stayman on his 4333 shape'. Hogwash – it has little to do with being 4333. Consider what happens if East opens the bidding with 1NT. Presumably West then bids Stayman?

Something is wrong – you reach 3NT if West is dealer but $4 \checkmark$ when East is dealer? The answer is that deciding not to bid Stayman has little to do with being 4333, you must make the same decision if 4432! When the West hand opens 1NT in example 3, East could simply bid 3NT. And if East opens? - then West could simply bid 3NT. Being 4333 or 4432 is largely irrelevant to this decision to ignore Stayman, it is the quality of the 4-4 fit and having excellent cover in the outside suits that counts.

West	East	Example 4
 ▲ KJ92 ♥ Q104 ♦ AJ3 ♣ A64 	 ▲ AQ84 ♥ KJ2 ♦ KQ4 ♣ 732 	One more example, loads of points, so 3NT with 4333 shape? This deal illustrates what I have just said ideally. Partner (either!) opens 1NT. If you simply bid 3NT because you are 4333 then you will be in an inferior contract. Go for the 4-4 fit when you have decent trumps, especially if all outside suits are not well covered. The 4333 shape is a red herring.
East		Example 5
 ▲ K62 ♥ J732 ♦ AKQ ♣ Q73 		Partner opens a strong NT. Loads of points. Partner's 15-17 plus this 15 means 30-32. Worth looking for slam? NO. Even if there is a \mathbf{v} fit then there is no slam because of the poor quality of these \mathbf{v} 's. With this abundance of points outside the major, bid 3NT. Another way of looking at it is that this hand is not worth 15 points!
West ▲ AQ3 ♥ K8654 ◆ J8 ♣ AJ5	East ▲ K62 ♥ J732 ◆ AKQ ♣ Q73	But partner's 1NT may include a 5 card major! True, but in that case his suit quality is not so great (we open the major with good suits) and so even with a 5-4 fit 3NT may be best. Note that this decision to bid 3NT with ample points outside the weak major applies to 4432 as well as 4333 type hands. Of course not if the other 4 carder is the other major!
West	East	Example 6
 ▲ A1064 ♥ KQ84 ♦ AK4 ♣ 92 	 ▲ J952 ♥ A6 ♦ QJ2 ♣ AK64 	Even with weak trumps it may still be best to play in the 4-4 fit, here $6 \bigstar$ is a very reasonable contract but there are only 11 tricks in NT. Even if you replace the \bigstar 4 with a small \clubsuit so that the East hand is 4333, $6 \bigstar$ does not need \clubsuit 's behaving and is the best spot.
West	East	Example 7
 ▲ AQ3 ♥ KJ654 ◆ Q8 ♣ AJ5 	 ▲ KJ6 ♥ Q732 ♦ 1072 ♣ KQ3 	And look at this example. Many (most) players would choose to open 1NT with the West hand. East really would look pretty silly if he bids 3NT when opponents have 5 or 6 tricks off the top.

But there are always exceptions, and now we come onto something slightly different; we may have a 4-4 major suit fit but we have a long strong outside suit: -

West	East	Example 8
 ▲ A953 ♥ A765 ◆ AJ7 ♣ K9 	 ▲ J642 ♥ 32 ♦ 8 ♣ AQ8763 	West opens 1NT, what should East do? In these situations where the 4 card major is very weak and there is a source of tricks elsewhere it is often best to go for the nine trick 3NT game. I would raise 1NT directly to 3NT with this East hand.
West	East	Example 9
 ▲ A953 ♥ K84 ♦ AK4 ♣ Q82 	 ▲ J642 ◆ AQJ1096 ◆ Q ♣ 93 	And if the 4 card major is very weak and we have 6 excellent cards in the other major then that may well be the best strain. With this East hand I would transfer into \checkmark 's and then bid $4 \checkmark$ (well actually I would transfer to $4 \checkmark$ via a Texas Transfer – we cover these later).

I must emphasise here that ignoring the 4-4 fit is very rarely a good decision. It only applies when: -

- the other three suits are *well* covered (with at least 27-28 combined pts and with no obvious weakness) and usually only when the 'trump' suit is very poor or
- the 4 card major is very weak and you have a strong 6 card suit elsewhere or
- we are not strong/shapely enough to bid over partner's 1NT.

OK, so we virtually always bid Stayman when we have a 4 card major. But does the 2* Stayman bid guarantee a 4 card major? And what are the continuations by opener and responder after 1NT - 2* - ? that's what the next 80 or so pages are all about!

2.2 <u>Stayman When Using 4-way Transfers</u>

We have seen that when playing 'standard' Stayman then the 2.4 bid always promises at least one 4 card major. Later on we will be discussing 4-way Jacoby transfers and for the transfers to the minors we need, directly over a 1NT opening:-

```
2 \bigstar \quad (\text{transfer to } \texttt{*}'s) \qquad \qquad 2\text{NT} \quad (\text{transfer to } \texttt{*}'s)
```

No problem with the $2 \bigstar$ bid (it is redundant) but using 2NT as a transfer means that it is no longer available as the limit raise (8-9 pts) (without a 4 card major). This means that *all* limit raises have to go via Stayman, regardless of whether they contain a 4 card major or not.

So we have to clear up how 2NT can be used as a transfer as it is normally used as an invitational (8-9 pts) raise in NT. Simple, we simply bid 2* and then bid 2NT after partner's response to 'Stayman'. Thus, when playing these 4-way transfers, a 2* 'Stayman' bid no longer guarantees a 4 card major. Does this lead to difficulties and a 4-4 major suit ever being missed? No, let's have some examples -

Example 1	West	East	West	East	
 (1) Stayman, may have no 4 card major (2) invitational, 3 or less ▲ 's 	 ▲ J863 ♥ K64 ♦ AK63 ♣ AJ 	 ▲ A97 ♥ Q93 ♦ Q2 ♣ 109764 	1NT 2♠ pass	2 * 2NT	(1) (2)
Example 2	West	East	West	East	
 Stayman, may have no 4 card major invitational, may have any major suit holding 	 ▲ J86 ♥ K64 ♦ AK63 ♣ AJ3 	 ▲ A97 ♥ Q93 ♦ Q2 ♣ 109764 	1NT 2♦ pass	2 * 2NT	(1) (2)

So that all works fine, with no problems. The only area which needs some thought is when opener has both majors. In that case he obviously responds $2 \lor$, but a responder with $4 \blacktriangle$'s cannot now simply bid 2NT as opener will not know if he has $4 \bigstar$'s or not. A problem?

No. Holding an invitational hand responder should bid 2NT if he does not have $4 \blacktriangle$'s but bid $2 \bigstar$ if he does. Thus,

In the sequence, 1NT - 2 - 2 = 2 = 2, 2 promises a 4 card suit and invitational values. In the sequence, 1NT - 2 - 2 = 2NT, 2NT is invitational with no 4 card suit.

Note These invitational sequences are just one reason why opener should always respond 2♥ to Stayman when holding both majors. If he responds 2▲ and the bidding is 1NT - 2♣ - 2▲ - 2NT then opener has no idea if responder has 4♥'s or not.

Example 3

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ106	▲ K94	1NT	2*	
♥ AK64	♥ J97	2♥	2NT	(1)
♦ Q63	♦ J975	pass		
♣ J3	♣ A105			

(1) In 'standard', this bid promises an invitational hand with 4 ▲ 's and so opener may wish to retreat into 3 ▲. Playing 4-way transfers, this bid shows an invitational hand without a 4 card major. Opener passes the 2NT bid with this minimum. He would bid 3NT with a max whereas it would be 4 ▲ if not playing 4-way transfers.

Example 4

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ106	▲ K954	1NT	2♣	
♥ AK64	♥ J97	2♥	2 🛦	(1)
◆ Q63	♦ J75	pass ((2)	
♣ J3	& A105			

- (1) In 'standard' this particular sequence is up to partnership understanding. When not using transfers, the bid is often used to show an invitational (or slightly less, say 7-8 pts) hand with 5 ♠ 's. Since we can show that hand type using transfers we define a different meaning when using 4-way transfers: Playing 4-way transfers this shows an invitational hand with 4 ♠ 's. Opener will pass with a minimum and 4 ♠ 's, correct to 2NT with a minimum without 4 ♠ 's and bid the relevant game if holding a maximum.
- (2) West has a minimum, so passes the invitational $2 \blacktriangle$.

Being at the low level of 2 has other advantages. Sometimes a 4-3 fit may be preferable: -

Example 5

West	East	West	East
 ▲ AK6 ♥ Q9432 ♦ Q63 ♣ A3 	 ▲ Q754 ♥ 7 ♦ K75 ♣ K9642 	1NT 2♥ pass	2* 2*

In this example, $2 \bigstar$ is better than 2NT

Example 6

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ86	▲ KQ54	1NT	2*	
♥ AK64	♥ J97	2♥	3NT	(1)
♦ Q63	♦ A7	4 🛦	pass	
4 J3	• O1092			

(1) This is the same if playing 4-way transfers or standard. The jump to 3NT shows game values with 4
 ▲ 's. If East did not have 4 ▲ 's then he would have bid a direct 3NT.

<u>Recap</u>

Sequence A 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \diamond - 2 \diamond$ Sequence B 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \diamond - 2 \diamond$

Although seemingly similar, these sequences are totally different: -

With sequence A, $2 \bigstar$ is to play. Responder has a weak hand containing $4 \checkmark$'s and $5 \bigstar$'s. With sequence B, $2 \bigstar$ is invitational. Responder has an invitational hand containing $4 \bigstar$'s.

So the invitational sequences are: -

Sequence B	1NT	-	2*	-	2♥	-	2	is invitational, with a four card A suit
Sequence C	1NT	-	2♣	-	2♦	-	2NT	is invitational, may have 0,1 or 2 four card majors
Sequence D	1NT	-	2♣	-	2♥	-	2NT	is invitational, no four card major
Sequence E	1NT	-	2♣	-	2	-	2NT	is invitational, may have a four card ♥ suit

And obviously the following sequences are weak: -

Sequence F 1NT - $2 \clubsuit$ - $2 \checkmark$ - $2 \checkmark$ is weak, with $5 \checkmark$'s and $4 \blacktriangle$'s Sequence A 1NT - $2 \clubsuit$ - $2 \diamondsuit$ - $2 \bigstar$ is weak, with $4 \checkmark$'s and $5 \bigstar$'s

When we get on to discuss 5-5 major suited hands, we see that it is best to also use either sequence A or F with a very weak 5-5 hand. Basically, try Stayman and then bid the best 5 card major if there is no 5-4 fit.

<u>Note</u> Playing traditional methods Sequence B, 1NT - 2 - 2 - 2, is redundant. It is sometimes used to show a hand with 5 \wedge 's and 7-8 points which is not quite good enough to transfer and then invite. I guess that it's reasonable, but with no equivalent with a \vee suit it really is a luxury that we cannot afford as we need the bid to show our invitational hand with 4 \wedge 's.

Garbage or Crawling Stayman?

The Crawling Stayman convention seems to have some following these days so let's look at it: -

Crawling Stayman is an 'extension' of Garbage Stayman and is meant to be an improvement when responder has a weak hand containing both majors.

Hand A	Playing our Garbage Stayman you may have a problem with this particular					
	hand type. Y	ou start off with $2 \clubsuit$ but if partner replies $2 \blacklozenge$ then you are				
▲ Q8642	simply on a guess as to which major to retreat into. Crawling Stayman					
♥ Q8642	solved this di	lemma. After partner's 2♦ bid you bid 2♥ which, when				
♦ 76	crawling, shows both majors and opener then chooses the best suit.					
4 3						
	Excellent, so	our weak 5-5 hand is solved. But is this really excellent?				
Hand B	Hand C	No! This time consider one of these hands. We use our Garbage Stayman and bid 2.4 with both. If partner responds				
▲ Q864	▲ Q8642	2 then we bid our 5 card suit. Playing Crawling Stayman				
♥ Q8642	♥ Q864	this is not possible as a 2♥ bid promises 5-5. So with Hand B				
♦ 763	♦ 763	a Crawling Stayman player would have to transfer to ♥'s and				
* 3	* 3	possibly miss a 4-4 (or 4-5) \bigstar fit.				

So neither convention is perfect, but since hand type B is more frequent than hand type A let's keep everything upright and forget all about crawling.

I won't bother to go into it, but there are further extensions to Crawling Stayman which enable 8 card minor suit fits to be located at the 3 level. As I said, let's forget all about it.

Opener's bid after Responder's Invitational 2NT

In standard methods (playing a strong NT) responder raises 1NT to 2NT with 8-9 points and no four card major. Using 4-way transfers we go via Stayman, but we have seen that that's no problem. But what should opener do when responder has invited with 2NT? Clearly he usually bids 3NT or passes, but we can occasionally make use of the $3 \checkmark / \spadesuit$ (or even $3 \clubsuit / \spadesuit$) bids: -

If opener is going to accept the game invitation then he can bid a 5 card major just in case there is a 5-3 fit there.

Suppose that you open 1NT and the bidding goes 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 2NT - ? : -

Hand 1	Hand 2	Hand 3	Hand 4	Hand 5
▲ K86	▲ K86	♠ KJ6	▲ KJ64	▲ KJ64
♥ AJ1084	♥ AQ1094	♥ 108742	♥ AQ104	♥ AQ104
♦ KJ6	♦ KJ6	♦ AQ6	♦ Q96	♦ KJ9
♣ K3	♣ K3	♣ AQ	♣ K6	♣ K6

Hand 1: Pass, you do not have enough to accept the invitation.

- Hand 2: Bid 3♥. You have enough to accept the game invitation and should show your decent 5 card suit.
- Hand 3: You could bid a forcing $3 \checkmark$, but with all the honours outside the suit, I prefer 3NT.
- Hand 4: Pass, partner has denied $4 \bigstar$'s.
- Hand 5: Bid 3NT. The sequences $1NT 2 \clubsuit 2 \lor 2NT 3 \bigstar /4 \bigstar$ do not exist when playing 4-way transfers as the 2NT bid here by responder denies $4 \bigstar 3$.

And it's much the same if opener has a 5 card \bigstar suit and the bidding starts 1NT - 2 \bigstar - 2 \bigstar - 2NT; where a 3 \bigstar bid by opener shows a decent 5 card \bigstar suit.

And a similar situation applies when responder has a 4 card \bigstar suit and the bidding has gone 1NT - 2 \bigstar - 2 \checkmark - 2 \bigstar - ?. But this time if opener has 4 \bigstar 's then there is a fit there: -

- Hand 1: Bid 2NT. Again, you do not have enough to accept the invitation. If the *'s were weaker then passing 2 A and playing in the Moysian fit is a very real possibility.
- Hand 2: Bid 3♥. You again have enough to accept the game invitation and should show your decent 5 card suit. Partner's 4 card ▲ suit is irrelevant.
- Hand 3: Again, you could bid a forcing 3♥, but with all the honours outside the suit, I still prefer 3NT.
- Hand 4: Pass. You have a 4-4 A fit but you are minimum.
- Hand 5: Bid $4 \bigstar$, obviously.

And what would a $3 \bigstar$ bid by opener after $1NT - 2 \bigstar - 2 \checkmark - 2 \bigstar$ mean?

You could well use it as passing the buck, showing 4 card support but not sure whether to go to game or not. Quite plausible, but consider this hand: -

West	East	West	East
▲ AQ7	▲ KJ85	1NT	2*
♥ AQJ8	♥ K95	2♥	2
♦ 95	♦ 6432	3▲ (1)	4
♣ KJ97	♣ Q8	pass	

With a weak doubleton minor, West uses the $3 \bigstar$ bid at (1) to show decent 3 card \bigstar support and offering $4 \bigstar$ as an alternative contract to 3NT. Reverse East's minors and $4 \bigstar$ is still best.

Now consider this one: -

West	West	East	Partner's 2NT bid is invitational and denies
			$4 \bigstar$'s. We have game values but should we
▲ 95	1NT	2*	bid $3 \checkmark$ to try to play in a Moysian fit?
♥ AQJ8	2♥	2NT	No. A $3 \checkmark$ bid here shows $5 \checkmark$'s and, in any
♦ AQ7	?		case, the Moysian fit will not play well as it
♣ KJ97			is the long trump hand taking the A ruff.
			No, its best to take your chances in 3NT.

So the sequence 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 2NT - 3♥ promises a 5 card ♥ suit.

And what would a 3 ♠ bid by opener after 1NT - 2 ♣ - 2 ♥ - 2NT mean?

Could it be a hand 4-4 in the majors with a weak minor and suggesting the A Moysian fit?

West	West	East	Partner's 2NT bid is again invitational and denies
			$4 \bigstar$'s. We have game values but should we
▲ KJ97	1NT	2*	bid $3 \bigstar$ to try to play in a Moysian fit there?
♥ AQJ8	2♥	2NT	No. If there is a \blacklozenge problem it will be the hand
♦ 95	?		long in trumps that will be forced. It's best to take
♣ AQ7			your chances in 3NT and if you keep quiet about
			the ▲'s you may get a not unwelcome ▲ lead.

So the sequence 1NT - 2 - 2V - 2NT - 3 is undefined.

Now again consider		1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♥	- 2NT what would $3 \div$ or $3 \bigstar$ mean?
Hand 5	Hand 6	Partner has an With Hand 5 it	invitational hand with no 4 card major. seems prudent to bid $3 +$, to play. This will
▲ 86	▲ 86	almost certainly	be a safer contract than 2NT unless partner is
♥ AK64	♥ AK64	exactly 3352.	Hand 6 is similar and should bid $3 \bullet$ to play.
♦ K9	♦ AO873	j i i j i i i i	
♣ AQ873	♣ K9		
And after		1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♦	- 2NT what would 3♣ or 3♦ mean?
Hand 7	Hand 8	Partner again h a 4 card maior	as an invitational hand and may or may not have With Hand 7 it may be best to bid $3 + 10$ play.
▲ 86	▲ 06	With Hand 7 it	's not clear, but with Hand 8 it must be best to
¥ AO6	₩ ₹° ♥ K6	bid 3 ♦ now if	you had opened it with 1NT
◆ K97	▲ AO8743		
▲ A0873	▲ K97		
But after		1NT - 2♣ - 2♠	- 2NT things are different.
Hand 9	Hand 10	Partner again h he has a 4 card	as an invitational hand but we do not know if \checkmark suit or not. Bidding 3.4 with Hand 9 would
▲ AO64	▲ AO64	be silly if partne	er has 3442 shape. And it's much the same with
♥ 86	♥ 86	Hand 10. It's r	probably best to pass 2NT with these hands.
◆ K9	♦ AO873		
♣ AO873	• K9		
Summary:	1NT - 2 + - 2	2♥ - 2NT - 3♥,	
	1NT - 2 + - 2	2♠ - 2NT - 3♠	and
	1NT - 2 * - 2	2♥ - 2♠ - 3♥	show a decent 5 card suit and offer responder the choice of games.
And	1NT - 2 + - 2	2♥ - 2♠ - 3♠	is showing decent 3 card support
but	1NT - 2 * - 2	2♥ - 2NT - 3♠	is not required and is undefined.
			-
And	1NT - 2 * - 2	2♥ - 2NT - 3♣,	
	1NT - 2 * - 2	2♥ - 2NT - 3♦,	
	1NT - 2 * - 2	2♦ - 2NT - 3♣	and
	1NT - 2 & - 2	2♦ - 2NT - 3♦	are all weak, to play.
But	1NT - 2•	2▲ _ 2NT _ 3.•	and
Dui	$1NT_2 2 = 2$	2 = 2 = 2 = 3 = 3 = 3	are not required and are undefined
	11 11 - 4m - 4		

2.3 <u>The 3 ♣ /3 ♠ bids by responder after bidding Stayman</u>

In this section we discuss the situation where opener replies to Stayman with a major suit bid and responder then bids a minor at the 3 level. So the four sequences: -

1NT	-	2♣	-	2♥	-	3 ♣	1NT -	2♣	-	2♠	-	3+
1NT	-	2*	-	2♥	-	3♦	1NT -	2*	-	2	-	3♦

Four fairly similar sequences, a 3 level minor suit bid after Stayman had received a major suit reply. But what does this $3 \text{ }/\bullet$ bid mean? If you ask around then you will probably get any or all of the following: -

- 1) The $3 \neq 4$ bid is to play, saying nothing about majors.
- 2) The $3 \neq 4$ bid is natural, looking for slam, saying nothing about majors.
- 3) Natural, 4 card major & 5 card minor, game forcing.
- 4) Natural, 4 card major & 5 card minor, forcing for one round.
- 5) Natural, 4 card major & 4 card minor, seeking a 4-4 fit for slam.
- 6) Natural, invitational.
- 7) Natural, weak, 4 card major & 6 card minor.
- 8) $3 \blacklozenge$ is Stayman in Doubt (SID).
- 9) 3 sis Spring Stayman
- 10) A more sophisticated idea for both $3 \clubsuit$ and $3 \blacklozenge$.

Many non-steady partnerships will have never discussed this, so let's look at all the sensible alternatives (assume a strong NT throughout): -

1) The $3 \neq / \diamond$ bids are to play

Back in the days before transfers (to minors) it was not easy to play in a \pm contract with a very weak hand because $2 \pm$ is Stayman and $3 \pm$ a slam try. The solution was to first bid $2 \pm$ and then $3 \pm$ over any response. The $3 \pm$ bid simply showed $6 \pm$'s (probably no 4 card major) and was to play. These days we have transfers to the minors and so this meaning is redundant. Now that transfers to the majors are common (so $2 \pm$ is used as a transfer to \pm 's), the same situation applies in \pm 's.

So, no sensible use for our 4 sequences yet. Let's continue the search: -

2) The 3.4/4 bid is natural, looking for slam, saying nothing about majors.

This scheme is favoured by players who do not play 4-way transfers and who would like to play direct jumps to 3 A/ A as something special. The direct jumps to 3 A/ A are discussed in detail later. Anyway, we play transfers to the minors and so do not need these bids to show good minor suits.

3) Natural, 4 card major & 5 card minor, game forcing

This is the most popular use of the bids, but it is totally unsatisfactory!

Hand A	Hand B	Partner opens a strong NT. So bid Stayman and then your long
		minor if no major suit fit is immediately found? Certainly a
▲ 2	▲ AK98	plausible use for these sequences. But wait a minute, we will
♥ K984	♥ 8	learn later that a transfer followed by a new suit is game
♦ J3	♦ KQJ83	forcing. So we can bid both of these hands by transferring to
♣ AQJ763	\$ 872	the minor and then bidding the major. Game forcing.

Now bidding Stayman on these hand types is a popular treatment, but there are drawbacks. If LHO sticks his oar in and the opponents compete, then opener is left in the dark. You have bid 2.4 and that really means nothing – you could be weak, invitational, have a 4 card major or not etc. At least if you transfer to the minor partner knows something about your hand, and LHO is less likely to interfere over 2.4 /2NT. If you bid Stayman first then you have little chance of showing both suits if opponents intervene.

But my main objection to this treatment is that opener does not know which 4-card major responder has if opener responds $2 \blacklozenge$. Consider these examples: -

Example 1

West	East 1	East 2	West	East
▲ A4	▲ 75	▲ Q1087	1NT	2*
♥ KJ9	♥ Q1087	♥ 75	2♦	3*
♦ A962	♦ K7	♦ K7	? (1)	
♣ KJ76	♣ AO543	♣ AQ543		

So what does West bid at (1)? If East has Hand 2 then 3NT is fine, if East has Hand 1 then West wants to play in the Moysian \checkmark fit.

Example 2

West	East 3	East 4	West	East
▲ A4	▲ K5	▲ Q1087	1NT	2*
¥ KJ9	♥ Q1087	♥ 7	2♦	3+
♦ A962	♦ 7	♦ K5	3NT (1)	4
♣ KJ76	♣ AQ10543	♣ AQ10543	?	

Let's suppose that West tosses a coin and it comes down 3NT, so he bids 3NT at (1). This happens to be no problem as partner has a stronger hand this time and is looking for slam anyway. So East bids 4*, looking for a * slam; West would be delighted to accept if he knew that his *'s were working (East 3) but not opposite East 4.

Bidding Stayman unnecessarily gives the defence knowledge about opener's hand. If opener responds 2 or with the 'wrong' major then the defence has additional information about his hand. And you are no better off if you bid Stayman and a 4-4 major suit fit is found immediately. If opener also has a fit for responder's minor then there may well be a slam which is easier to find if responder had bid both of his suits: -

Example 3

West	East	West	East
▲ Q743	▲ K8	1NT	2*
♥ AQ74	♥ K963	2♥	4♥
♦ A3	♦ 84	pass	
♣ KJ8	& AQ764		

The \mathbf{v} fit is found immediately but East has no idea about the superb $\mathbf{*}$ fit and so quite reasonably simply bids game. An easy $6\mathbf{v}$ missed.

Example 4			
West	East	West	East
▲ AQ74	▲ K963	1NT	2*
♥ Q743	♥ K8	2♥	3*
♦ A3	♦ 84	3▲ (1)	4 ▲ (2)
♣ KJ8	♣ AQ764	pass	

This time West knows about East's two suits at (1) so he shows his \bigstar support, he cannot realistically do anything else as he has no idea if East is interested in slam or not. And at (2) East does not know about the great \clubsuit fit and again quite reasonably just bids game. Slam again missed.

We will see how easy it is to bid these last four example hands correctly when we come onto minor suit transfers, and in particular minor-major two suiters, in section 4.2

▲ K963	And one further point	. Consider this East hand	from example 4 but	slightly
--------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	----------

- ♥ Q8 stronger. Suppose that partner opens 1 ♥ (or 1 ♦), what do you respond?
- ♦ Q4 This is an analogous situation, you have a game forcing two-suiter opposite
- AQ764 partner's opener. The recommended bid is 2* followed by a forcing * bid. So why on earth would you want to do it the other way round when partner opens 1NT?

And, what's more, partner does not even know that it's a A suit when you bid Stayman!

No, these types of hands must be bid by transferring to the minor and then bidding the major, opener then knows both of responder's suits. Simple.

4) Natural, 4 card major & 5 card minor, forcing for one round

This is one answer that I got when I was asking about the sequence. I guess an invitational sequence? Since the 3♣/♦ bid is at the three level it is difficult to see how this is not game forcing. Makes no sense to me. We use the transfer to a minor sequences with strong hands and so this meaning does not exist. Let's look further for a *useful* purpose for these sequences: -

5) Natural, 4 card major & 4 card minor, seeking a 4-4 fit for slam.

Hand A	Hand B	Partner opens a strong NT and the hand is worth slam if (and probably only if) there is a 4-4 fit. So obviously start with		
▲ K92	▲ KJ52	Stayman and if no major fit materialises then bid your 4 card		
♥ KJ52	♥ K92	minor looking for the fit there. This obviously is the best use		
♦ AJ83	♦ K3	so far and is very sensible. But actually there are a couple of		
♣ K3	♣ AJ83	drawbacks. First, could this $3 4/4$ bid be a 5 card suit?		
Hand C	And sec one or t	condly how does responder bid if he has no 4 card major but wo 4 card minors, say Hand C? The problem is that responder has		
▲ K92	started	off by being the captain and finding something out about opener's		
♥ K3	shape, l	out by then bidding naturally he is passing some of the captaincy		
♦ AJ83	back to opener. As you will see later, we have a far better scheme whereby			
♣ KJ52	respond	ler can establish if opener has a 4 (or 5!) card minor(s).		

6) Natural, invitational

Why not transfer? If responder does not have a 4 card major but has a minor suit, then he can transfer into the minor or simply invite with 2NT (via 2.4 playing 4-way transfers). As we see later when we discuss 4-way transfers (specifically transfers to a minor) opener can show game interest when responder transfers to a minor.

Hand A	Hand B	With Hand A we simply invite with 2NT (via 2 & as we
		shall see later).
▲ K106	▲ 976	Hand B is similar, but with no real possibility of an entry
♥ 87	♥ J4	outside \blacklozenge 's it is best to transfer into \blacklozenge 's. If opener has
♦ K9876	♦ KQ8764	the Axx required to make 3NT then he will super-accept.
♣ Q42	♣ J2	We go through all of this later.

7) Natural, weak, 4 card major & 6 card minor

Hand A	Hand B	Partner opens a strong NT. Seems simple, look for the 4-4 fit
		and if it does not materialise then settle for 3 of the minor.
▲ 2	▲ Q984	Let's look a little deeper. With Hand A we have no problem, if
♥ Q984	♥ 2	partner bids $2 \bigstar / \bigstar$ then we bid $3 \clubsuit$; works fine if partner is
♦ J3	♦ J3	on the same wavelength. But then what about Hand B? You
♣ Q87642	& Q87642	may miss a 4-4 A fit. Now this scheme works fine (you may
		occasionally miss a 4-4 \bigstar fit) and is what I would recommend if these
		sequences were not needed elsewhere.

Oops, I've given it away – there is a really good use for both the $3 \clubsuit$ and the $3 \clubsuit$ bids in these sequences. So with these hand types, simply transfer into the minor.

8) 3. is Spring Stayman

With this convention, popular in France, the 3. bid is artificial and asks opener to define his hand; in particular the minor suits. This convention is, in fact, very similar to what we shall be using but has the disadvantage that the bidding may go above 3NT when there is no fit.

So what do we use these sequences for? The 3* bid is used to find out about opener's minor suit distribution, fully covered in section 2.5. The 3 bid agrees the major suit as trumps and enquires: -

9) 3 is Stayman in Doubt (SID)

Stayman in Doubt (SID) is a convention designed to ignore 4-4 major suit fits when both hands are 4333 (or 3433). When responder has one of these flat hands with game values and partner opens 1NT then responder bids $2 \clubsuit$ Stayman. If opener replies in responder's 4 card major then responder bids an artificial $3 \blacklozenge$ that says 'I am totally flat with 4 of your major, if you are also totally flat then bid 3NT'. This enables the contract to be 3NT when there is total duplication of shape. Now you need only to refer back to section 2.1 to see what I think of this philosophy. Even with total duplication in shape 4 of the major is usually best unless there are 28+ points with all three outside suits very well covered. However, this philosophy of $3 \blacklozenge$ to ask about opener's shape can be extended such that it is a really useful conventional bid; especially when investigating slam.

Let's call it Advanced Stayman in Doubt (ASID). It's fully described next.

10) <u>A more sophisticated idea for both 3 & and 3 ()</u>

We have just decided to use 3 \diamond as a shape/strength enquiry (ASID) when the major suit is 'agreed' as trumps. But what about that 3 \clubsuit bid? We shall use 3 \clubsuit to enquire more about opener's distribution, normally specifically looking for a minor suit fit. This is our form of minor suit Stayman. It is widely used in Holland and is superior to the French equivalent, Spring Stayman. More about it later.

2.4 <u>3♦ (after Stayman) - Advanced SID (ASID)</u>

So finally we are really going to define a meaning for the sequences

1NT - 2 - 2 = - 3 and 1NT - 2 - 2 = - 3.

The $3 \blacklozenge$ bid agrees trumps (but does not rule out 3NT as a final contract) and asks opener to further define his hand. Responder has 4 trumps but may be any shape (unlike the original SID convention). Responder is the captain. Opener's replies are: -

After	1N	NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 3♦ :-	after	1N	T - 2♣ - 2♠ - 3♦ :-
3♥	=	3433, min	3♥	=	doubleton ♥
3♠	=	doubleton 🛦	3♠	=	4333, min
3NT	=	3433, non min	3NT	=	4333, non min
4	=	doubleton 🐥	4*	=	doubleton 🐥
4♦	=	doubleton ♦	4♦	=	doubleton ♦
4♥	=	5 card ♥ suit			
			4♠	=	5 card A suit

Responder may then sign off in the appropriate contract or investigate slam. It is fairly logical to use a subsequent $4 \bigstar$ as RKCB in the \checkmark sequence and 4NT in the \bigstar sequence.

Obviously we need to elaborate on a few of the aspects. Let's start with the totally flat hand that is shown by 3 of the major or 3NT. All examples assume a strong NT: -

Example 1

West	East	West	East		
▲ K87	▲ A92	1NT	2*	(1)	ASID enquiry
♥ AJ43	♥ K952	2♥	3♦ (1)	(2)	3433, min
♦ K74	♦ Q95	3 ♥ (2)	pass (3)	(3)	good judgement
🜲 AJ4	\$ 963				

East establishes that West is minimum with totally duplicated distribution, so he stays out of game (neither 3NT nor $4 \checkmark$ is likely to be a success). Note that both East and West evaluate their hands as a minimum because of the flat shape.

Example 2

West	East	West	East		
♠ KQ8	▲ A92	1NT	2*	(1)	ASID enquiry
♥ K743	♥ J952	2♥	3♦ (1)	(2)	3433, max
♦ A74	♦ QJ5	3NT (2)	pass (3)		
♣ AJ4	♣ KQ6				

(3) With ample points and poor trumps, East elects to go for the NT game.

Example 3

West	East	West	East		
 ▲ Q8 ◆ A10743 ◆ A74 ▲ A12 	 ♦ 962 ♥ Q952 ♦ KQ5 ♦ KQ6 	1NT 2♥ 4♥ (2)	2 ♣ 3♦ (1) pass	(1) (2)	ASID enquiry 5 ♥'s
	w ngo	It really wou	uld be pretty silly t	to be in 3	3NT on this deal.

Example 4

West	East	West	East		
 ▲ KQ8 ♥ AJ74 ◆ Q4 ▲ A942 	 ▲ AJ6 ♥ Q952 ♦ 852 ♣ KQ6 	1NT 2♥ 4♦ (2) pass	2 ↓ 3♦ (1) 4♥	(1) (2)	ASID enquiry doubleton ♦

ASID is not only used for looking for the best possible game; if responder bids on over game, he is looking for slam (or he may simply bid slam): -

Example 5

West	East	West	East		
▲ KQ85 ♥ K074	▲ A6 ▲ AJ92	1NT 2♥	2 ♣ 3♦ (1)	(1) (2)	ASID enquiry doubleton •
 ♦ QJ4 ♣ Q2 	♦ K1093♣ A73	4 . (2) pass	6♥	(-)	

A reasonable slam on minimal values. Excellent on a non- & lead.

2.5 <u>3* (after Stayman) - Shape Asking Relays after Stayman (SARS)</u>

So this time we are <u>really</u> going to define a meaning for the sequences

1NT - 2♣ -	2♥ -	3.	and	1NT	-	2*	-	2	-	3♣
and, in additior	n, the h	itherto unmentioned s	sequence	1NT	-	2*	-	2♦	-	3•

2.5.1 <u>Minor Suit Shape Asking Relays</u>

We shall cover the latter sequence first, where opener has denied a 4 card major.

Hand A	Partner opens a strong NT. Obviously we try 2. Stayman, and opener
	replies 2 . So no major suit fit but you are still interested in slam, especially
▲ AJ108	if there is a 4-4 \blacklozenge fit. You could try 4NT, quantitative. Partner would then bid
♥ K3	any minor suit that he has, but the problem is that he may pass with a
♦ KQJ4	minimum 15-16 points and you still want to try slam. We need an asking bid
♣ KJ8	to enquire about partner's minor suit holdings.

After 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ , 3♣ asks: -

 $3 \blacklozenge = 5$ card minor (either \clubsuit 's or \blacklozenge 's), no 4 card minor.

 $3 \bullet = 4 \text{ card } \bullet$'s but not \bullet 's, so 3334

 $3 \bigstar = 4 \text{ card } \bigstar$'s but not \ s, so 3343

3NT = 4 card \bigstar 's and 4 card \bigstar 's, so 2344 or 3244. (2245 or 2254 is also possible if you open 1NT with these distributions, but see below).

Now with this 3. ask, partner is looking for a minor suit slam if there is a fit. So, actually, there is no problem with extending these replies when you have 9 cards in the minors. A possible extension is: -

 $4 \bigstar = 5 \text{ card } \bigstar' \text{s and } 4 \text{ card } \bigstar' \text{s, so } 2245$ $4 \bigstar = 5 \text{ card } \bigstar' \text{s and } 4 \text{ card } \bigstar' \text{s, so } 2254$

If you allow 6 card minors in your opening 1NT, then there is no way to show this. Bidding above 3NT is too dangerous as partner may only be interested in the other minor, so you have to treat a 6 card minor as a 5 carder in these replies.

Note that after a $3 \checkmark$ or $3 \clubsuit$ reply, responder knows that opener is exactly 3334 or 3343 resp. With the $3 \blacklozenge$ response, asker needs another relay to establish the 5 card suit: -

After 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \bigstar - 3 \bigstar - 3 \bigstar$, $3 \checkmark$ asks: -

 $3 \bigstar = 5 \text{ card } \bigstar's$ $3\text{NT} = 5 \text{ card } \bigstar's$

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ7 ♥ A42 ♦ A765 ♣ K94 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ K83 ♦ KQJ4 ♣ Q8 	1NT 2 ♦ 3 ♠ (2)	2 * 3 * (1) etc (3)	 (1) minor suit shape? (2) 4 ♦'s (3) East knows West is 3343 and bids on to 6♦.
Example 2				
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ4 ♥ A42 ♦ A7652 ♣ KJ 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ K83 ♦ KQJ4 ♣ Q8 	1NT 2 ◆ 3 ◆ (2) 3NT (4)	2 ♣ (1) 3 ♥ (3) etc (5)	 (1) minor suit shape? (2) a 5 card minor (3) which? (4) ◆'s (5) East bids on to 6♦ or 6NT.
Example 3		Sometimes then	re is no minor suit	fit: -
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ4 ♥ A42 ◆ A2 ♣ K7652 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ K83 ♦ KQJ4 ♣ Q8 	1NT 2 ♦ 3 ♦ (2) 3 ♠ (4) pass	2♣ 3♣ (1) 3♥ (3) 3NT (5)	 minor suit shape? a 5 card minor which? *'s wrong one

After the minor suit shape ask, a bid of 4 of a minor sets the trump suit. Since it is preferable to use something lower that 4NT as the key card ask with a minor suit, we use this bid to double up as RKCB. You could play Kickback or cue bid if you prefer but that would leave less room for quantitative bids as explained later.

Example 4

Example 1

West	East	West	East	
▲ KQ	▲ AJ108	1NT	2*	(1) minor suit shape?
♥ A62	♥ K83	2♦	3. (1)	(2) two 4 card minors
♦ A982	♦ KQJ4	3NT (2)	4♦ (3)	(3) \blacklozenge 's are trumps, RKCB
♣ A652	♣ K8	4 ♥ (4)	etc to 7♦	(4) 3 key cards

Example 5

As promised, East Hand L from the beginning of section 2.

West	East (L)	West	East	
▲ 976	▲ AK3	1NT	2*	(1) shape?
♥ AQ	♥ KJ73	2♦	3. (1)	(2) two 4 card minors
◆ AJ52	♦ Q3	3NT (2)	4. (3)	(3) setting trumps, RKCB
♣ AJ107	♣ KQ52	4 ♦ (4)	etc to 6.	(4) 3 key cards

As I said in the previous example, playing 4 of a minor at (3) to set trumps and as RKCB is very sensible.

Fit Showing Quantitatives

We have seen that it is advantageous to use 4 of the minor as RKCB. Then 4NT is obviously quantitative, but is there a minor suit fit or not? Responder knows this but opener may well need to know, especially if he fancies a minor suit slam if there is a fit.

The answer is to reserve a $4 \bigstar$ bid (and sometimes $4 \checkmark$) as further quantitative bids, similar to 4NT but stating that there is a fit. This is no problem as the bids would be cue bids otherwise and with minor suits as trumps it is better to have the RKCB bid at a low level.

So, basically, 4NT is quantitative with no fit and $4 \bigstar$ is quantitative but acknowledges a fit. In the situations, where opener has shown both minors, then $4 \clubsuit$ is used to indicate the \clubsuit fit and $4 \bigstar$ for the \blacklozenge fit. We can also extend the principle to indicate to opener what sort of fit

(4-4, 5-4, 5-3) we have. The complete scheme is as follows, where the spare bids may be used as cue bids or anything else you wish: -

1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 3♣ - 3♥ 3♠	is
3N7	is to play, no fit
(4 * 's) 4 *	is RKCB for & 's
4♦	is
4♥	is quantitative, indicating a 4-4 & fit
4 🔺	is quantitative, indicating a 4-5 & fit
4N7	is quantitative, no fit.
1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 3♣ - 3♠ 3NT	is to play, no fit
4 🌲	is
(4 ♦'s) 4♦	is RKCB for ♦'s
4♥	is quantitative, indicating a 4-4 ♦ fit
4 🔺	is quantitative, indicating a $4-5 \blacklozenge$ fit

4NT is quantitative, no fit.

1NT - 2♣ - 2 (4 ♣'s + 4 ♦	2◆ - 3♣ - 3NT 's)	4 ♣ 4 ♦ 4 ♥ 4 ♠ 4NT	is RKCE is RKCE is quantit is quantit is quantit	3 for $*$'s 3 for $*$'s ative, indicative, indicative, no f	cating a ♣ fit cating a ♦ fit it.	
1NT - 2 * - 2	2 - 3 - 3 - 3	3♥ - 3♠				
(5 * 's)			$3NT is t$ $4 \bigstar is 1$ $4 \bigstar is 0$	o play, no RKCB fo quantitative quantitative quantitative	fit r *'s e, indicating a 5-3 * fit e, indicating a 5-4 * fit e, no fit.	
1NT - 2 + - 2	2 - 3 - 3 - 3	3 ♥ - 3NT				
(5 ♦'s)			4 ♣ is 4 ♦ is 1 4 ♥ is c 4 ♠ is c 4 NT is c	RKCB fo quantitative quantitative quantitative	r \bigstar 's e, indicating a 5-3 \bigstar fit e, indicating a 5-4 \bigstar fit e, no fit.	
Example 6						
West	East	West	East			
▲ KQ7♥ A42	▲ AJ108♥ K83	1NT 2♦	2 * 3 *	(1)	(1) shape?(2) 4 ♦'s	

♦ A765 ♦ KQJ4 $3 \land (2)$ $4 \lor (3)$ (3) quantitative, $4-4 \blacklozenge$ fit ♣ K94 \clubsuit J8 4NT (4) pass

(4) With a flat minimum, West elects to play in 4NT.

Example 7				
West	East	West	East	
♠ KQ7	▲ AJ108	1NT	2.	(1) shape?
♥ AJ2	♥ K83	2♦	3. (1)	(2) 4 ♦'s
♦ A765	♦ Q8	3 (2)	4NT (3)	(3) quantitative, no fit
♣ K94	🜲 AQJ8	6NT (4)	pass	

Note that East needs a better hand to invite than he had in example 6 because there is no fit. West knows there is no fit, so East must have around 17 points to invite (with a lesser hand he would sign off with 3NT at (3)). With his max and top cards, West accepts at (4).

Example 8

West	East	West	East	
▲ Q72	▲ K984	1NT	2*	(1) shape?
♥ A72	♥ KJ	2♦	3. (1)	(2) a 5 card minor
♦ AQJ65	♦ K103	3♦ (2)	3♥ (3)	(3) which?
♣ K9	♣ AQ84	3NT (4)	4 ♥ (5)	(4) ♦'s
		6♦	pass	(5) quantitative, 5-3 fit

With just a 5-3 fit, East needs a good hand (good 16 or 17) to invite slam at (5). West has values to accept and the 5-3 fit is probably superior to 6NT. The mere fact that East has invited (rather than bid slam/RKCB) means that there are not points to spare and a decent fit is usually safer. Also, of course, West knows a great deal about East's shape. He has $3 \blacklozenge$'s and at least $4 \clubsuit$'s; it is quite likely that he is short in one major and there may be a ruff available.

Incidentally, West does not know that East has a 4 card major for sure. As we will see shortly responder also has to use similar SARS sequences starting with 2.4 when he is just interested in opener's minors.

Since the fit-showing quantitative bids are forcing, responder may use them to indicate the fit and then bid on over opener's reply. I won't bother to elaborate on this possible extension. Most of the time responder will either wish to use RKCB or to invite using a quantitative bid.

2.5.2 Shape Asking Relays after Stayman (SARS) – after a +ve Stayman response.

Here we cover the sequences when opener does have a 4 (perhaps 5) card major, i.e. :-

1NT	- 2	2♣ - 2♥ - 3♣	and	1NT	- 2	2• - 2• - 3•	
After	1N	T - 2♣ - 2♥ , 3♣ as	sks: -	After	1N	T - 2♣ - 2♠ ,	3 * asks:-
3♦	=	a 4 card ♣ or ♦ suit ((1)	3♦	=	a 4 card suit	(1)
3♥	=	a 5 card v suit		3♥	=	a 4 card 🜲 suit	(1)
3♠	=	a 4 card A suit		3♠	=	a 5 card 🛦 suit	
3NT	=	3433		3NT	=	4333	

(1) If you have opened 1NT on something like 4252 shape, then you can only indicate the long minor as a 4 card suit. Note that the responses in the \bigstar sequence are out of order; this is a slightly better method as an eventual \blacklozenge contract will be played by the 1NT opener.

The \checkmark sequence is not totally explicit and so we need another relay to establish the 4 card minor after a 3 \blacklozenge reply: -

After 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 3♣ - 3♦ , 3♥ asks:-

 $3 \bigstar = 4 \bigstar$'s or possibly 2425 $3NT = 4 \bigstar$'s or possibly 2452

Example 1

West	East	West	East	
▲ K76	▲ AJ98	1NT	2*	(1) shape?
♥ A962	♥ K8	2♥	3. (1)	(2) a 4 card minor
♦ A9	♦ KQ74	3♦ (2)	3 ♥ (3)	(3) which?
♣ KQ42	• A83	3 ▲ (4)	3NT	(4) ♣ 's
		pass		

With a \blacklozenge or \blacklozenge fit, East would be looking for slam.

Example 2				
West	East	West	East	
▲ A962	▲ K8	1NT	2*	(1) shape?
♥ K76	♥ AJ98	2	3. (1)	(2) 4 * 's
♦ A9	♦ KQ74	3 ♥ (2)	3NT	
♣ KQ42	♣ A83	pass		
		-	Again, no sla	m with no fit.

A Word About Kickback etc.

We have seen that there are times when it is advisable to use another bid other than 4NT as RKCB. Kickback uses the suit above trumps as the key card ask, so 4NT when \bigstar 's are trumps and 4 \bigstar when \blacklozenge 's are trumps etc. In our situation here we often want 4NT (and other bids) as quantitative, so we use Kickback for the majors and, in this situation, 4 of the minor as RKCB for the minor.

More Fit Showing Quantitatives

Again we have to define our RKCB and quantitative (with and without fit) bids. Let's assume that we play 4 of the minor as RKCB and Kickback as RKCB for the majors. 4NT, if available, is quantitative without a fit and the next free bid(s) below is (are) quantitative with a fit:

1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 3♣ - 3♥	3 ▲ is
	3NT is to play, no fit
(5 ♥ 's)	4 ♣ is
	4 \blacklozenge is quantitative, indicating a 5-3 \blacklozenge fit
	$4 \bullet$ is to play
	4 ▲ is RKCB (Kickback)
	4NT is quantitative, no fit.
1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♥ - 3♣ - 3♠	3NT is to play, no fit
	4♣ is quantitative, 4-4 ♠ fit
(4 ▲ 's)	$4 \blacklozenge$ is quantitative, no fit
	4♥ is to play (probably a 4-3 fit)
	4 ▲ is to play
	4NT is RKCB for A 's

Now the above may seem strange, why would responder use SARS if he has a 4 card \bigstar suit? The answer probably is that he also has a 4 card minor suit and is looking for a fit in either.

1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 3♣ - 3NT	pass	is to play, no fit
	4*	is RKCB for & 's (3-5 fit)
(3433)	4♦	is RKCB for \blacklozenge 's (3-5 fit)
	4♥	is quantitative, indicating a 3-5 & fit
	4♠	is quantitative, indicating a $3-5 \blacklozenge$ fit
	4NT	is quantitative, no fit
1NT - 2 \$ - 2 \$ - 3 \$ - 3 \$ - 3 \$ - 3 \$	3NT	is to play, no fit
	4*	is RKCB for & 's
(4 ♣'s)	4♦	
	4♥	is to play (probably a 4-3 fit)
	4	is quantitative, indicating a 🐥 fit
	4NT	is quantitative, no fit

1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♥ - 3♣ - 3♦ - 3♥ - 3NT (4 ♦'s)	 pass is to play, no fit 4 ♣ is 4 ♠ is RKCB for ♦'s 4 ♥ is to play (probably a 4-3 fit) 4 ♠ is quantitative, indicating a ♦ fit 4NT is quantitative, no fit
1NT - 2 ♣ - 2 ♠ - 3 ♣ - 3 ♦ (4 ♦'s)	 3 ★ is 3 ★ is 3 NT is to play, no fit 4 ★ is 4 ★ is RKCB for ★'s 4 ★ is quantitative, indicating a ★ fit 4 ★ is to play (probably a 4-3 fit) 4 NT is quantitative, no fit
1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 3♣ - 3♥ (4♣'s)	 3▲ is 3NT is to play, no fit 4♣ is RKCB for ♣'s 4♦ is 4♥ is quantitative, indicating a ♣ fit 4▲ is to play (probably a 4-3 fit) 4NT is quantitative, no fit
1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 3♣ - 3♠ (5 ♠'s)	 3NT is to play, no fit 4 is 4 is quantitative, indicating a 5-3 ▲ fit 4 is quantitative, no fit 4 is to play 4NT is RKCB for ▲'s
1NT - 2 ♣ - 2 ♠ - 3 ♣ - 3NT (4333)	 pass is to play, no fit 4 is RKCB for *'s (3-5 fit) 4 is RKCB for *'s (3-5 fit) 4 is quantitative, indicating a 3-5 * fit 4 is quantitative, indicating a 3-5 * fit 4 mT is quantitative, no fit

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ76 ▼ A962 ◆ A92 ♣ K2 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ K8 ♦ KQJ4 ♣ A83 	1NT 2♥ 3▲ (2) etc to 7▲	2 * 3 * (1) 4NT (3) etc	 (1) shape? (2) 4 ▲'s (3) RKCB
Example 4				
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ6 ♥ A962 ♦ A962 ♣ K2 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ K8 ♦ KQJ4 ▲ A83 	1NT 2♥ 3♦ (2) 3NT (4) etc to 7♦.	2 ♣ 3 ♣ (1) 3 ♥ (3) 4 ♦ (5)	 (1) shape? (2) a 4 card minor (3) which? (4) ◆'s (5) RKCB

Sometimes you may not be looking for slam, but just the best game: -

Example 5

Example 3

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ KQ6 ♥ AQ962 ♦ Q62 ♣ Q7 	 ▲ AJ108 ♥ KJ3 ♦ KJ94 ♣ 98 	1NT 2♥ 3♥ (2) pass	2∗ 3∗ (1) 4♥	(1) shape?(2) 5 ♥'s

SARS is not just used to establish a fit, it is also uncovers possible weakness (shortage) in a possible NT contract: -

Example 6

West	East	West	East	
				(1) shape?
♠ KQ6	▲ AJ108	1NT	2*	(2) a 4 card minor
♥ AQ96	♥ KJ3	2♥	3. (1)	(3) which?
♦ Q652	♦ KJ94	3♦ (2)	3 ♥ (3)	(4) ♦'s
♣ Q7	\$ 98	3NT (4)	4 ♥ (5)	(5) with a & weakness, East
		pass		goes for the Moysian fit .

Note that East cannot use $4 \checkmark$ as a quantitative bid here as it is needed to sign off.

The following example is from a recent (2004) club competition. A hopeless 6NT was reached at 7 of the 9 tables where it was played. Let's have a look at how we handle it using SARS: -

Example 7

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ92	▲ Q8	1NT	2*	(1) shape?
♥ AK103	♥ J7	2♥	3. (1)	(2) 4 ♠'s
♦ A93	♦ KQJ42	3♠ (2)	3NT (3)	
* 109	♣ AQ42	pass		

East has a slam invitational hand, and would investigate slam if a 5-4 or 4-4 minor suit fit was found. With no such fit there is no slam (5-3 is probably not good enough), so a quiet 3NT at (3) is very prudent. An invitational 4NT ($4 \diamond$ in our system) would be an overbid at (3) with no fit. Even if East did overbid with a quantitative 4NT ($4 \diamond$), West, with a complete mis-fit and little in the way of minor suit honours, should pass (bid 4NT).

Another way of bidding this hand is to transfer into \blacklozenge 's and then bid \clubsuit 's (we cover this later) but you are then at the 4. level and I would prefer a stronger and more shapely hand. I would only look for slam if there is a 4-4 \clubsuit or 5-4 \blacklozenge fit, and the way to discover that is via SARS.

So, we don't actually need a 4 card major to bid SARS. More of this in the next section.

<u>Summary</u>

It is fairly plain that SARS and the fit Showing quantitatives work very well. We keep the RKCB bid at or below the Kickback level and the Fit Showing quantitatives fill up most of the remaining bids admirably, but are there any drawbacks?

The Down Side?

Just one really. We frequently use 4-of-the-minor as RKCB and that is very sensible as it is just one bid below the safe Kickback threshold, but occasionally we will thus be bidding RKCB with an outside weak suit (not a recommended practice).

This certainly is a negative factor, but most of the time it will work out OK and there is no guarantee that an alternative approach (cue bidding) will work out any better as you are already rather high. I believe that the gains made by using RKCB at a low level and the gains from using Fit Showing Quantitatives more than outweigh any negative results form occasionally using Blackwood with a weak suit. And remember, the Blackwood bidder is usually responder and partner has opened a strong NT and so usually has a holding in the weak suit.

Basically, you cannot have everything. If you wish your RKCB bids to be at or below the Kickback level then you may occasionally have to bid RKCB with a weak suit outside.

2.5.3 <u>Minor Suit Stayman.</u>

There are various versions of minor suit Stayman. Perhaps the most common is $2 \clubsuit$ as this bid is redundant when you play major suit Jacoby transfers. Let's just have a look at this $2 \clubsuit$ as minor suit Stayman; opener responds 2NT with no 4 card minor and $3 \clubsuit/\clubsuit$ holding a 4 card minor (if both, he bids his best one?). Woefully inadequate! There is no mechanism to show both minors and what if opener has a 5 card minor? Yet this is the choice of many experts! I think that we can certainly find something far better! Read on.

Another, somewhat antiquated, but more accurate version is the Sharples 4*/ after Stayman. We, however, wish to retain the traditional 4* Gerber bid and so we utilise our 3* asking after Stayman (SARS) as a substitute for Minor Suit Stayman. Thus our original 2* bid may not contain a 4 card major if we subsequently bid 3*.

Actually, our SARS scheme is a definite improvement on 2 A Minor Suit Stayman as it allows us to find minor suit fits after trying (and failing) to find a major suit fit. Also, SARS enables us to establish partner's shape much more closely.

Bidding Stayman and subsequent Shape Asking Relays with no 4 card Major

Hand A	Partner opens a strong NT. You want to be in slam, preferably in a minor sui if there is a fit. 4NT, quantitative, would find the fit, but only if partner does								
▲ AJ8	not pass. V	not pass. With this slam forcing hand we need to have some form of Minor							
♥ K3	Suit Stayn	Suit Stayman. The solution? Bid 2. anyway and then ask about partner's							
♦ KQJ4 ♣ KJ84	shape by t	oidding 3 🌲 next	turn.						
Example A.1									
West	Fast	West	Fast						

W CSt	Last	W OSt	Lust	
♠ KQ7	▲ AJ8	1NT	2*	(1) minor suit shape?
♥ A42	♥ K3	2♦	3♣ (1)	(2) 4 ♦'s, so 3343
♦ A1065	♦ KQJ4	3▲ (2)	etc. (3)	(3) and onwards to $6 \blacklozenge$.
♣ A95	♣ KJ84			

No problem. There is also no problem if opener does have a 4 card major, we simply employ the Shape Asking Relay. Partner may assume that we have the other major, but that does not matter, we are the Captain: -

Example A.2

West	East	West	East	
▲ K1072	▲ AJ8 ₩ K3	1NT 2▲	2 * 3 * (1)	(1) shape? (2) $4 \bigstar$'s
◆ A1065	♦ KQJ4	3 ♦ (2)	etc (3)	(2) $\overrightarrow{\bullet}$ (3) with the fit established,
♣ A9	🜲 KJ84			East bids on to $6 \blacklozenge$.

After a 3 shape ask, asker often finds a fit; and if there is or is not a minor suit fit this is always established below the level of 3NT (a big advantage over Sharples 4 /). When no fit is found, then asker can always sign off in 3NT. A bid of the minor shown agrees trumps and is best used as RKCB. 4NT is invitational, and we have our Fit Showing Quantitatives to indicate if there is a fit or not.

Obviously this knowledge of whether there is a fit is extremely useful for opener in deciding if he should push on or not.

Now quite a lot of new stuff here and it certainly needs clarifying with examples.

Hand B	I came across this hand in a British magazine's bidding quiz. You were asked the correct bid after partner had opened 1NT. Now actually the problem
▲ J4	involved a weak NT opening (12-14) and so I have adjusted this hand slightly
♥ K73	by 3 points (the \blacktriangle J was the \bigstar A) so that now partner opens a strong NT (15-17).
♦ AQ42	What do you do? The recommended bid was 3NT. The author stating that
♣ KQ76	'You have a balanced hand with no four card major, and therefore little prospect of playing in anything other than a no-trump contract. You are very strong, but do you have enough for slam?'. I have adjusted the author's comments for a strong NT opening:

To be fair, the system used was not sophisticated, with no mechanism for finding a 4-4 minor suit other than a quantitative 4NT, which may be too high. We however, can do much better than the recommended 3NT bid as we can establish any minor suit fit below 3NT.

West	East	This was the complete hand shown in the solutions. The
		recommended bidding being $1NT - 3NT - pass$.
▲ A7	▲ J4	The author went on to say 'With balanced hands you should
♥ AQJ2	♥ K73	aim for 33 points to be able to make a small slam. You have 15
♦ KJ105	♦ AQ42	and your partner's maximum is 17, so your maximum combined
♣ J105	♣ KQ76	total is 32: not usually enough for a slam. Settle for 3NT.'

As I said, the bidding quiz scenario had a limited bidding system, so this statement may be true in context; but it is not true if you have our more sophisticated system to find 4-4 minor suit fits below the level of 3NT.

Finally, the author adds ' In general, if you have a balanced hand you need 16 points to have a real chance to make slam in no-trumps after your partner has opened a strong NT. On this hand, your limit is surprisingly just 9 tricks after the \bigstar lead'. Probably true, but why not investigate a minor suit slam if you have the tools! 6 \bigstar is an excellent contract, requiring only a 3-2 trump break (+ chances if they are 4-1).

Let's use this Hand B in all of the following examples and see if we can get to the correct contract depending upon opener's shape and strength.

We start with the actual hand (the A J and A are interchanged from the original weak NT deal): -

Example B.1

West	East	West	East	
				(1) 15-17
▲ A7	▲ J4	1NT (1)	2*	(2) shape?
♥ AQJ2	♥ K73	2♥	3 (2)	(3) 4 card \clubsuit or \blacklozenge
♦ KJ105	♦ AQ42	3♦ (3)	3 ♥ (4)	(4) which?
♣ J105	♣ KQ76	3NT (5)	4♠ (6)	(5) ♦ 's
		6♦ (7)	pass	(6) quantitative, \blacklozenge fit

(7) With a near maximum, superb trumps, decent shape and good intermediates; West has no problem in accepting the invitation.

Example	B.2
---------	-----

West	East	West	East	
				(1) 15-17
▲ A7	▲ J4	1NT (1)	2*	(2) shape?
♥ AQ92	♥ K73	2♥	3 • (2)	(3) 4 card \clubsuit or \blacklozenge
♦ KJ85	♦ AQ42	3♦ (3)	3 ♥ (4)	(4) which?
& J52	♣ KQ76	3NT (5)	4♠ (6)	(5) ♦'s
		5 ♦ (7)	pass	(6) quantitative, \blacklozenge fit

(7) With a bare minimum, West cannot accept the slam invitation. Because of his poor holding in both black suits, he elects for $5 \blacklozenge$ instead of 4NT.

Note that 3NT probably will not even make if the ♥'s fail to split.

Example B.3 East West East West (1) 15-17 ▲ A987 **▲** J4 1NT (1) (2) shape? 2♣ ♥ K73 ♥ AQJ2 2♥ 3. (2) (3) 4 card ♦ KJ5 ◆ AQ42 (3) 3NT (4) 3♠ ♣ J5 **♣** KQ76 pass

(4) With no fit, the East hand is not worth an invitation.

Perhaps a v game is the best contract: -

Example B.4

West	East	West	East	
				(1) 15-17
▲ A7	▲ J4	1NT (1)	2*	(2) shape?
♥ AQ982	♥ K73	2♥	3 4 (2)	(3) 5 ♥ 's
♦ KJ5	♦ AQ42	3 ♥ (3)	4♦ (4)	(4) quantitative, 5-3 ♥ fit
& J85	♣ KQ76	4♥	pass	

And there may be a slam in \checkmark 's: -

Example B.5

East	West	East	
			(1) 15-17
▲ J4	1NT (1)	2*	(2) shape?
♥ K73	2♥	3 (2)	(3) 5 ♥ 's
♦ AQ42	3 ♥ (3)	4♦ (4)	(4) quantitative, $5-3 \checkmark \text{fit}$
♣ KQ76	6♥	pass	
	East ▲ J4 ♥ K73 ◆ AQ42 ♣ KQ76	East West ▲ J4 1NT (1) ♥ K73 2♥ ▲ AQ42 3♥ (3) ♣ KQ76 6♥	EastWestEast \bigstar J41NT (1)2 \bigstar \checkmark K732 \checkmark 3 \bigstar (2) \bigstar AQ423 \checkmark (3)4 \bigstar (4) \bigstar KQ766 \checkmark pass

And *'s may be just as good a suit for slam: -

Example B.6

West	East	West	East	
				(1) 15-17
▲ A72	▲ J4	1NT (1)	2+	(2) shape?
♥ AQ82	♥ K73	2♥	3 (2)	(3) a 4 card minor
♦ K7	♦ AQ42	3♦ (3)	3 ♥ (4)	(4) which
♣ A1052	♣ KQ76	3 (5)	4 ▲ (6)	(5) * 's
		6*	pass	(6) quantitative, 🜲 fit

All in all, I think that there is more to this East hand than just selling out in 3NT (which may not even make). Don't you agree? So don't believe everything that you read in the magazines.

The bottom lines: -

Look for a fit. We really do need a good mechanism for finding slams with a fit and this concept of the Fit Showing Quantitatives really does improve slam bidding, especially with slam invitational hands.

Invitational slam bidding is an area that is grossly overlooked in modern bidding theory. One is more likely to hold a slam invitational hand than one that definitely wants to go slamming – think about it.

Minor suit slams are often overlooked in favour of less secure 6NT contracts. Perhaps a negative effect of matchpoint (pairs) scoring? It's usually best to go for the safer contract.

Points are important, of course, but the value of a fit is underestimated. The following example shows that even an excellent 17 count is not good enough opposite a respectable strong NT opener if there is no fit.

For this final example, we'll improve the East hand slightly so that it's certainly looking for slam, but have a West hand with no fit.

Example B.7

West	East	West	East	
				(1) 15-17
▲ A973	▲ J4	1NT (1)	2*	(2) shape?
♥ AQJ2	♥ K73	2♥	3. (2)	(3) 4 card ▲
♦ KJ	♦ AQ42	3 (3)	4♦ (4)	(4) quantitative, no fit
& J95	♣ AK76	4NT	pass	

East has a maximal invitation (some would jump straight in). West has a decent hand, but with no fit there is no slam.

2.6 <u>Responder has 5-4, 4-5, 6-4 or 4-6 in the Majors.</u>

And now for something completely different. Partner opens 1NT and we have 9 cards in the majors (5-4 or 4-5) or 10 cards (6-4 or 4-6).

Hand A	We have covered the weak 5-4 (or 4-5) hands already, just to recap: -			
	With this 'garbage' hand you just want to play in a better spot than 1NT. So			
▲ Q9652	transfer and get partner playing in $2 \bigstar$? That would often be fine, but it may just			
♥ Q854	be that partner has 4 (or even 5) ♥'s and you miss a 4-4 ♥ fit. So best to bid 2♣			
♦ 93	and then pass a $2 \checkmark$ or $2 \blacktriangle$ bid but convert $2 \blacklozenge$ to $2 \blacktriangle$. Thus the Stayman sequence: -			
* 76				
	1NT - $2 \clubsuit - 2 \blacklozenge - 2 \lor$ shows a weak hand with $5 \lor$'s & $4 \clubsuit$'s and is drop dead.			
	1NT - $2 \div - 2 \bigstar$ shows a weak hand with $5 \bigstar$'s & $4 \checkmark$'s and is drop dead.			

And how about if you are 64 or 46 in the majors and weak? There are two options here; you can bid Stayman and then the 6-carder if opener replies $2 \blacklozenge$. The other, perhaps preferable, option is to simply transfer into the 6 card suit.

Hand B	Hand C	Partner opens 1NT.
▲ K842	▲ KJ8542	Some would bid 2. with both of these hands. Others would
♥ Q98542	♥ J982	transfer into the 6 card suit. Yet others would bid Stayman with
♦ 105	♦ 105	Hand B and transfer with Hand C because of the weaker \checkmark 's.
* 2	* 2	And me? I would always look for the 4-4 fit, but that is my 'thing'.
		However, transferring may well work out best as the strong NT hand will
		always be declarer. It's up to you and does not really matter too much.

Example_

Dealer:	▲ K842		West	North	East	South
South	♥ Q98542					
Both vul	♦ 105		-	-	-	1NT
	* 2		pass	2*	pass	2
			pass	pass	pass	
▲ J73	Ν	▲ 96				
♥ K3	W E	♥ AJ10				
♦ K98	S	♦ Q763				
♣ Q10543		♣ K876				
	▲ AQ105					
	♥ 76		2♠ proł	bably plays b	etter than 2	♥, but then I
	♦ AJ42		guess that it's just as easy to construct hands			
	& AJ9		where 2	 plays bett 	er.	
Hand D	Take this example, with very weak \checkmark 's and a robust \blacklozenge suit it is surely best to transfer into \blacklozenge 's and pass. We cover transfers later.					
--	---					
 ▲ QJ10852 ♥ J872 ♦ 74 ♣ 9 	1					
Hand E	It's similar with an invitational hand. Here the \bigstar 's are far better than the \forall 's and so it will normally work out best to transfer into \bigstar 's and then invite with					
 ▲ KQ10852 ♥ J872 ◆ J4 ♣ 9 	3▲.					
Hand F	And the same with a game going hand. This hand should simply transfer into \bigstar 's. We can transfer into $4 \bigstar$ directly with a Texas transfer when we have no					
 ▲ KQ10852 ♥ J872 ♦ K4 	slam interest and we'll cover it later.					
* 9						
Hand G	OK, but what about hands with a decent 4 card major? You could transfer into the 5/6 card major and then bid the 4 carder (so $1NT - 2 \checkmark - 2 \bigstar - 3 \checkmark$ here).					
▲ QJ852	This sequence is normally considered as game forcing. However, the					
 ✓ AJ87 ▲ K 4 	recommended modern practice is to reserve the transfer sequences for 5-5 hands and to bid Stavman on dl major suit 5-4's and 6-4's (no matter what strength)					
* 74	With this example bid Stayman and raise any major suit response to game. If opener responds $2 \blacklozenge$ then jump to $3 \clubsuit$, game forcing, offering partner the choice					

of $4 \bigstar$ or 3NT. A possible slight improvement on this scheme is to jump in the 4 card major, thus ensuring that the NT opener is declarer (the Smolen Convention – we'll cover it in detail later). So that's fine with Hand G, but what about invitational hands? ...

Smolen at the two level

I'll mention this but it's perhaps a somewhat unwieldy convention that I don't really like. When opener replies $2 \blacklozenge$ to our Stayman enquiry we use both $2 \blacktriangledown$ and $2 \bigstar$ as artificial bids: -

- 2♥ is a puppet to 2♠ which may be a weak hand (5-4, responder passes the 2♠ bid) or any number of other meanings (responder bids on).
- $2 \bigstar$ asks opener to define his hand.

Amongst all of these complex sequences it is possible for responder to hit upon the correct contract when he is 5-4 or 4-5 in the majors but there are drawbacks: -

- 1) We lose our fundamental 'Garbage Stayman' possibility of playing in 2♥ with a weak 4-5 hand.
- 2) It is rather complex.
- 3) It goes against the general Smolen philosophy in that responder is usually declarer in an eventual 3 ▲ or 4 ▲ contract.
- 4) Nobody (or very few) plays it.

So you don't like 'Smolen at the two level'? Then there is no established method to handle invitational 5-4's & 4-5's – tough luck?! The scheme I outlined for game forcing hands (Smolen $3 \checkmark /$ \blacklozenge or natural $3 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ over partner's $2 \diamondsuit$ response to Stayman) is pretty well universally used but there is no simple invitational bid if opener responds $2 \blacklozenge$ to your Stayman enquiry! Your options are a game force (Smolen $3 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ or natural $3 \checkmark / \clubsuit$), an offbeat 2NT or pass. This is, however, what the majority of experienced players play and so I'll cover it in detail in the next section.

But don't despair! There is a simple solution to the invitational 5-4/4-5 (and 6-4/4-6) problem (Quest transfers) and we will meet them later and they have the advantages that: -

1) We retain our fundamental 'Garbage Stayman' possibilities with all weak hands.

- 2) They are simple.
- 3) Opener becomes declarer in virtually all ♥,▲ or NT contracts
- 4) 'Everybody' will be playing them in the future!?

But first, let's look at what people do at present: -

2.6.1 <u>The Traditional Method – Smolen etc.</u>

Smolen is game forcing and is basically a command for partner to bid $4 \forall / \bigstar$ so that he becomes declarer in that contract (or perhaps a slam). Declaring from the NT opener's hand very often has its advantages: -

Example

Dealer:	▲ AK9		West	North	East	South	l
North	♥ Q3						
Both vul	♦ KQ75		-	1NT (1)	pass	2*	
	♣ K863		pass	2♦	pass	3♠	(2)
			pass	4	all pass		
▲ 105	Ν	▲ 742					
♥ 10872	W E	♥ J95		(1) 15-17			
♦ J964	S	♦ A1082		(2) natural a	nd forcing,	not play	ing
♣ QJ10		♣ A42		Smolen			
	▲ QJ863						
	♥ AK64						
	♦ 3						
	* 975						

West leads the obvious AQ, down one. Clearly the wrong hand is declarer. Let's see how we can solve this problem (by playing Smolen): -

Smolen

We will be covering transfers later and we will see that game going two suiters are easy. The traditional method using Jacoby transfers is to transfer into the 5 card suit and then bid your 4 card suit (game forcing). This is certainly the recommended method with a 5 card major and a 4 or 5 card minor. However, with 5-4 (or 4-5) in the majors, most serious players these days employ Stayman (they use the transfer and bid sequences in the majors only when 5-5). So, with a game forcing 5-4 (or 4-5) in the majors opposite a 1NT opening you bid Stayman. If partner replies in a major then raise to game. And what if partner replies 2 ? Normally you the bid 3 of your 5 card major (game forcing) and give partner the choice between 4 of the major or 3NT. A slight improvement on this (when playing a strong NT) is the Smolen convention, whereby you bid 3 of your 4 card major, thus giving opener the same options but ensuring that the 1NT opener is declarer

So when playing Smolen we have: -

Hand A

	1NT - $2 \div - 2 \bigstar - 3 \checkmark$ shows $5 \bigstar$'s, $4 \checkmark$'s game forcing.
▲ QJ852	
♥ AK87	Opener normally completes this Smolen transfer by bidding $4 \blacktriangle$.
♦ J4	3NT is an allowable but not common alternative. It notes that
\$ 74	responder is 5-4 but suggesting that 3NT is preferable.
Hand B	
	1NT - $2 \div - 2 \bigstar - 3 \bigstar$ shows $5 \checkmark$'s, $4 \bigstar$'s game forcing.
▲ KJ52	
♥ AJ872	Opener normally completes this Smolen transfer by bidding $4 \mathbf{v}$.
♦ J4	Again 3NT is an allowable but not common alternative, noting
- 74	

```
that responder is 4-5 but suggesting that 3NT is preferable.
& 74
```

Example

▲ AQ104		West	North	East	South
♥ 108543					
♦ A3		-	-	-	1NT
4 62		pass	2♣	pass	2♦
		pass	3▲ (1)	pass	4♥
Ν	♠ 9873	all pass			
W E	♥ 76				
S	♦ J109				
	& Q1087	(1	1) Smolen. 5	♥'s and 4	1 ∧ 's
♠ KJ					
▼ K92	IfNo	orth was dec	larer, then 🔶	J lead wou	uld kill the
♦ Q852	contract. With South as declarer, careful play will				
🜲 AKJ9	see t	he contract h	nome despite	the bad tr	ump position.
	 ▲ AQ104 ♥ 108543 ♦ A3 ♣ 62 N W E S ▲ KJ ♥ K92 ♦ Q852 ♣ AKJ9 	 ▲ AQ104 ♥ 108543 ♦ A3 ♣ 62 N ▲ 9873 ₩ E ♥ 76 S ↓ J109 ♣ Q1087 ▲ KJ ♥ K92 If No ♦ Q852 contait ♣ AKJ9 see to 	 ▲ AQ104 ₩est ♥ 108543 ▲ A3 ▲ 62 ▶ pass ▶ pass ▶ 9873 all pass ₩ E ♥ 76 𝔅 ♥ J109 ♣ Q1087 ♠ KJ ♥ K92 ♥ K92 ♥ If North was decised ♥ Q852 ♀ AKJ9 \$ see the contract here 	 ▲ AQ104 West North ♥ 108543 ▲ A3 ▲ A3 - ← 62 ▶ pass 2 ♣ ▶ pass 3 ▲ (1) ▶ 9873 all pass ₩ E ♥ 76 \$ ↓ J109 ♣ Q1087 (1) Smolen. 5 ♠ KJ ♥ K92 ♥ If North was declarer, then ♠ ♦ Q852 ♀ contract. With South as declarer ▲ AKJ9 	▲ AQ104 West North East • 108543 • A3 • A3 • 62 pass 2 ◆ pass pass 3 ▲ (1) pass N ◆ 9873 all pass W E ♥ 76 S ◆ J109 • Q1087 (1) Smolen. 5 ♥ 's and 4 • KJ • K92 If North was declarer, then ♦ J lead word contract. With South as declarer, careful see the contract home despite the bad tr

So, all very nice and simple. But hang on! If after 1NT - 2 - 3 = -3 = 0 opener is expected to bid 4 + 100, then what the hell does 3 + 100 mean? And come to think of it, what do 4 + 100, 4 + 100 mean? And the similar bids in the 3 + 100 sequence?

Explicitly, after $1NT - 2 \clubsuit - 2 \bigstar - 3 \checkmark \dots$ what are $3 \bigstar, 4 \clubsuit, 4 \bigstar$ and $4 \checkmark ?$ and after $1NT - 2 \bigstar - 2 \bigstar - 3 \bigstar \dots$ what are $4 \bigstar$ and $4 \bigstar ?$

Responder has shown 5-4 (or 4-5) shape and game-going values (but no more – although he may have of course). Opener has denied a 4 card major. Why on earth would he want to break the Smolen transfer? Simple, he has a max, good 3 card support for responder's 5 card suit and shape that indicates that slam may be on if partner is interested.

But what should these bids mean? A cue bid? A 2^{nd} suit? A (weak) doubleton? I guess that you could choose it to be any of these, but I prefer the cue bid option. So let's state that these bids are cue bids, showing the ace of the suit bid (or \checkmark A in the case of $3 \bigstar$) and good three card support (slam interest).

Thus we could have: -

After 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 3♥ and after 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 3♠ = three \bigstar 's + \blacktriangledown A 3♠ $4 = \text{three } \bullet \text{'s} + \bullet A ?$ = three \bigstar 's + \clubsuit A 4. $4 \blacklozenge = \text{three} \lor \text{'s} + \blacklozenge A ?$ (*) **4**♦ = three \bigstar 's + \bigstar A $4 \bullet$ = normal transfer completion 4♥ = slam interest but no ace to cue (*)4 = normal transfer completion

We could call these bids Smolen super-accepts.

It's unfortunate that there is not so much room in the sequence with \mathbf{v} 's as trumps; I guess that you could decide not to play Smolen?

Example

West	East	West	East	
▲ KJ7	▲ AQ954	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5 ♠'s & 4 ♥'s
♥ K103	♥ AQ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) 3 \bigstar 's, \bigstar A, slam interest
♦ AKJ8	♦ Q105	4 ♦ (2)	4NT (3)	
♣ Q95	4 2	etc to $6 \clubsuit$		

With excellent support for both majors, West cues his \blacklozenge ace. East could re-transfer with $4 \lor$ at (3) but it is hardly important who is declarer. RKCB finds the excellent \blacklozenge slam.

So they can work well, but Smolen super-accepts are by no means established – you are already in a game forcing situation and so it has less sense. Combined with the fact that it's awkward with \forall 's as trumps and that you perhaps wish to leave the suit below trumps (*) available for a re-transfer, the Smolen transfer will normally be simply completed on most occasions. So we'll stick with straightforward

Smolen (if you play a strong NT – see next page for the weak NT) and not bother with super-accepts.

Smolen Playing a Weak NT?

At the start of this Smolen section I gave an example of the advantage of playing Smolen (the lead coming up to the strong balanced hand). Playing a weak NT, however, the advantages are not so obvious. Responder has an equally strong (perhaps stronger hand). If you play a weak NT and like the idea of the 'Smolen super-accepts' then it may be preferable to forget all about Smolen and go back to the natural method (responder bids 3 of his 5-carder, game forcing). Opener then has straightforward super-accepts available: -

So then we have: -

After	1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♦ - 3♥	and after $1NT - 2 - 2 - 3 $
3♠	= three \checkmark 's + \bigstar A	4 = three * s + A
4*	= three \checkmark 's + \clubsuit A	$4 \blacklozenge = \text{three} \bigstar \text{'s} + \blacklozenge A$
4♦	= three \checkmark 's + \blacklozenge A	$4 \mathbf{v} = \text{three } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v} \mathbf{A}$
4♥	= no slam interest	$4 \bigstar$ = no slam interest
	(or no ace to cue)	(or no ace to cue)

Much simpler, and nearly complete (no distinction between no ace and no interest is a problem – we solve this later with Quest transfers). Playing a weak NT you have to weigh up the possible advantage of the NT bidder being declarer against the advantage that these super-accepts have to offer. Up to you, but I do like to keep things simple!

▲ AQ975		West	North	East	South
♥ AQ104					
♦ K3		-	-	-	1NT (1)
♣ K2		pass	2 ♣	pass	2♦
		pass	3▲ (2)	pass	4. (3)
Ν	▲ 642	pass	4NT (4)	pass	5 ♥ (5)
W E	♥ 8632	pass	6♠	all pass	
S	♦ A97				
	\$ 1083		(1) 12-14		
▲ K108			(2) 5-4, forcir	ng, not play	ing Smolen
♥ KJ			(3) * A, super	accept	
♦ 8654			(4) RKCB		
♣ AQ54			(5) 2 key card	ls	
	 ▲ AQ975 ♥ AQ104 ◆ K3 ♣ K2 № E S ▲ K108 ♥ KJ ♦ 8654 ♣ AQ54 	 ▲ AQ975 ♥ AQ104 ♦ K3 ♣ K2 N ▲ 642 W E ♥ 8632 S ▲ A97 ♣ 1083 ▲ K108 ♥ KJ ♦ 8654 ♣ AQ54 	 ▲ AQ975 ▲ AQ104 ♦ K3 ▲ K2 ▶ pass pass pass ▶ ▲ 642 ▶ pass ▶ № € ♥ 8632 ▶ ₽8632 ▶ 497 ▲ 1083 ▲ K108 ♥ KJ ▲ 8654 ▲ AQ54 	▲ AQ975 West North ▲ AQ104 ▲ K3 ▲ K2 $pass 2 ▲$ $pass 3 ▲ (2)$ N ▲ 642 $pass 3 ▲ (2)$ N ▲ 642 $pass 4NT (4)$ W E ♥ 8632 $pass 6 ▲$ S ▲ A97 ▲ 1083 (1) 12-14 ▲ K108 (2) 5-4, forcir ♥ KJ (3) ♣A, super ♦ 8654 (4) RKCB ♣ AQ54 (5) 2 key card	

Example (playing a Weak NT)

An excellent contract if played by North, I guess it's swings and roundabouts if you play a weak NT; but not playing Smolen is simpler and seems superior, especially in light of these super-accepts. Anyway, for the next couple of sections on 5-4's and 6-4's I'll assume that we play a strong NT and Smolen without super-accepts.

And it's all academic anyway, when we come onto Quest transfers we will see that Smolen (or the natural $3 \checkmark / 4$ jump) is redundant whichever strength of NT you play.

We have covered weak hands and there are 4 more basic hand types to be covered. They are as follows, with both 5-4's and 6-4's being covered for each type.

2.6.1.1	invitational	5-4's, where we want to invite game.
2.6.1.2	invitational	6-4's, where we want to invite game.
2.6.1.3	game going	5-4's, where we want to play in just 3NT or $4 \vee / A$.
2.6.1.4	game going	6-4's, where we want to play in just $4 \checkmark$ or $4 \clubsuit$.
2.6.1.5	game going	5-4's, but with slam interest.

2.6.1.6	game going	6-4's, but with slam interest.
2.6.1.7	slam going	5-4's, how to investigate slam.
2.6.1.8	slam going	6-4's, how to investigate slam.

You will discover that everything is not perfect, however. And I have kept the same order, section titles, example numbers etc in the next chapter (Quest Transfers) where all the loose ends are tidied up – and more.

2.6.1.1 Invitational 5-4's, where we want to invite game.

I guess that there are two options here: You could transfer into the 5-carder and then invite with 2NT – the problem is that you may miss a 4-4 fit. The more sensible alternative is to bid Stayman and then 2NT if no fit is uncovered (but you may miss a 5-3 fit). We can't have everything, and a 5-3 fit will often play just as well in NT but a 4-4 fit should not be missed. So, Stayman.

Hand A	Hand B	Partner opens 1NT.
▲ K842	▲ KJ854	With Hand A we bid 2 . If partner responds 2 then we bid
♥ KJ854	♥ KJ82	2NT. If partner responds $2 \bigstar$ then we invite with $3 \bigstar$. If partner
♦ J52	♦ 105	responds $2 \checkmark$ then it's worth game with a 5-4 fit, so $4 \checkmark$.
* 2	* 32	And much the same with Hand B although some conservative
	players may	prefer to just invite over a 2♥/▲ response.

Example 1

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ AQ3 ♥ Q9 ♦ K964 ♣ A753 	 ▲ K842 ◆ KJ854 ◆ J52 ◆ 2 	1NT 2♦ pass	2 ♣ 2NT (1)	(1) invitational

A poor contract, but with limited tools ...?

Example 2

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ3	▲ K842	1NT	2*	(1) invitational
♥ AQ3	♥ KJ854	2♦	2NT (1)	
♦ KQ64	♦ J52	3NT	pass	
& J53	* 2			

I would much prefer to be in $4 \mathbf{v}$.

Example 3

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ AKJ ♥ KQ ◆ A864 ◆ 9853 	 ▲ Q1042 ◆ AJ854 ◆ 532 ◆ 2 	1NT 2♦ 3NT	2 ♣ 2NT (1) pass	(1) invitational

It is debatable if the East hand is really worth an invitation at (1). West obviously accepts and 3NT will make if the \clubsuit 's split. But how do you reach the good $4 \checkmark$ contract?

Example 4

West	East	West	East	
▲ 96	▲ KJ842	1NT	2*	(1) invitational
♥ A54	♥ KJ82	2♦	2NT (1)	
♦ AK64	♦ 105	3NT	pass	
♣ AQ85	* 32			

A poor game that West would have avoided if he knew that the hand was a mis-fit. Note that West has no idea that the hand is a mis-fit, we play 4-way transfers and so 2.4 followed by 2NT may be any shape.

2.6.1.2 Invitational 6-4's, where we want to invite game.

So, Smolen/traditional methods do not work too well with invitational 5-4's, but how about 6-4's? It's even worse! Suppose that we start with Stayman, if a fit is uncovered then you can invite or perhaps just go for the game. But what if opener responds 2 ◆ and we still feel that there may be a game in a possible 6-3 fit? In the similar situation when we were 5-4 (or 4-5) we had to settle for a not completely satisfactory 2NT (we may miss a superior 5-3 fit). We cannot afford to miss a 6-3 fit (either partscore or game), so what's the solution?

Hand A	Hand B	Partner op	Partner opens 1NT (strong).		
 ▲ Q1042 ♥ KJ8542 ◆ 105 ♣ 2 	 ▲ KJ9542 ♥ Q942 ◆ 105 ♣ 2 	These hands are probably too strong to sign off at the two level. If we bid Smolen then that is game forcing. How can we show that we have invitational values and a 6 card suit when partner responds 2 ♦ to our initial Stayman? 2NT is the only invitational bid We have the same dilemma when playing a weak NT (3 points different).			
Example 5		Wouk IVI		-	
West	East	West	East		
▲ AQ3	▲ KJ9542	1NT	2*	(1) invitational	
♥ AK3	♥ Q942	2♦	2NT (1)		
♦ K64	♦ 105	pass			
* 9753	* 2	-	Obviously bi	dding 2NT as invitational	is silly.

So should you transfer into the 6-carder with these hand types? You then have an invitational bid (3 of the 6-carder) if partner simply completes the transfer. Often fine, but not if you miss a 4-4 fit in the other major (you cannot bid the 4 card major as that is played as 5-5 by most players, or else as forcing): -

Example 6

West	East	West	East	
▲ 63	▲ KJ9542	1NT	2 ♥ (1)	(1) transfer to \bigstar 's
♥ AK103	♥ Q942	2	3♠ (2)	(2) invitational, $6 \bigstar$'s
♦ KQJ4	♦ 105	3NT	pass	
♣ A73	* 2			

A silly contract, \mathbf{v} 's never got a mention! 4 \mathbf{A} may be preferable to 3NT, but 4 \mathbf{v} is the best contract. You may sometimes get away with inviting with 2NT with the 5-4's but the 6-4's are impossible.

Basically, you cannot sensibly bid these invitational hands unless you employ Quest transfers!

2.6.1.3 <u>Game going 5-4's, where we want to play in just 3NT or $4 \checkmark / \bigstar$.</u>

Here we use Smolen. We start with the 5-4's again.

Hand C	Hand D	Partner op	ens 1NT (strong).	
▲ AQ42	▲ KJ954	Having fail	ed to find a 4-4 or	better fit with Stayman, these
♥ K9854	♥ AJ42	hands now	want to play in ga	me in a 5-3 fit or else 3NT.
♦ J52	♦ Q5	So we star	rt with Stayman and	l if there is no immediate fit we
* 5	\$ 52	bid 3 of the 4-carder - Smolen. Partner knows our major suit distribution is 5(or 6)-4 and bids either 3NT of 4 of the majorif there's a 5-3 fit.		
Example 7				
West	East	West	East	
▲ Q3	▲ KJ954	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ▲'s
♥ K103	♥ AJ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	
♦ K984	♦ Q5	3NT	pass	
♣ AKJ3	* 52			
Looks g	ood to me.			

Example 8

The 5-3 fit is usually best if opener has a weak suit: -

West	East	West	East	
▲ A83	▲ KJ954	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ▲ 's
♥ K103	♥ AJ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	
♦ AKJ3	♦ Q5	4	pass	
\$ J84	* 52			

So no problems here. If you simply want to play in game then Smolen gets you to the correct spot played by the correct hand. And so it should – that is what it was designed to do!

2.6.1.4 <u>Game going 6-4's, where we want to play in just 4♥ or 4♠.</u>

Again, we have responded $2 \clubsuit$ to partner's 1NT opening and he replied $2 \diamondsuit$. Here we shall consider hands that are certainly worth game (in the 6-3 or 6-2 fit) but where we really do not wish partner to make a move towards slam.

In the corresponding situation with 5-4 (or 4-5) in the majors we informed partner that we had these 9 cards in the majors and left it up to him to play in a 5-3 fit or in 3NT. In this situation with a 6 card suit we want (partner) to play in the 6-3 or 6-2 fit.

Hand E	Hand F	Partner op	ens 1NT (strong).	
 ▲ AQ42 ♥ K98542 ♦ 85 ♣ 5 	 ▲ KJ9542 ◆ AJ42 ◆ 105 ◆ 2 	Having failed to find a 4-4 or better fit with Stayman, these hands now simply want to play in the long major suit game. We do not want to encourage partner, and so we bid $4 \notin / \P$. This is a Texas Transfer (known as Extended Texas) and shows a desire to play in 4 of the major without inviting partner to bid on.		
So we have and	1NT - 2 * - 2 1NT - 2 * - 2	2	ansfer to 4♥ ansfer to 4♠	as Extended Texas transfers.
Example				
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ A63 ♥ K75 ♦ AQ74 ▲ K63 	 ▲ KJ9542 ◆ AJ42 ◆ 105 ◆ 2 	1NT 2♦ 4♠	2 ↓ 4♥ (1) pass .	(1) Extended Texas

This works fine (provided partner does not forget and leave you in $4 \mathbf{v}$!). Anyway, an excellent scheme and we use it for this hand type even when we move on to Quest transfers.

2.6.1.5 <u>Game Going 5-4's with some Slam Interest</u>

Here we are concerned with hand types that are not adverse to a slam suggestion from partner or may wish to make a mild try themselves. We start with Stayman of course and then Smolen $3 \checkmark / \clubsuit$. Smolen does not lend itself to super-accepts and so opener normally either bids 3NT or bids 4 of our 5 card major. We are then at the level of 3NT or 4 of the 5-3 fit and it's up to us to take the next step.

Hand G	Hand H	We begin with Stayman, if partner responds in a major then we are definitely in slam mode. It's best to use 4. as RKCB for				
▲ AK854	▲ AK42	the major.	the major.			
♥ AQJ2	♥ AJ954	If there is 1	If there is no immediate fit then there may still be slam if there			
♦ J52	♦ K5	is a 5-3 fit. So Smolen. If partner denies a 5-3 fit with 3NT (or				
* 5	♀ J2	prefers 3N partner co up to you	T) then you can ei nfirms a 5-3 fit thei if you cue bid, Blac	ther give up or try a quantitative 4NT. If n you are at the level of 4 of the major. It's ekwood or whatever next.		
Example 9		T T J T	<u>j</u>			
West	East	West	East			
▲ J73	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ♥'s		
♥ K3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3▲ (1)	(2) quantitative		
♦ AQ84	♦ K5	3NT	4NT (2)			
♣ AK93	♣ J2	6♥	pass			

West is max here and so bids slam. It's not a very good one (neither is 6NT); I guess that if West does not confirm a 5-3 fit then East needs more to go slamming?

With a fit, East should have a go: -

Example 10

West	East	West	East	
▲ Q7	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ♥'s
♥ KQ3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3▲ (1)	(2) 3 ♥ 's
♦ QJ42	♦ K5	4 ♥ (2)	4 ▲ (3)	(3) RKCB (Kickback)
♣ AQ93	♣ J2	5 ♥ (4)	6♥	(4) 2 key cards + \mathbf{v} Q
	pass			

Good show. It looks like East's bidding works fine, but

... but is it (a good show)? Luckily West had the right cards but it is not usually a good idea to bid Blackwood when you have a weak doubleton and don't know about partner's overall strength or holding in the weak suit: -

Example 11

West	East	West	East	
▲ Q7	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ♥'s
♥ KQ3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3♠ (1)	(2) 3 ♥ 's
♦ AQJ84	♦ K5	4 ♥ (2)	4 ▲ (3)	(3) RKCB (Kickback)
♣ Q93	\$ J2	5 ♥ (4)	6♥	(4) 2 key cards + \mathbf{v} Q
		pass		

East has got uncomfortably high and only knows that one key card is missing. There is no space to find out if the missing card is the \mathbf{v} K or the \mathbf{A} A, or if partner has the \mathbf{A} K; and so he takes a chance and plays the percentages – it worked last time, so just unlucky? Perhaps, but see how we handle this example later playing Quest transfers.

We also have a similar problem if West's trumps are rather poor: -

Example 12

West	East	West	East	
▲ J87	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5+ ♥'s
♥ Q63	♥ AJ954	2♦	3 ▲ (1)	(2) 3 ♥'s
♦ AQJ	♦ K5	4 ♥ (2)	4 ▲ (3)	(3) RKCB (Kickback)
♣ AQ83	♣ J2	5 ♥ (4)	6♥	(4) 2 key cards + \mathbf{v} Q
	pass			

West had no chance to inform East that he was minimum and so the poor slam was reached with exactly the same sequence as the good one (good slam, that is, not good sequence!) in example 10.

Now I realise that you want to know how these hands should be bid. Be patient. All good thing come to those who wait. We'll finish all the examples using Smolen before we move on to the superior scheme (Quest transfers).

2.6.1.6 <u>Game Going 6-4's with some Slam Interest</u>

And how about 6-4's where we would not be adverse to partner's advances towards slam with a 6-3 fit?

Hand F	Hand G	Partner opens 1NT (strong).
 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ8542 ♦ 105 ♣ 2 	 ▲ AK9542 ♥ AQ42 ♦ 105 ♣ 2 	Having failed to find a 4-4 or better fit with Stayman, there is still a chance of slam if partner has 3 of our long major and the right cards. This time we go through our Smolen sequence right up to the point where we have transferred into our longer suit. If partner bids 3NT (usually showing a doubleton or

perhaps a weak triplet) then we re-transfer (with $4 \diamond / \diamond$) into our 6-carder to show this good hand. Opener will normally simply accept this transfer. Note that some players state that opener *must* accept the re-transfer. I'm not so sure, there are most certainly hands where responder would not go past 4 of the major without a push from opener where slam is on. Remember, the fact that responder did not use Extended Texas is a mild slam invitation and responder may feel that he cannot move on without a nudge from opener.

Example 13

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ 107 ♥ KJ3 ♦ AK83 ♣ KQ53 	 ▲ AK9542 ♥ AQ42 ♦ 105 ♥ 2 	1NT 2 ◆ 3NT (2) 4 ▲ (4)	2 ↓ 3♥ (1) 4♥ (3) pass	 (1) Smolen, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s (2) I prefer NT (3) re-transfer, slam interest (4) no slam interest

If partner had responded to our original Smolen bid by bidding 4 of our major (showing three card support), then we could agree to cue bid our singleton/void. This would show slam ambitions but could be either a 5-4 or 6-4 type hand.

Example 14

West	East	West	East	
▲ QJ7	▲ AK9542	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5 ▲ 's & 4 ♥ 's
♥ KJ3	♥ AQ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) 3 ▲ 's
♦ QJ8	♦ 105	4 ▲ (2)	5. (3)	(3) * shortage, slam interest
🜲 KQJ3	4 2	5	pass	

East had options here. Instead of cueing the shortage he could have tried RKCB, but I believe that indicating the shortage is better. This was indeed valuable information to West as he then knew that ***** KQ were not pulling their full weight.

So it's one too many, whether you cue or bid RKCB. But surely East should try for slam, shouldn't he? Just tough that $5 \bigstar$ has 3 top losers? Playing Quest transfers it's easy to stop low $(4 \bigstar)$, as we will see later.

When opener has just a doubleton, slam may still be on. After responder's Smolen transfer opener bid 3NT, but when responder re-transfers to show a 6 card suit, opener may break the transfer with a suitable hand: -

Example 15

West	East	West	East	
♠ QJ	▲ AK9542	1NT	2*	(1) Smolen, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s
♥ KJ3	♥ AQ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) 2 * 's
♦ AJ87	♦ 105	3NT (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(3) 6 A 's, slam interest
♣ AJ53	* 2	4NT (4) etc	to 6♠	(4) RKCB

West has a near max hand, but is 2-3 in partner's majors; thus 3NT at (2) is correct. However, East's subsequent $4 \lor (3)$ bid shows slam interest - otherwise he would use Extended Texas at (1) - and West's hand becomes enormous. Excellent major suit holdings (for what he has shown) and controls in the minors must make slam an excellent proposition. West must break the transfer at (4) to show slam ambitions. A 5. cue bid would be equally effective.

Contrast this West hand with the previous example; first round controls in the minors are all-important. Quacks in the minors are useless and even a king may be worthless opposite a singleton/void.

2.6.1.7	<u>Slam going</u>	<u>Slam going 5-4's, how to investigate slam.</u>		
Hand H	Hand J	Partner opens 1NT (strong).		
 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ854 ♦ K52 ♣ 2 	 ▲ AK954 ◆ AQ42 ◆ 1052 ♣ A 	We are obviously going to slam here, preferably in a major suit and possibly a grand. So we start with Stayman. After a 2♦ response we bid Smolen and partner completes the transfer. What now?		
A 5. cue bid	is obviously a	good move with Hand J, but what about Hand H?		
1) 17	2.4			

INT	2♣	You hold Hand H and the bidding has started like this. What
2♦	3♠	now? A key card ask looks like a good idea, but it's going
4♥	?	to be difficult to establish if partner has the $\bigstar K$ rather than
		the & K. There are ways to establish specific kings after RKCB but

generally only if all key cards are present. Agreeing to cue bid the shortage is also a very playable method.

Anyway, we have established our fit and you can choose your favourite slam seeking methods. I'll cover the best slam seeking method when we move on to discuss these hands using Quest transfers.

2.6.1.8 <u>Slam going 6-4's, how to investigate slam.</u>

Hand K	Hand L	Partner opens 1NT (strong).
 AKJ542 AQJ2 A5 2 	 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ8542 ◆ - ♣ KQ2 	Clearly going to slam again. So obviously Stayman and Smolen. If partner completes the Smolen transfer then we go into our preferred slam mode. Should partner bid 3NT (usually showing just 2 card support for our 6-carder) then we bid $4 \neq / \forall$ - a re-transfer and promising a

6 card suit. Partner may simply accept this re-transfer or perhaps super accept with a very good doubleton. Either way we then go into slam mode. I will again cover the method for investigating the best slam when we cover these hands using Quest transfers.

Well, that's covered the commonly used Smolen approach. Not totally satisfactory, eh? So let's see if we can come up with something better

2.6.2 <u>A New Approach to 5-4, 6-4 etc.</u>

So as we have seen, the invitational hands (and others) pose a huge problem using traditional/Smolen methods. I'll come onto the solution shortly but first we need to establish that a bidding sequence that we need is free.

When opener has replied 2 + to Stayman, there is one sequence that has not yet been defined: -

1NT - 2**♣** - 2**♦** - 3**♦**

So what are the possible uses for this sequence? It cannot be a weak hand with a 4 card major and a \diamond suit (pass 2 \diamond). It's not an invitational \diamond hand - we would transfer into \diamond 's or simply invite with 2NT (via 2 \diamond). But there are $\frac{1}{2}$ a dozen or so quite plausible uses in current practice: -

3 ◆ is Extended Stayman
 3 ◆ is invitational
 3 ◆ is forcing for one round
 3 ◆ is game forcing
 5 ◆ is looking for slam
 6 → is Weissberger
 a weak (or forcing) 5-5 in the majors
 5 + ◆ is and a 4 card major
 5 + ◆ is and a 4 card major
 5 + ◆ is and a 4 card major
 6 → is Weissberger
 a weak (or forcing) 5-5 in the majors
 5 + ◆ is and a 4 card major
 5 + ◆ is and a 4 card major
 6 → is Weissberger

Let's look at these possibilities: -

1) <u> $3 \\ \bullet$ is Extended Stayman?</u>

Hand A	Hand B	Partner opens a strong NT. You could start off with 24, when
		a $2 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ reply would be music to your ears. Of course partner
▲ Q9876	▲ KQ984	will usually reply $2 \blacklozenge . 3 \blacklozenge$ by you is then the Extended Stayman
♥ Q8432	♥ QJ842	convention, showing 5-5 in the majors and asking partner to
♦ 93	♦ J3	bid a 3 card major. You then pass with Hand A and raise to
* 2	4 2	game with Hand B. Looks OK? But what do you do with an invitational
		hand? And isn't the two level safer with hand A?

No, Extended Stayman does not really work. Transfers have solved all the problems, and we see how to handle invitational, game forcing and slam seeking 5-5's later.

2) $3 \\ \diamond$ is invitational, $5 \\ + \\ \diamond$'s and a 4 card major?

Hand C	Hand D	Partner opens a strong NT. You obviously try Stayman but get a 2 \diamond response. You have invitational values, so try 3 \diamond with	
▲ 43	▲ 43	Hand C? I don't like it. First of all, there is no similar bid when	
♥ K987	♥ K987	you have a suit (Hand D) - 3 is SARS. So you have to rebid	
♦ AJ873	♦ 82	2NT with Hand D (fine). But in any case I would prefer to rebid	
* 82	♣ AJ873	2NT with Hand C – if partner is minimum and does not like \blacklozenge 's are at the 3 level and fixed; the 2NT rebid is far better.	you

3) 3 \diamond is forcing for one round, 5+ \diamond 's and a 4 card major?

Hand E Hand F	I got th	is answer from two leading players at the club. But I don't really understand it! Forcing for one round? Since you
▲ Q3	▲ 43	are already at the $3 \blacklozenge$ level I guess that you may subside in $4 \blacklozenge$?
♥ K987	♥ K987	It makes little sense to me. With hands like this you have to
♦ AJ8743	♦ AJ874	decide where you are going. With Hand E I would transfer into
* 8	* 98	♦'s and then bid $3 \bullet$ - game forcing. With Hand F I would try Stayman and then an invitational 2NT if there is no fit (or 3NT if you are desperate).

4) 3 \diamond is game forcing, 5+ \diamond 's and a 4 card major?

Hand G	Hand H	Now this is more like it! No pussyfooting around. So you try
		Stayman and get a $2 \blacklozenge$ response. A $3 \blacklozenge$ bid is then game forcing.
▲ J3	▲ 43	But what have you achieved? You are at the 3 level and have
♥ K987	♥ K987	shown an undisclosed major and a ♦ suit. Consider the
◆ AQ8743	♦ AQJ74	alternative approach (transfer to \blacklozenge 's and then bid 3 of the
4 8	& J8	major - we cover how to transfer into minors later). This latter approach
		has some major advantages: -

(a) Opener has a mechanism to tell you if he likes your \blacklozenge suit (a super-accept - we cover this later).

(b) Opener knows which major suit you have.

(c) Opener will usually be declarer however you bid the hand. If you start with Stayman and opener bids the major that you don't have and you bid 3 ♦, 3NT is quite likely to be the final resting place. Why give the defence the gratuitous information about opener's 4 card major?

Not convinced? No problem! Suppose that you do elect to bid Stayman with Hands G and H and get a $2 \diamond$ response. As I said, there is little point in attempting to describe your hand to partner with a natural $3 \diamond$ (he does not know which major you hold nor if you have 5 or $6 \diamond$'s or even if you have slam ambitions!). You know partner's point range and something about his distribution. It's far better to bid $3 \clubsuit$, SARS, and find out more about opener's shape.

One more point. We have established that a sequence like $1NT - 2 \clubsuit - 2 \lor - 3 \diamondsuit$ is ASID and not \bigstar's and \bigstar's. It would thus be inconsistent to have our sequence showing a major and \bigstar's.

So, whether you elect to transfer to the minor (my personal preference) or to bid Stayman followed by SARS with these hand types does not really matter, the sequence 1NT - 2 - 2 - 3 = 3 = 1000 is not needed in a natural sense. We most certainly do not need three options of bidding for the same hand type.

5) $3 \\le is looking for slam, 4 \\le 's and a 4 card major.$

We discussed this option in section 2.3. It obviously works OK but as I said we have SARS which copes equally well (better).

6) <u>3 ♦ is the Weissberger Convention, an invitational (or forcing) 5-5 or 5-4 in the majors</u>

Now here we do have something that caters for invitational hands. With this scheme the sequences 1NT - 2 - 2 - 3 = 3 = 1NT - 2 - 2 - 3 = 1NT - 2 - 2 = 1NT -

The $3 \blacklozenge$ bid in the sequence $1NT - 2 \clubsuit - 3 \blacklozenge$ is then used to show either: -

- (a) $5 \bigstar$'s and $4 \checkmark$'s, game forcing or
- (b) $5 \bigstar$'s and $5 \checkmark$'s, game forcing or
- (c) $5 \bigstar$'s and $5 \checkmark$'s, invitational

Now this works (I suppose) but the responses to the $3 \blacklozenge$ bid are somewhat convoluted. Also, a game forcing 4-5 is not catered for and there is no mention of 6-4's or 4-6's. Also, most players are used to having the jumps to $3 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ as game forcing and using Jacoby Transfers with invitational and game forcing 5-5's. Another drawback is that responder will be declarer much of the time.

No, we have a far simpler solution which covers everything: -

A New Approach to 5-4, 6-4 etc. - Quest Transfers

So what is the solution to our invitational sequences? We just need a little lateral thinking and to forget about gadgets such as Smolen and Weissberger.

The $3 \blacklozenge$ bid is not needed. Thus we use it (and $3 \blacktriangledown$) as transfers – simple.

After 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦,

 $3 \blacklozenge = \text{transfer to } \checkmark$'s

 $3 \checkmark = \text{transfer to } \bigstar$'s

This is now so straightforward that I hardly need to write any more (but I will). This initial transfer may be game invitational or stronger, 5-4 or 6-4 types. Unlike Smolen (which is game forcing), Quest transfers are invitational or better (unlimited).

After a Quest transfer opener has the obvious super-accepts available whichever suit is trumps. What's more, you can choose whatever type of super-accept suits your partnership style – perhaps similar to what you do over a Jacoby Transfer? But, as responder is known to be short in both minor suits, I prefer to show an ace. Let's assume that we use super accepts to show an ace, then we have: -

After	1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♦ - 3♦	and after	1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 3♥
3♥	= normal accept	3♠	= normal accept

where a normal accept is a minimal hand with two card support or perhaps three. And we have the super-accepts: -

3♠	= three \checkmark 's + \bigstar A	3NT = natural, non-min,
3NT	= natural, non-min,	normally 2-3 in the majors
	normally 3-2 in the majors	$4 \clubsuit = \text{three } \bigstar' s + \clubsuit A$
4♣	= three \checkmark 's + \clubsuit A	$4 \blacklozenge = \text{three} \bigstar s + \blacklozenge A$
4♦	= three \checkmark 's + \diamond A *	$4 \checkmark = \text{three } \land `s + \checkmark A $ *
4♥	= three \checkmark 's (no ace to cue)	$4 \bigstar$ = three \bigstar 's (no ace to cue)

* <u>Note</u> Some players prefer not to use the bid below the agreed suit as a super-accept as they want to reserve it for partner's use as the re-transfer. In that case the 4-of-the-major super-accept may have the ace of the re-transfer suit. I much prefer to have the complete set of super-accepts and assume this in the examples.

After a super-accept responder will normally re-transfer if possible, and then either pass or investigate slam.

This all works fine, but we still have no bid to explicitly show the invitational hand if you opt for these simpler Quest transfers rather than Smolen or natural. No problem, it is up to opener to super-accept

with a suitable hand: -

Quest transfers are defined as invitational or better.

They can be treated in a similar way to Jacoby transfers but there is one very big (and very important) difference – super-accepts. When playing Jacoby transfers super-accepts are often very useful, but they are usually not essential as responder can invite after a normal accept. With Quest transfers it is different, you are at the 3 level and there is no room for a polite 2nd invitation. Quest transfers are defined as **invitational** or better and opener **must** super-accept with a suitable hand. This also makes slam bidding much easier of course.

First of all, let's look at a typical hand that's difficult without Quest Transfers: -

- Hand A Partner opens a strong NT. You start with Stayman but get a $2 \diamond$ response. What now? 2NT is reasonable, but there may be a better 5-3 \checkmark fit (either partscore or game). $3 \checkmark / \bigstar$ is forcing (whether you play Smolen or not).
- ♥ KJ752 So you simply have to give up on a possible fit and bid 2NT?
- ♦ 75
- **\$** 52

Playing Quest Transfers it's easy. Stayman to start and then transfer over a $2 \diamond$ response. Partner simply accepts the transfer with an unsuitable hand and will super-accept if game is on. Occasionally you will end up in $3 \diamond$ or $3 \diamond$ with a 5-2 fit, but that's probably just as good (often better) than 2NT.

Example A

West	East (A)	West	East	
				(1) Quest transfer
▲ A73	▲ K1042	1NT	2*	(2) normal accept
♥ 983	♥ KJ752	2♦	3♦ (1)	(3) with no more than an
♦ KQ6	♦ 75	3 ♥ (2)	pass (3)	invitational hand, East
♣ AQJ7	* 52			passes

With a minimum (a flat hand) West correctly does not super-accept.

Example B				
West	East (A)	West	East	
				(1) Quest transfer
▲ Q3	▲ KJ102	1NT	2 ♣	(2) Super-accept, A
♥ Q93	♥ KJ752	2 ♦	3♦ (1)	(3) re-transfer
♦ KQ64	♦ 75	4. (2)	4♦ (3)	
♣ AK76	\$ 52	4♥	pass	

This West has good trumps and reasonable shape, so he super-accepts.

So clearly Quest transfers work in this otherwise difficult scenario. We now have to look at all the cases that we covered earlier, but this time using Quest transfers: -

2.6.2.1	invitational	5-4's, where we want to invite game.
2.6.2.2	invitational	6-4's, where we want to invite game.
2.6.2.3	game going	5-4's, where we want to play in just 3NT or $4 \checkmark / \clubsuit$.
2.6.2.4	game going	6-4's, where we want to play in just $4 \lor$ or $4 \blacktriangle$.
2.6.2.5	game going	5-4's, but with slam interest.
2.6.2.6	game going	6-4's, but with slam interest.
2.6.2.7	slam going	5-4's, how to investigate slam.
2.6.2.8	slam going	6-4's, how to investigate slam.

Now Quest transfers, although straightforward, are new! There are most certainly die-hards out there who have always played Smolen or natural methods, and so I accommodated them in the previous sections. I will now cover Quest transfers in the same detail. And to make it easy for everybody I will use the same examples and chapter titles for the Quest and Smolen sections. Just see which you think works best!

2.6.2.1 Invitational 5-4's, where we want to invite game.

Simple. We start with Stayman and if we get a 2 ♦ response we make a Quest Transfer.

Example 1

West	East	West	East	
▲ AQ3	▲ K842	1NT	2*	(1) Quest transfer
♥ Q9	♥ KJ854	2♦	3 ♦ (1)	(2) normal accept
♦ K964	♦ J52	3 ♥ (2)	pass (3)	(3) only invitational, so pass
♣ A753	* 2			

A good contract, better than 2NT which is what we reached earlier when not playing Quest transfers (1NT - 2 - 2 - 2NT - pass). A 5-2 fit will often play better than NT, as in this case.

Example 2

West	East	West	East	
▲ AJ3	▲ K842	1NT	2*	(1) Quest transfer
♥ AQ3	♥ KJ854	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) Super-accept, AA
♦ KQ64	♦ J52	3♠ (2)	4♦ (3)	(3) re-transfer
4 J53	4 2	4♥	pass	

Playing standard methods we landed up in the inferior 3NT.

Example 3

Occasionally opener may super-accept with just two trumps – when he has top cards in both the majors: -

West	East	West	East	
▲ AKJ	▲ Q1042	1NT	2*	(1) Quest transfer
♥ KQ	♥ AJ854	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) super-accept. ♠A
♦ A864	♦ 532	3♠ (2)	4♦ (3)	(3) re-transfer
\$ 9853	* 2	4♥	pass	

West knows that East has a maximum of 4 cards in the minors and so there are at most 3 losers there.

Playing traditional methods we end up in 3NT if East invites with 2NT. And East may not even elect to invite, but simply bid $2 \checkmark$.

Example 4

East has a clear invitation in this example, but the knowledge of responder's shape may mean that poor games are avoided on mis-fits: -

West	East	West	East	
♠ 96	▲ KJ842	1NT	2*	(1) Quest transfer
♥ A54	♥ KJ82	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) an excellent bid with the
♦ AK64	♦ 105	3♠ (2)	pass	knowledge of the mis-fit
♣ AQ85	* 32			

A combined 25 points, so most pairs will reach 3NT. The poor 3NT game was reached earlier with the sequence 1NT - 2 - 2 - 2NT - 3NT. 3 - 2 + 2NT - 3NT.

2.6.2.2 Invitational 6-4's, where we want to invite game.

We handle 6-4 invitational hands in the same way and can use the re-transfer if necessary.

Example :	5
-----------	---

West	East	West	East	
▲ AQ3	▲ KJ9542	1NT	2*	(1) Quest transfer
♥ AK3	♥ Q942	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) Super-accept, ♥A
♦ K64	♦ 105	4 ♥ (2)	4	
\$ 9753	* 2	pass		

With great major suit cards, west should accept. We floundered in a silly 2NT when we had no Quest transfer and East bid an invitational 2NT. Note that as we play 4-way transfers West has no way of knowing that East even has a 4 card major and so does not know how good his major suit holdings are if east bids 2NT.

This $4 \bigstar$ contract is played from the 'wrong' hand. But it's better to play in the correct contract than to play in a poor one from the 'right' hand. Even if South does lead a \blacklozenge and you lose the first 3 tricks, 4 \bigstar is still odds-on to make.

With the following example playing Smolen etc we were fed up with being in the wrong contract using Stayman and 2NT, so we used a Jacoby transfer. Needless to say, that did not work either. It's no problem playing Quest transfers as the original Stayman always finds the 4-4 fit: -

Example 6

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ 63 ♥ AK103 ♦ KQJ4 ♣ A73 	 ▲ KJ9542 ♥ Q942 ♦ 105 ♣ 2 	1NT 2♥ 4♥	2 ♣ 3 ♥ (1) pass	(1) with great shape, worth an invitation

We managed to land in a poor 3NT (or 4) when we bid this example via a Jacoby transfer.

2.6.2.3 <u>Game 5-4's where we want to play in just 3NT or $4 \sqrt{A}$.</u>

This is quite simple, and the best contract should be reached whether you play Smolen or Quest. We've seen these before, but let's just check that Quest transfers work equally well: -

Example 7

West	East	West	East	
▲ Q3	▲ KJ954	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ▲ 's
♥ K103	♥ AJ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) min
♦ K984	♦ Q5	3♠ (2)	3NT (3)	(3) offering the choice of 3NT
♣ AKJ3	\$ 52	pass		or 4 ♠ .

Looks good to me. Same final contract as before.

Example 8	
-----------	--

West	East	West	East	
▲ A83	▲ KJ954	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ▲ 's
♥ K103	♥ AJ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) super accept, \blacklozenge A.
♦ AKJ3	♦ Q5	4♦ (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(3) re-transfer
\$ J84	\$ 52	4	pass	

The super accept at (2) is marginal (West is minimum but does have good top cards and trumps) but 4♠ would be reached either way.

2.6.2.4 <u>Game going 6-4's, where we want to play in just 4♥ or 4♠.</u>

This is exactly the same whatever scheme you use. Stayman followed by Extended Texas jumps to $4 \neq 4$ if no fit is found. Quest or Smolen do not feature.

2.6.2.5 <u>Game Going 5-4's with some Slam Interest</u>

Here we are concerned with hand types that are not adverse to a slam suggestion from partner or may wish to make a mild try themselves. We start with Stayman of course and then a Quest transfer.

Example 9

West	East	West	East	
▲ J73	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ♥'s
♥ K3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) non-min
♦ AQ84	♦ K5	3NT (2)	4NT (3)	(3) quantitative
♣ AK93	♣ J2	pass (4)		

Note that 4NT is quantitative after a 3NT bid from opener, so what does responder do when he had 5 or 6 \bigstar 's and wants to bid Blackwood? The answer is that with just 5 \bigstar 's he normally would not, and with 6 \bigstar 's he can re-transfer, we come onto that shortly when we discuss the 6-4 type hands

When we bid this hand using Smolen we ended up in a poor $6 \lor$ after East bid the quantitative 4NT at (3). It was perhaps debatable if West should have accepted the slam invitation with a mis-fit, but he is max. Playing Quest transfers it's slightly different. West's 3NT bid has already promised a maximum (otherwise he would simply accept the transfer) and so East's quantitative bid ask for something extra – this can only mean good \checkmark 's and/or \bigstar 's.

West's \mathbf{v} Kx is good, but the poor \mathbf{A} 's are not good enough and so he, aware of

♠ QJ3	the mis-fit, correctly declines the	e invitation at (4). But exchange the $\bigstar 7$	and $\blacklozenge Q$
-------	-------------------------------------	--	-----------------------

- ♥ K3 to get this hand and opener should accept by bidding 6NT. Points in partner's
- ♦ A874 suits are all important it's what you need when you have already shown a
- AK93 maximum.

Example 10

West	East	West	East	
▲ Q7	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ♥'s
♥ KQ3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) max, 3 ♥'s + ♣A
♦ QJ42	♦ K5	4 * (2)	4 ♠ (3)	(3) RKCB (Kickback)*
♣ AQ93	♣ J2	5 ♥ (4)	6♥	(4) 2 key cards + \mathbf{v} Q
		pass		

We reached the same good slam playing Smolen but that sequence really was not a good one because East launched into Blackwood with a small doubleton (\clubsuit) – not good practice. Here it's fine as West has cue bid the $\bigstar A$.

* Now I said that 4 at (3) is RKCB, but is simple RKCB really the best meaning? We will discuss it shortly, but let's first do the examples 11 & 12 that we saw earlier.

Example 11

When we bid this one earlier East bid the poor slam because he knew nothing much other than that there was a key card missing.

West	East	West	East	
♠ QJ	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ♥'s
♥ KQ3	♥ AJ954	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) 3 ♥'s+♦A
♦ AQJ84	♦ K5	4 ♦ (2)	4NT (3)	(3) \bigstar cue bid
♣ Q93	♣ J2	5♥ (4)	pass	

West super-accepted and so East is certainly in slam mode. But he knows to be very careful as West has denied the A. Blackwood is not a good idea with a weak doubleton and so he cue bids instead. Note that when you play Kickback then 4NT is the A cue bid. West's $5 \forall$ at (4) denies the A and so the poor slam is avoided. If West did have the A then he had two options – he could cue it, in which case East would bid $6 \diamond$ to transfer the $6 \forall$ contract to West. West could also simply bid $6 \forall$.

Example 12

West	East	West	East	
▲ J87	▲ AK42	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5+ ♥'s
♥ Q63	♥ AJ954	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) min
♦ AQJ	♦ K5	3 ♥ (2)	3NT (3)	
♣ AQ83	\$ J2	4♥	pass	

When we bid this example earlier West had no chance to inform East that he was minimum and so the poor \checkmark slam was reached. Here East knows that West is minimum and so elects not to go slamming. Very wise. He bids 3NT at (3) to give opener the choice of 3NT or $4 \checkmark$.

Note that the West hand is certainly minimum here as the queens in partner's short suits (the minors) may not be worth much. Since responder is known to hold $4 \bigstar$'s West might consider passing 3NT at pairs scoring.

2.6.2.6 <u>Game Going 6-4's with some Slam Interest</u>

Let's look at 6-4's where we would not be adverse to partner's advances towards slam with a 6-3 fit. If opener responds 3NT to our Quest transfer then we can re-transfer. This shows slam interest as we did not use Extended Texas.

Example 13

1 14

West	East	West	East	
▲ 107	▲ AK9542	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s
♥ KJ3	♥ AQ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) I prefer NT, non min.
♦ AK83	♦ 105	3NT (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(3) re-transfer, slam interest
♣ KQ53	* 2	4 ▲ (4)	pass	(4) no slam interest

As it happens, exactly the same as the Smolen sequence. Fine.

In the next example we got too high $(5 \bigstar)$ playing Smolen.

Example 14				
West	East	West	East	
▲ QJ7♥ KJ3◆ QJ8	 ▲ AK9542 ◆ AQ42 ◆ 105 	1NT 2♦ 4♠ (2)	2 ↓ 3♥ (1) pass	 (1) Quest, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s (2) 3 ▲'s, non-min, no ace to cue
🜲 KOJ3	* 2			

Playing Quest transfers it's easy to stop low $(4 \bigstar)$.

When opener has just a doubleton trump, slam may still be on. After responder's Quest transfer opener bid 3NT showing a non-min, when responder re-transfers to show a 6 card suit and slam interest (no Texas), opener breaks the transfer with a suitable hand: -

Example 15

West	East	West	East	
♠ QJ	▲ AK9542	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s
♥ KJ3	♥ AQ42	2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) 2 ▲ 's, non-min
♦ AJ87	♦ 105	3NT (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(3) $6 \bigstar$'s, slam interest
♣ AJ53	* 2	4NT (4)	etc to $6 \clubsuit$	(4) $*$ (D)RKCB for \bigstar 's

* The same as the previous Smolen auction except that the 4NT at (4) is to be discussed shortly.

2.6.2.7	Slam going 5-4's, how to investigate slam.		
Hand H	Hand J	Partner opens 1NT (strong).	
 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ854 ♦ K52 • 2 	 ▲ AK954 ♥ AQ42 ♦ 1052 ▲ 	Much the same as when using Smolen. We are obviously looking for a slam here, preferably in a major suit. So we start with Stayman. After a 2♦ response we bid Quest and then whatever your favourite slam seeking method is	

Of course you do have a big advantage when using Quest in that opener will cue bid an ace in response to Quest if he has 3 trumps and is non-min. It certainly would be handy to know if partner has the A or not with Hand H.

So what is the best method to investigate slam with these types of hand? A 5.4 cue bid is possibly a good move with Hand J, but what about Hand H? ...

1NT	2*	You hold Hand H and the bidding has started like this. What now?	
2♦	3♦	Note that we are already way ahead of the previous Smolen auction	
4♣	? (1)	in that the level is just 4., opener has cue bid indicating the A,	
		3 trumps and a non-min. Playing Smolen the auction would be at	$4 \bullet$ with
		responder in the dark.	

A key card ask looks like a good idea, but it's going to be difficult to establish if partner has the $\bigstar K$ rather than the $\bigstar K$. There are ways to establish specific kings after RKCB but generally only if all key cards are present.

The answer is that responder should employ Double Roman Key Card Blackwood – DRKCB. With these major 2-suited hands the kings (and queens) in the major suits are very important and minor suit kings are often insignificant.

The trump suit has been established but East really also needs to know about key cards in the other major as well. So East uses two suit, or Double RKCB (DRKCB); there are thus 6 key cards.

DRKCB after a Quest transfer

When responder has shown at least 9 cards in the majors then the king (and sometimes queen – as we shall see later) in both major suits are important. So our RKCB (Kickback) bid is now DRKCB, with both major suit kings counted as key cards. The responses are: -

Next step	=	0 or 3 key cards
Next step $+ 1$	=	1 or 4 key cards
Next step $+2$	=	2 or 5 key cards
Next step $+3$	=	2 or 5 key cards + the 'trump' queen

Where, by 'trump' queen I mean the queen of responder's longer suit.

Example 16

West	East	West	East	
▲ K9	▲ AQJ2	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5 ♥'s & 4 ♠'s
♥ AJ3	♥ KQ854	2♦	3♦ (1)	(2) 3 ♥'s, non-min+♣A
♦ QJ87	♦ K52	4 . (2)	4 ▲ (3)	(3) DRKCB (Kickback)
♣ AJ53	* 2	4NT (4)	6♥ (5)	(4) 3 key cards
		pass		

(5) As long as the ▲K is included along with the key cards then 3 key cards is all East needs. If the ▲K was not included then a 2 key card response would leave slam dubious.

If the DRKCB reply was 0/3 or 1/4 and so gave no information about the trump queen, asker my enquire about both queens with the next free bid, the responses are: -

Next step	=	no queen
Next step + 1	=	♥Q
Next step $+2$	=	♠Q
Next step + 3	=	♥Q & ♠Q

And if the response was 2/5 and thus indicated the presence or absence of the trump queen, asker may enquire about the other queen. The responses are up to you but best is that you simply use the same procedure as you do with your normal RKCB trump queen ask. Here I assume that the next bid up denies the major suit queen and that any other bid acknowledges it – show a king or else return to the trump suit, so: -

Next step	=	denies the other major suit queen
Return to the trump suit	=	shows the other queen but denies K or K .
any other bid	=	shows the other queen and the king of the suit bid.

Note that a queen ask does not necessarily guarantee that all key cards are present, asker may simply be looking for the small slam.

2.6.2.8 Slam going 6-4's, how to investigate slam.

Hand K	Hand L	Partner opens 1NT (strong).
 AKJ542 AQJ2 A5 2 	 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ8542 ↓ - ♣ KQ2 	And the same here. Stayman, Quest and then onto slam; either small or grand. Again you have more to go on using Quest because of the possible super accept response (or lack of it).

Now you could choose to use either RKCB or DRKCB with 5-4's but with 6-4's responder really should use DRKCB. The problem is that opener will not always know if responder is 5-4 or 6-4 and so it's best to always use DRKCB with these two-suited (5-4 or 6-4 type) hands.

Example 17		West	East	
West	East	1NT	2*	(1) Quest, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s
		2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(2) min, 2 or 3 ♠ 's
♠ Q9	▲ AKJ542	3▲ (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(3) 6 ♠'s, slam interest
♥ 953	♥ AQJ2	4 ▲ (4)	4NT (5)	(4) nothing extra
♦ KQJ3	♦ A5	5 (6)	6	(5) DRKCB
♣ AQJ6	* 2	pass		(6) 1 key card

West has a good doubleton \bigstar , but with poor \forall 's he really can do nothing more than complete the re-transfer at (4). However, the East hand is still looking for slam, possibly even a grand, but when West's response at (6) revealed that either the \bigstar A or \forall K was missing he gave up on the grand.

There is another interesting point in this auction; the re-transfer at (3) is not really a re-transfer of course, as West has already bid \bigstar 's. Thus East can bid either $4 \checkmark$ or $4 \bigstar$ at (3). It's best to play $4 \bigstar$ as a sign off and $4 \checkmark$ as looking for slam with a 6 card suit. A subsequent Blackwood bid by either opener or responder is then DRKCB.

But with this Hand K it is certainly worth looking for the grand. Consider the situation where West has a more suitable hand. This one's the same strength but the king in responder's 2nd suit is worth more than 3 points elsewhere: -

Example 18		West	East	(1) Quest, 5 ♠ 's & 4 ♥ 's
				(2) min, 2 or 3 A 's
West	East	1NT	2*	(3) 6 \bigstar 's, slam interest
		2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(4) completing the re-transfer
▲ Q9	▲ AKJ542	3▲ (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(5) DRKCB
♥ K83	♥ AQJ2	4 ▲ (4)	4NT (5)	(6) 2 key cards $+ \blacktriangle Q$
♦ KJ83	♦ A5	5 (6)	6. (7)	(7) minor suit kings?
♣ AQ65	* 2	6 (8)	7NT	(8) \blacklozenge K only
		pass		

5NT at (7) would have been queen clarification, so $6 \clubsuit$ is the (\clubsuit/\diamondsuit) king ask. $6 \clubsuit$ at (8) is next step + 2.
So that's fine, but what if the DRKCB reply shows 0/3 or 1/4 keycards and says nothing about the trump queen? Then the next bid up then asks about queens: -

Let's change the \blacklozenge suit in example 18 very slightly: -

Example 19		West	East	(1) Quest, 5 ▲'s & 4 ♥'s
				(2) min, 2 or 3 ♠ 's
West	East	1NT	2*	(3) 6 ▲ 's, slam interest
		2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(4) completing the re-transfer
▲ Q9	▲ AKJ542	3♠ (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(5) DRKCB
♥ K83	♥ AQJ2	4 ▲ (4)	4NT (5)	(6) 3 key cards
♦ AJ83	♦ K5	5. (6)	5♦ (7)	(7) queens?
♣ AQ65	* 2	5NT (8)	7NT	(8) ▲ Q
		pass		

And sometimes the information about the other major suit queen is useful: -

Example 20		West	East	(1) Quest, 5 ▲ 's & 4 ♥ 's
				(2) min, 2 or 3 ♠'s
West	East	1NT	2*	(3) $6 \bigstar$'s, slam interest
		2♦	3 ♥ (1)	(4) completing the re-transfer
▲ Q9	▲ AKJ542	3 (2)	4 ♥ (3)	(5) DRKCB
♥ Q93	♥ AKJ2	4 ▲ (4)	4NT (5)	(6) 2 key card + $\bigstar Q$
♦ AJ83	♦ K4	5 (6)	5NT (7)	(7) ♥ queen?
♣ AQ65	4 2	6 (8)	7NT	(8) yes, no king.
		Pass		

- ▲ Q9 If West had denied the ♥Q, say with this hand, then the grand is not a good bet.
- 983 Note that the $\mathbf{v}Q$ is more important than the $\mathbf{*}K$.
- ♦ AJ83
- ♣ AKJ5

So DRKCB works like a treat with Hand K and similar hands, but what about Hand L?

Exclusion Double Roman Keycard Blackwood (EDRKCB)

Hand L is not interested in the A and so should use Exclusion DRKCB = EDRKCB.

A rare beast, but there are situations where we are only interested in the kings (and perhaps queens) of two suits and have a void. Exclusion RKCB (ERKCB) would enable us to ask for key cards outside the exclusion suit and Double RKCB (DRKCB) would enable us to locate the king in the other major directly but responder would not know about our void (and thus include that ace in his reply). So when our partner does not know about our void and we are in a situation where DRKCB is the Blackwood bid, then a bid of 5 of a minor is EDRKCB. So with EDRKCB we have 5 key cards; the three aces outside the exclusion suit and the two key kings. The step responses are the obvious 0/3, 1/4, 2.

Example 21

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	West	East (L)	West	East	
1	 ▲ K9 ♥ AJ3 ◆ KJ853 ▲ A87 	 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ8542 ↓ ↓ ₩ KQ2 	1NT 2 ♦ 4 ♣ (2) 4 ♥ 5 ♥ (5) pass	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (1) Quest, 5 ♥'s & 4 ▲'s (2) 3 ▲'s, non-min+♣A (3) re-transfer, 6 ♥'s (4) EDRKCB (5) 3 key cards

But is opener did not have the AK it's different: -

Example 22

West	East (L)	West	East	
 ♦ 98 ♥ AJ3 ♦ AQ853 ♥ AJ7 	 ▲ AQJ2 ♥ KQ8542 ↓ - ♣ KQ2 	1NT 2 ◆ 4 ♣ (2) 4 ♥ 5NT (5) pass	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (1) Quest, 5 ♥'s & 4 ▲'s (2) 3 ▲'s, non-min+♣A (3) re-transfer, 6 ♥'s (4) EDRKCB (5) 2 key cards

So DRKCB and EDRKCB work fine in this scenario, whether opener has super-accepted or not. It's up to you if you wish to include them in your armoury, and we come upon then again in section 3.1.4 when we discuss hands that are 5-5 in the majors (where I believe that they are even more important). Let's move on to something different: -

2.6.2.9 The 3 bid using Quest Transfers

Now that we are using Quest transfers, the previous Smolen/natural sequence

1NT - 2 - 2 - 3 is a spare bid.

I guess that you could use it for whatever you like, but it needs to be a hand type that can cope with a $2 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ response to Stayman. There are a few possibilities, but my favourite is strong 4-4 (game forcing) in the majors with both minor suits weak: -

Hand M	Hand N	Partner open	Partner opens 1NT (strong).			
 ▲ AQ92 ♥ KQJ5 ◆ J85 ♣ 72 	 ▲ AK94 ♥ AQ102 ♦ 105 ♣ 743 	You try Stay standard bid be best if par a weak minor	You try Stayman but get a 2 ♦ response. Now 3NT is the standard bid and will often be fine. But a Moysian fit may be best if partner has good 3 card support for one major and a weak minor.			
Example 1						
West	East	West	East			
 ▲ KJ7 ♥ A3 ♦ AK973 ♣ J53 	 ▲ AQ92 ♥ KQJ5 ◆ J85 ♣ 72 	1NT 2 ♦ 4 ♠ (2)	2♣ 3♠ (1) pass	 both majors, weak minors With very weak *'s, West elects to play in the Moysian fit. 		
Example 2		Sometimes 3N	T would be a ver	y poor contract: -		
West	East	West	East			
 ▲ QJ6 ♥ K73 ◆ 73 ♣ AKQ102 	 ▲ AK94 ♥ AQ102 ♦ 105 ♣ 743 	1NT 2♦ 4♥ (2)	2♣ 3♠ (1) pass	 (1) both majors, weak minors (2) West knows that the opponents have 4 or 5 ◆ tricks off the top. 		
Example 3		And nothing is	lost if West has b	oth minors well covered: -		
West	East	West	East			
 ▲ Q7 ◆ J73 ◆ AK973 ▲ AQ10 	 ▲ AK94 ♥ AQ102 ♦ 105 ♥ 743 	1NT 2♦ 3NT (2)	2♣ 3▲ (1) pass	 both majors, weak minors let then lead a minor, see if I care. 		

2.6.3 <u>Summary of all 5-4's and 6-4's (assuming no fit is found)</u>

(a) when using Smolen

Weak 5-4 *	Stavman and correct or transfer if you prefer
Weak $6-4 *$	Transfer or Stavman and correct if you prefer
Invitational 5-4	Stayman. There is no invitational bid other than 2NT if Stayman gets a 2 response (Smolen is game forcing).
Invitational 6-4	Stayman or Transfer? There is no invitational bid (except 2NT) if Stayman gets a 2 ♦ response so it's probably best to use a Jacoby transfer? It's no problem using Quest transfers of course.
Game going 5-4	Stayman and Smolen 3 ▲ / ♥.
Game going 6-4 *	Stayman and Extended Texas 4 ♦/♥
Slam interest 5-4	Stayman and Smolen. If partner replies 3NT to Smolen then a quantitative 4NT is the slam try.
Slam interest 6-4	Stayman, Smolen and Smolen re-transfer.
	(b) when using Quest Transfers
Weak 5-4 *	Stayman and correct, or transfer if you prefer.
Weak 6-4 *	Transfer, or Stayman and correct if you prefer.
Invitational 5-4	Stayman and Quest Transfer $3 \blacklozenge / \blacklozenge$. Partner is expected to super accept if game is on. If the shape and quality of the majors is poor then 2NT is a remote alternative to the Quest Transfer.
Invitational 6-4	Stayman and Quest Transfer. Partner is expected to super accept if game is on.
Game going 5-4	Stayman and Quest Transfer. If opener simply completes the Quest Transfer then bid 3NT, partner will pass or correct to a 5-3 fit.
Game going 6-4 *	Stayman and Extended Texas 4 ♦ / ♥.
Slam interest 5-4	Stayman and Quest Transfer. If partner has a hand such that slam makes then he will presumably super-accept. If opener responded 3NT to the Quest transfer then there probably is no slam, you could try a quantitative 4NT with a really good invitational hand.
Slam interest 6-4	Stayman, Quest Transfer and Quest re-transfer.

The * sequences are the same whether you play Smolen (or natural) or Quest Transfers.

The Advantages of Quest Transfers

- They are simple.
- The super-accept structure is complete (a bid for each suit + one over).
- The straightforward super-accepts mean that invitational hands are easy.
- Responder does not have to stretch with a hand that possibly has slam potential (opener will

super-accept).

- Slam bidding is easier as opener has indicated if he is min or not and has had a chance to cue bid.
- The $3 \bigstar$ bid is free for use to show 4-4 in the majors and weak minors.

2.7 <u>Stayman Super Accepts</u>

The original Stayman concept incorporated a 2NT response to show a maximum hand. Now this is acceptable if your style is to guarantee invitational values with your Stayman enquiry. These days, most people play garbage Stayman and so this response is unsound if partner has a weak hand and there is no major suit fit.

Another idea which has some followers is that 2NT shows both majors. Again, unsound because if opener is minimum then the 3 level may be to high, even with a fit. Let's hear the general expert view: -

Marty Bergen can be quoted as saying 'never, Never, NEVER respond 2NT to Stayman'. Ron Klinger states 'the 2NT response doesn't exist. The idea that it should be to show both majors is totally unsound'. Excellent advise, unless you and your partner **really** know what you are doing.

When we get onto transfers (Section 3) you will see that opener super-accepting when he likes responder's suit is widely accepted (even though responder's transfer bid promises zero points). This philosophy can be extended to the situation where responder has bid Stayman.

If you are maximum, and like the fact that responder probably has a major suit, then a super accept is in order. Let's start of with the basic idea: -

1)	1NT - 2 + -	3♦	=	maximum, both majors
2)	1NT - 2 + -	3♥	=	maximum, 5 ♥'s
3)	1NT - 2 + -	3♠	=	maximum, 5 🔺 's

Why are these responses sound? First consider 2 & 3, partner (responder) has either invitational values or both majors (or a better hand). If it is the weak hand with two 4 card majors then this 3 level bid is sound according to the law of total tricks. If responder's hand does not contain this major, then he must have invitational values and can bid 3NT (or 4 of the major to play in a 5-3 fit) as you are max. And how about sequence 1? No problem if partner has any invitational hand, he just chooses the correct game contract. If partner is very weak with both majors then you usually have a 9 card fit and always a double fit, so settling in 3 of a major is OK. But why do we use 3 for the 'both majors' bid when 2NT is available? We have 3 available bids (2NT, 3 or 3). We need the 2NT/3 bids as described later, so 3 shows this max with both majors hand.

So, after 1NT - 2 - 3 (max, both majors) we have: -

 $3 \checkmark = \text{sign off}$ $3 \bigstar = \text{sign off}$ $3 \land = \text{to play}$ $4 \bigstar = \text{Gerber}$ $4 \blacklozenge = \text{transfer to } \texttt{'s}$ $4 \checkmark = \text{transfer to } \texttt{'s}$ Example 1

West East		West	East		
▲ AQ64	▲ K852	1NT	2*		
♥ AJ106	♥ Q9853	3♦ (1)	4 ♥ (2)		
♦ Q53	♦ 2	4	pass (3)		
♣ A8	\$ 972				

(1) Max, both majors

(2) Transfer, the double fit and a max partner make $4 \bigstar$ a good bet.

An excellent contract. Very difficult to bid if West had simply replied $2 \checkmark$ to the Stayman enquiry. Note that East elects to play in the 4-4 fit. The 4-4 fit will often provide an extra trick over the 5-4 fit. If you don't agree (I have a 'thing' about 4-4 fits), then transfer into the 5-4 fit at (2) by bidding $4 \blacklozenge$. No problem. Either way you reach a very reasonable game.

Example 2			
West	East	West	East
▲ AJ106	♦ 98532	1NT	2*
♥ AQ64	♥ J852	3♦ (1)	3 (2)
♦ Q53	♦ 72	pass	
♣ A8	\$ 92		

(1) Max, both majors

(2) It is perhaps a matter of style if you want to play in the 4-4 or 5-4 fit in a partial.

Example 3			
West	East	West	East
▲ AQ6	▲ K9852	1NT	2*
♥ A9864	♥ K852	3 ♥ (1)	4♥
♦ Q53	♦ 7	pass	
♣ A8	\$ 932		

(1) Max, 5 ♥'s

An excellent game that would be difficult to bid if West had responded $2 \mathbf{v}$.

West	East	West		East	
 ▲ AQ754 ♥ KQ4 ♦ A4 	 ▲ K86 ♥ A852 ♦ 87632 	1NT 3♠	(2)	2♣ (1) 4♠	 (1) Intending to pass 2 ♦/▲ (2) Max, 5 ♠'s
♣ J54	* 7 normally go 1N	4♠ is : IT - 2 ♣ - 2	a very res ▲ - pass	pectable con	tract, the bidding would
Example 5	And it does	s not go wro	ong if Eas	t has a heap:	-
West	East	West		East	
▲ AO754	♠ 9862	1NT		2*	(1) Max, 5 ♠'s
♥ KQ4	♥ J852	3♠	(1)	pass	
♦ A54	♦ 8762			1	
♣ J5	♣ Q	The op	ponents	would surely	find $3 \clubsuit$ if West bid just $2 \bigstar$.
Example 6	And nothin	g is lost whe	en there is	s no fit: -	
West	East	West		East	
▲ AQ75	▲ K8	1NT		2* (1)
♥ KQ94	♥ J8	3♦	(2)	3NT (3)
♦ Q53	♦ J764				
* A8	♣ KJ842			(1) intend(2) Max(3) Max	ling to invite with 2NT next. , both majors. is good enough, let's try 3NT

Example 4 Sometimes a 5-3 fit may be located and a thin but respectable game bid: -

This concept of showing your maximum hand (when 4-4 or with a 5 card major) is also used if there is intervention: -

Example 7

West	East	West	North	East	South
 ▲ AQ754 ♥ KQ4 ♦ Q53 ♣ A8 	 ▲ K862 ♥ J852 ◆ K762 ♣ 2 	1NT 3♠	pass pass	2 * 4 *	3*
Example 8	U: bc	sually after interve oth majors (using	ention there is $3 \blacklozenge$): -	s still room to	o show
West	East	West	North	East	South
 ▲ AQ75 ♥ KQ43 ♦ Q53 	 ▲ K862 ♥ J852 ♦ K762 	1NT 3♦ (1)	pass pass	2♣ 4♠	3.

♣ A8	* 2	(1) Max, both majors			
Example 9	Aı	nd how about at	fter a 3 ♦ inter	vention? : -	
West	East	West	North	East	South
 ▲ AQ75 ♥ KQ43 ♦ A8 ♣ Q53 	 ▲ K862 ♥ J852 ◆ 2 ♣ K762 	1NT ? (1)	pass	2*	3♦

Example 10

(1) What would a double show here? Standard would be penalties. Showing a ♦ holding such as
 ♦ AJ1085. But realistically, very unlikely. You could well apply the 'stolen bid' principle here and use the double to show a 3 ♦ bid – i.e. max with both majors.

So far we have only considered situations where responder is weak. When responder is strong the knowledge that opener is maximum with both majors does no harm at all: -

West	East	West	East	
▲ AQ75 ■ KQ43	▲ KJ102	1NT	2 *	(1) Max, both majors (2) transfer
▲ A83	▼ A3982◆ K62	3♥ (1) 4♠	$4 \lor (2) \\ 4 NT (3)$	(3) RKCB
♣ Q5	* 8	etc to $6 \bigstar$		

Note that without this convention, the poorer $6 \lor$ contract may be reached (but East should use SARS to find the 4-4 \blacklozenge fit).

So, an old concept (the 2NT response) rejuvenated (except that we use $3 \blacklozenge$). Something to think about? And the down side? Defenders know more about declarer's shape.

One Step Further – The 2NT/3 & Bids

Well then, do these $3 \diamond/3 \lor/3 \diamond$ bids make sense? Presumably so if you have got this far in this section. But what about those bypassed bids of $2NT/3 \diamond$? Can anything be done with these? The obvious hand type to consider is a 6 card minor suit: -

- \bigstar AQ You choose to open this hand with a strong 1NT. Partner bids 2 \clubsuit . Is this
- ♥ Q43 a hand where a 3♣ response is called for? No. The knowledge that partner
- ♦ K5 probably has a 4 card major has not improved your hand. You elected to
- KQ9865 open this hand with 1NT and you have to be consistent, so $2 \bullet$ now.

Thus these jump bids after Stayman only make sense if our hand has improved after partner's Stayman bid, i.e. we have a 4 card major: -

West	East	A matter of style. Would you open a strong 1NT with this West
		hand? (I would). If yes, then read on.
▲ QJ54	▲ K973	You open 1NT, partner bids $2 \clubsuit$, you reply $2 \bigstar$ and partner
♥ K4	♥ Q1052	passes. A combined 22 count so seems OK. So 4 makes,
♦ AQ953	♦ J842	maybe with an overtrick, but it's impossible to bid?
♣ A8	♣ 6	

Now consider a 2NT response to Stayman that says 'I am non-min, have 5 decent \blacklozenge 's and a 4 card major'. With his superb fitting hand, East would punt 4 of the major. A pretty good contract. So we have: -

4)	1NT - 2♣ - 2NT	= non minimum, 5 decent \blacklozenge 's and a 4 card major
5)	1NT - 2♣ - 3♣	= non minimum, 5 decent \clubsuit 's and a 4 card major

Why use 2NT (instead of $3 \blacklozenge$) for the \blacklozenge hand? Because we need to have room for responder to ask for the major suit without going above 3 of the major with the reply. Before we have a few examples, let's define the complete bidding structure after these two bids: -

Opene	er's response shows $5 \diamond$'s: -		Opene	er's response shows 5 * 's: -
After	1NT - 2 * - 2NT : -		After	1NT - 2 * - 3 * : -
3 ♣ 3♦	= transfer to 3 ♦= which major?	(1)	3♦	= which major?
3NT	= to play (no 4 card major)		3NT 4 ♣	= to play (no 4 card major)= RKCB for ♣'s
4♦	= RKCB for \blacklozenge 's	(2)		

 Unlikely to be weak since there is a major suit fit (if responder is weak then he has both majors). Possibly a very weak 4360, 3460, 3451 or similar hand but it's more likely that responder has a big hand and is angling for a ♦ slam. This may be preferable to launching straight into RKCB (4♣) as opener is then declarer.

(2) Unlikely to be used as responder would normally transfer to \blacklozenge 's first.

If responder has a 4 card major, he normally bids $3 \diamond$ to establish the possible fit. With a weak hand he passes the $3 \checkmark / \bigstar$ reply; with a game going or slam hand he bids on.

Example 1

West	East	West	East	
▲ QJ54	▲ K973	1NT	2*	(1) 5 \bigstar 's and a 4 card major
♥ K4	♥ Q1052	2NT (1)	3 ♦ (2)	(2) which major?
◆ AQ953	♦ J842	3▲ (3)	4	(3) ▲ 's
♣ A8	& 6	pass		

A reasonable game that would normally be missed.

Example 2

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ Q542 ♥ AJ ♦ AK953 ♣ K8 	 ▲ 1093 ♥ Q1052 ♦ J10764 ♣ 6 	1NT 2NT (1) 3♦	2 * 3 * (2) pass	 (1) 5 ♦'s and a 4 card major (2) transfer to ♦'s

Under normal methods, this hand would be played in the inferior 2 (1NT - 2 - 2 - pass).

But responder may be interested in the minor suit slam. It is probably best to agree that $4 \blacklozenge$ after the transfer to $3 \blacklozenge$ is RKCB for \blacklozenge 's. When East has a 4 card major it is normally best to look for the major suit fit, but if slamming it may be best to go for the minor suit slam if the major suit is weak: -

Example 3

West	East	West	East	
▲ AQ84	▲ 10973	1NT	2*	(1) 5 \bigstar 's and a 4 card major
▼ A9	♥ K2	2NT (1)	3. (2)	(2) transfer to \bigstar 's
♦ K9863	♦ AQ102	3♦	4 ♦ (3)	(3) RKCB for \blacklozenge 's
♣ K8	♣ A94	4♥ (4) pass	6♦	(4) 3 key cards

 $6 \bigstar$ is a reasonable contract but $6 \bigstar$ is virtually 100%.

If East looks for a major suit fit but none materialises, he can fall back on the minor suit:-

Example 4

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ AQ ♥ A1054 ♦ K9863 ♣ K8 	 ▲ K1093 ♥ J2 ♦ AQ102 ♣ A94 	1NT 2NT (1) 3♥ (3)	2 ↓ 3♦ (2) ?	 (1) 5 ♦ 's and a 4 card major (2) which major? (3) ♥ 's

East is in a spot now. It was pairs scoring and so he hoped for a \bigstar slam. Now he wants to check on key cards with \bigstar 's as trumps, but a RKCB bid would be for \checkmark 's as trumps.

The solution? Double RKCB is of no use (East may well be only interested in one of West's suits). We need to have two RKCB bids, one for the major and one for the minor. You cannot play Kickback for \blacklozenge 's as 4 \checkmark would be a sign off. Best is to play 4 of the minor as RKCB for the minor and play Kickback (or 4NT if you prefer) for the major.

West	East	So our bidding sequence continues: -
1NT	2*	(4) RKCB for \blacklozenge 's
2NT	3♦	(5) 3 key cards
3♥	4♦ (4)	
4 ♥ (5)	6♦	
pass		

Note that under traditional methods East would probably not discover the superb \blacklozenge fit. A likely auction is 1NT - 2 - 2 - 3NT - pass. East does not have the values to press on over 3NT (unless he knows about the superb \blacklozenge fit)

Example 5

Example 5				
West	East	West	East	(1) 5 ♦'s and a 4 card major(2) which major?
				(3) ▲ 's
▲ AQ54	▲ K1093	1NT	2*	(4) RKCB for \bigstar 's
♥ A10	♥ J2	2NT (1)	3♦ (2)	(5) 2 key cards $+ \bigstar Q$
♦ K9863	♦ AQ102	3▲ (3)	4NT (4)	(6) king ask
♣ K8	\$ A94	5 (5)	5NT (6)	(7) ♣ K
		6 4 (7)	6♦ (8)	(8) ♦ K?
		7 ▲ (9)	pass	(9) yes

Of course it may not be so easy if you don't play this variation of RKCB. But even then $6 \triangleq$ will probably get a good score as most of the field will not know about the \blacklozenge fit and be in $4 \triangleq (1NT - 2 \clubsuit - 2 \clubsuit - 4 \clubsuit)$.

So, little doubt that these super-accepts enable thin games to be reached when responder has a very poor hand. And when responder has an invitational or better hand? Super, these bids are very explicit and should enable the correct game/slam to be reached with ease.

Let's just summarize the bids after responder has established opener's two suits via a $3 \blacklozenge$ 'which major' enquiry: -

<u>Opene</u>	er has ♣ 's and ♥'s	<u>Opene</u>	er has <u>&</u> 's and <u>\</u> 's
After	1NT - 2 ♣ - 3 ♣ - 3♦ - 3♥:-	After	1NT - 2 ♣ - 3 ♣ - 3 ♦ - 3 ♣ : -
3♠	=		
3NT	= to play	3NT	= to play
4♣	= RKCB for \clubsuit 's	4*	= RKCB for \clubsuit 's
4♦	=	4♦	=
4♥	= to play	4♥	=
4♠	= RKCB for \checkmark 's	4	= to play
		4NT	= RKCB for \bigstar 's
Opene	er has ♦'s and <u>♥</u> 's	Opene	er has ♦'s and <u>♠'s</u>
After	1NT - 2♣ - 2NT - 3♦ - 3♥: -	After	1NT - 2♣ - 2NT - 3♦ - 3♠ : -
3♠	=		
3NT	= to play	3NT	= to play
4*	=	4*	=
4♦	= RKCB for \bigstar 's	4♦	= RKCB for \blacklozenge 's
4♥	= to play	4♥	=
4♠	= RKCB for \checkmark 's	4	= to play
		4NT	= RKCB for \bigstar 's

And what about these spare bids of the other major and the other minor?

They may be used for whatever you wish, maybe cue bids, but be wary of the auction going past the RKCB bid.

4NT in the \checkmark sequences and 4 \checkmark in the \blacklozenge sequences could be used as quantitative NT bids, but there's not much point as opener has already shown a maximum hand.

Summary of Stayman Super Accepts

1NT -	-	2*	-	2NT	=	maximum, $5 \blacklozenge$'s and a 4 card major
1NT -	-	2♣	-	3♣	=	maximum, 5 &'s and a 4 card major
1NT -	-	2♣	-	3♦	=	maximum, both majors
1NT -	-	2♣	-	3♥	=	maximum, 5 ♥ 's
1NT -	-	2*	-	3♠	=	maximum, 5 🔺 's

The Downside

And what are the drawbacks of these super-accepts?

- 1) we may occasionally get too high $(3 \vee / \bigstar)$
- 2) these $3 \checkmark$ and $3 \blacktriangle$ contracts will sometimes be played from the wrong hand
- 3) the defence know opener's shape

Not really problems, if $3 \checkmark / \bigstar$ fails then the opponents can surely make something. Anyway, this really is a small price to pay for all the games (and slams) that will otherwise be missed. So the defence know opener's shape, but you will not reach the right contract unless his shape is determined.

2.8 <u>After 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \checkmark / \bigstar - 2NT$. – what is a suit bid?</u>

Here we consider the sequences: -

1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 2NT - 3♥ and 1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 2NT - 3♠

What can they possibly mean? Obviously forcing to game. But why not simply bid 3NT? Maybe opener has opened with a 5 card major and is giving responder a choice? Possibly, but if opener elected to open 1NT instead of $1 \checkmark / \clubsuit$ then he presumably has a hand suited to NT and partner's bidding has not changed that.

Hand A However, some people's style is to open 1NT on hands like this and then a bid that says '*I have values for game and my major is 5 card and I have a weak*

▲ AQJ94 *suit*' may come in very handy.

- ♥ KJ4
- ♦ 63
- **♣** AQ6

Example

West	East	West	East
▲ AQJ94	▲ K86	1NT	2*
♥ KJ4	♥ A852	2	2NT
♦ 63	♦ Q75	3▲ ((1) 4 (2)
♣ AQ6	& J75	pass	

(1) West is worried about \blacklozenge 's and so emphasises his \blacklozenge 's, this bid is forcing to $3NT/4 \blacklozenge$.

(2) With good \bigstar 's, East elects to go for the major suit game.

Now you could elaborate on this principle, with West bidding the suit which he is worried about. But that really would be giving too much information away to the defence, especially if the final contract is 3NT.

The bottom line. Many experienced players will open 1NT on any balanced hand with a 5 card major. If you go along with this philosophy then this is certainly a good convention for you. It is only available when partner has an invitational hand.

<u>Note</u>	If you play Stayman Super-Accepts then this convention may not be required.
	However, some players prefer to reserve the Stayman super-accepts for hands
▲ AQJ94	that are absolutely top of the range like this.

- ♥ KJ9 And note also that the Stayman Super-Accept is perhaps dodgy when playing
- ♦ 103 a weak NT, but this sequence is fine as responder has invitational values.
- ♣ AQ9

2.9 Looking for Slam (after Stayman)

We have defined most of responder's 2nd bids after Stayman, but there are a few remaining. Consider: -

After 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \lor$, what is $3 \bigstar , 4 \div$ or $4 \bigstar ?$ After 1NT - $2 \div - 2 \bigstar$, what is $3 \lor , 4 \bigstar , 4 \blacklozenge$ or $4 \lor ?$

There certainly is a good case for having splinters, but what is the best way to go about it? What sort of hand do we need for a splinter?

Example 1

West 1	West 2	East	West	East
▲ A984	♠ AKJ4	▲ Q763	1NT	2*
♥ KJ	♥ KJ	♥ AQ74	2	?
♦ A984	♦ A984	♦ 2		
♣ KJ8	\$ 982	♣ AQ73		

Let's suppose that you play $4 \diamond$ as a splinter in this situation. East bids $4 \diamond$ and West likes his hand. 4NT (RKCB) looks right. $6 \diamond$ is reached, making easily with West 2 but failing with West 1. What is the problem? East really needs better trumps to splinter and we need to be able to make a general slam try below the level of game. So one of these bids needs to be reserved as a general slam try (showing either no shortage and/or dodgy trumps).

One method is to use 3 of the other major as the general slam try, with $4 \clubsuit$, $4 \blacklozenge$ and $4 \lor$ (if \bigstar 's are trumps) as splinters. This works reasonably well, but is incomplete (no \bigstar splinter if \checkmark 's are trumps. Also, many players are used to having $4 \clubsuit$ as Gerber (of course I mean RKCB).

Is there a solution? Yes, we retain $4 \clubsuit$ as RKCB. Our general slam try is either an ASID sequence or $4 \blacklozenge$. We use 3 of the other major as an ambiguous splinter.

So, a	after	1NT - 2 ♣ - 2♥ -	and af	ter	1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ -
3♠	=	ambiguous splinter	3♥ =	=	ambiguous splinter
4♣	=	RKCB for ♥ 's	4 🜲 🗉	=	RKCB for \bigstar 's
4♦	=	slam try, no shortage	4♦ =	=	slam try, no shortage

2.9.1 Splinters after Stayman has found a fit

So we have decided upon 3 of the other major as an ambiguous splinter. Ambiguous splinters really do have an advantage over the direct splinter in that they lose nothing and do not give anything away to the defence if opener has an unsuitable hand for investigating slam. Opener can sign off in 4 of the major or ask about the shortage. The next bid up asks: -

So, after 1N	NT - 2 ♣	• - 2♥ - 3♠,	and after	1NT - 2♣ -	2♠ - 3♥,
3NT asks	4 ♣ 4♦ 4♥	 = ♣ singleton/void = ♦ singleton/void = ♠ singleton/void 	3▲ asks	3NT = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 =	ambiguous void ♣ singleton ♦ singleton ♥ singleton

In the sequence, we have a couple of spare bids (3NT and 4). We will use 3NT to show an ambiguous void, with 4♣ as a relay to find out where. There is no room in the ♥ sequence for something similar so the shortage may be singleton or void.

				After 1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 3♥ - 3♠ - 3NT,
Example 2				$4 \bigstar \text{ asks} \qquad 4 \bigstar = \bigstar \text{ void} \\ 4 \bigstar = \bigstar \text{ void} \\ 4 \bigstar = \bigstar \text{ void} $
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ A4 ♥ Q1084 ♦ KQ54 ♣ KQ8 	 ▲ K83 ◆ KJ75 ◆ 7 ◆ AJ1062 	1NT 2♥ 3NT (2) 4♥ (4)	2 ♣ 3 ▲ (1) 4 ◆ (3) pass	 (1) ambiguous splinter (2) where? (3) ♦ shortage (4) not interested
Example 3				
West	East	West	East	(1) ambiguous splinter(2) where?
▲ A42	▲ 3	1NT	2*	(3) ▲ shortage
♥ Q1084	♥ KJ75	2♥	3▲ (1)	(4) RKCB. Even with this flat West
♦ KQ5	♦ A87	3NT (2)	4 ♥ (3)	hand $6 \bullet$ is fine as there are no
♣ KQ8	♣ AJ1062	4 (4)	etc to 6♥	wasted values.

Example 4

West	East	West	East	(1) ambiguous splinter(2) not interested
 ▲ KQ2 ♥ Q1084 ♦ KQ5 	 ▲ 3 ◆ KJ75 ◆ A87 	1NT 2♥ 4♥ (2)	2♣ 3▲ (1) pass	
♣ KQ8	♣ AJ1062	(-)	<u>F</u>	

With examples 2-4 there is an alternative approach available. This is fully described later, but you could transfer to the minor and then bid 3 of the major (game forcing). The problem using the transfer to the minor method with these example hands is that when opener agrees your major then you are already at the 4 level and so you really need a better hand to venture forth opposite a possible minimum.

The splinter bids are, by definition, interested in slam.

Example 5				
West	East	West	East	(1) ambiguous splinter(2) where?
▲ AJ82	▲ KQ107	1NT	2*	(3) it's a void
♥ J84	¥ -	2	3 ♥ (1)	(4) where?
♦ KQ5	♦ A832	3▲ (2)	3NT (3)	(5) void \bullet
♣ AQ8	♣ KJ762	4. (4)	4 ♥ (5)	
		4NT etc	to 7♠	

This East hand could have splintered over the 1NT directly (see section 5.4) but chose the Stayman route because of the good quality of the \bigstar suit and relatively poor \bigstar 's. Another approach is that East could transfer into \clubsuit 's and then bid \bigstar 's, we cover transfers to minors later.

Hands that are definitely slamming.

Hand A	Hand B	Now we saw in the previous examples that responder's hand
		may be slam invitational or definitely slamming. There is,
4	♠ -	however, a problem with hands that are definitely slamming
♥ KQ107	♥ KQ107	with \bigstar shortage. With these hands we would be bidding $4 \checkmark$
♦ A832	♦ A832	in response to partner's shortage enquiry and the problem is
♣ KJ76	♣ KJ762	that he may then pass! So with these very strong hands with A shortage
		we have to take another route. With Hand A you could

either try SARS or else you could choose to splinter directly (this is covered later). With Hand B you could transfer to *'s (we cover this later) and then bid *'s or you could again choose to splinter directly.

There is also a similar promlem with a hand that has a \clubsuit void as $4 \clubsuit$ shows this, and partner may pass $4 \clubsuit$. So with a \clubsuit void and a slam forcing hand you also have to choose an alternative approach

2.9.2 <u>4 ← - The General Slam Try</u>

The bidding has started 1NT - $2 - 2 \sqrt{A}$. If responder is interested in slam in the suit then he has a number of options including key card ask, (ambiguous) splinter or ASID. Without shortage you cannot splinter, ASID may not help when you have found the fit but don't know if slam is there - you want partner to try for slam. Sometimes, with no clear course of action, it may be best to pass the buck. So we define: -

1NT - 2♣ - 2♥/♠ - 4♦

as a slam try in the agreed suit but usually without a shortage. It invites opener to look for slam if he has decent trumps and a non-min. If opener is interested, then RKCB (I prefer $4 \clubsuit$ when \checkmark 's are trumps) is the usual continuation.

Hand A	Hand B	Partner op responds 2	ens a strong N 2 ▲ (A) or 2 ♥ (Γ, you bid Stayman and partnerB). There really is little point in
▲ AJ84	▲ A9	enquiring a	bout partner's	shape (using ASID) and you have
♥ A92	♥ KQ107	no shortag	e to splinter. Yo	ou want to invite slam but have
♦ KJ9	◆ A87	nothing sp	ecial to say. So	bid $4 \blacklozenge$, this agrees trumps and
♣ A87	& K862	leaves it up with ♥ 's)	to partner. If h then he can find	he bids RKCB (I prefer 4 s as the RKCB bid out all about your hand.
Example 1				
West	East	West	East	 (1) general slam try agreeing ♥'s (2) minimum.
♠ KQ	▲ A9	1NT	2*	
♥ J842	♥ KQ107	2♥	4♦ (1)	
♦ KQ5	♦ A87	4 ♥ (2)	pass	
♣ AJ73	& K862			
Example 2				
West	East	West	East	 (1) general slam try agreeing ▲ 's (2) RKCB for ▲ 's
▲ KQ76	▲ AJ84	1NT	2*	(3) 3 key cards
♥ KQ5	♥ A92	2	4 ♦ (1)	× / •
♦ A106	♦ KJ9	4NT (2)	5. (3)	
♣ K93	A 87	6♠	pass	

Note that 6 s is pretty solid whereas 6NT would be on a guess. Another testament to the good 4-4 fit.

2.9.3 <u>4. - Gerber/RKCB after Stayman</u>

Here we consider the sequences: -

1) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 4♣
 2) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 4♣
 3) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 4♣

(1) is a simple ace ask (Gerber).
 (2) and (3) are RKCB.
 4NT bids are quantitative.

2.9.3.1 <u>4. Gerber after a 2. response to Stayman</u>

Much the same as the standard Gerber bid after a 1NT opening, except that responder is known to have a 4 card major.

Hand A	Hand B	Hand A first looks for a ▲ fit. With no fit, 6NT is still a good bet, but best to check on the aces. You never know!
▲ AQJ10	▲ K8	
♥ KQ6	♥ KQJ6	Hand B was looking for 7♥. You could now check on aces
♦ QJ4	♦ AJ6	and try 7NT. This hand should, however, be looking for a & fit
♣ K73	♣ KQ109	and should bid 3. (SARS) looking for 7.

So, we only really use Gerber after Stayman if we have no other good 4 card suit (we would try SARS). Thus typically 4333 or 3433 shapes.

Example 1

West	East	West	East	 With a good 5 card suit West elects to open and 1NT is fine.
▲ K9	▲ AQJ10	1NT (1)	2♣	(2) Gerber
♥ J109	♥ KQ6	2♦	4. (2)	(3) 1 ace
♦ AK5	♦ QJ4	4 ♥ (3)	4NT (4)	(4) glad I checked!
♣ QJ1098	♣ K73	pass		
Example 2				
West	East	West	East	(1) bid 3♣ (SARS) here and onto 7♣when the ♣ fit is uncovered.
▲ AJ7	▲ K8	1NT	2*	All the aces and all the kings
♥ A72	♥ KQJ6	2♦	? (1)	does not mean that 7NT is safe.
♦ K85	♦ AJ6			
♣ AJ72	♣ KQ109			

2.9.3.2 <u>4. - RKCB after a 2.♥/A</u> response to Stayman

When opener acknowledges a major suit, 4. is the key card ask. I prefer to call it RKCB and retain the name Gerber when specifically asking for aces.

Hand A	Hand B	Same hands as before. This time Hand A gets a 2 A response
		to Stayman. So 4., RKCB, to check on key cards on the way
♠ KQJ3	▲ K8	to 6♠.
♥ K76	♥ KQJ6	Hand B discovers the \checkmark fit. $7 \checkmark$ is surely there if all the key
♦ A105	♦ AJ6	cards are present.
♣ KQ10	♣ KQ109	

Example 1

West	East	West	East	(1) RKCB(2) 3 key cards
▲ A874	♠ KQJ3	1NT	2*	
♥ A82	♥ K76	2	4. (1)	
♦ KJ7	♦ A105	4♦ (2)	6♠	
♣ A72	♣ KQ10	pass		

Here we see the power of the 4-4 fit yet again. In 6NT we need to find the $\diamond Q$, in 6 \bigstar we are safe provided the trumps split 3-2. (3 rounds of trumps, eliminate \clubsuit 's and throw in on the 3rd round of \checkmark 's). Another example of why you should still bid Stayman when 4333.

Example 2				
West	East	West	East	(1) RKCB(2) 3 key cards
▲ AJ5	▲ K8	1NT	2*	
♥ A852	♥ KQJ6	2♥	4 . (1)	
♦ K7	♦ AJ6	4♦ (2)	etc to 7♥	
♣ AJ72	♣ KQ109			
Example 3				_
West	East	West	East	(1) RKCB(2) 3 key cards
▲ AJ5	▲ KQ	1NT	2*	(3) ♥ Q?
♥ A852	♥ KJ106	2♥	4 . (1)	(4) no
♦ J7	♦ AKQ	4♦ (2)	4 (3)	
♣ AJ72	♣ KQ109	4NT (4)	6♥ or 6NT	

If you don't play RKCB here then it may be difficult to establish that the \mathbf{v} Q is missing. It's up to you what you play at (4) to deny the trump queen, I assume the next bid.

2.9.4 <u>4NT (and 4 of the other major) after Stayman</u>

4NT is always quantitative. We have the following sequences to consider: -

1) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 4NT
 2) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 4NT
 3) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 4NT

also, there are three redundant sequences: -

4) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♦ - 4♠
5) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♥ - 4♠
6) 1NT - 2♣ - 2♠ - 4♥

We shall define all of these as quantitative.

2.9.4.1 <u>4NT and 4 after opener has denied a 4 card major</u>

First, the sequences 1NT - 2 - 2 - 4 = and 1NT - 2 - 2 - 4NT.

We use the $4 \blacklozenge$ and $4 \blacktriangledown$ bids here as Extended Texas transfers and so $4 \clubsuit$ is free. We shall define both $4 \clubsuit$ and 4NT as quantitative. Responder has one or two 4 card majors (otherwise he would not have gone via Stayman) but opener has none. Now a normal 4NT quantitative bid is 15-17 pts, so let's make it easier for opener by saying how good the quantitative bid is.

1NT - 2 - 2 - 4 = quantitative, 15-16. One or two 4 card majors 1NT - 2 - 2 - 4NT = quantitative, 17. One or two 4 card majors

Opener now has slightly more to go on. He simply uses the normal replies to a quantitative 4NT bid. I.e. sign off in 4NT, look for a minor suit fit or bid 6NT. Note that opener must have a *good* minor suit to look for a minor suit slam – responder does not have another decent 4 card suit or he would have used SARS.

Example 1

West	East	West	East	(1) 15-16
				(2) I have good \blacklozenge 's, forcing
▲ Q94	▲ AJ76	1NT	2 ♣	
♥ 84	♥ A7	2♦	4 ▲ (1)	
♦ AKQ9	♦ J532	5♦ (2)	6♦	
♣ AJ84	♣ KQ6	pass		

West liked his hand and the \blacklozenge suit, so he accepted the invitation but tried for a \blacklozenge slam. East was pleased to oblige.

Example 2

West	East	West	East	(1) 15-16
 ▲ J9 ♥ KJ4 ♦ AK94 	 ▲ AQ76 ♥ A7 ♦ J532 	1NT 2♦ 4NT	2♣ 4▲ (1) pass	
& A984	♣ KQ6		1	

This time, West does not have enough opposite 15-16 and so he signs off. He would have taken his chances opposite 17: -

Example 3

But it's always best to look for a decent fit, here 6 imes is superior to 6NT: -

West	East	West	East	(1) 17
				(2) I have good \blacklozenge 's, forcing
▲ J9	▲ AQ76	1NT	2 ♣	
♥ KJ4	♥ A7	2♦	4NT (1)	
♦ AK94	♦ Q532	5♦ (2)	6♦	
& A984	♣ KQ6	pass		

West knows that East does not have a good 4 card 2^{nd} suit (he did not try SARS). So there is no point in looking for a \clubsuit slam. The \blacklozenge suit, however, is robust, so try it at (2).

East also knows what's going on of course. He knows that West will only suggest \blacklozenge 's with a good suit and so he accepts \blacklozenge 's as trumps.

Example 4

If opener has a reasonable 5 card suit then he bids it at the six level: -

West	East	West	East	(1) 17
				(2) I have a 5 card A suit
▲ J9	▲ AQ76	1NT	2*	(3) excellent
♥ K42	♥ A7	2♦	4NT (1)	
♦ AK4	♦ J532	6 . (2)	pass (3)	
& AJ984	♣ KQ6			

2.9.4.2 <u>4NT and 4♥ after opener has replied 2▲ to Stayman</u>

A similar situation here, there is again no major suit fit. Opener has $4 \blacktriangle$'s and responder has $4 \blacktriangledown$'s.

1NT - 2 - 2 = - 4 = quantitative, 15-16. Four card = quantitative, 15-16. Four card = quantitative, 17. Four card = qu

Much the same as earlier, opener may sign off, look for a minor suit fit or bid 6NT. Again, responder is unlikely to have another decent 4 card suit as he would probably have looked for a fit via SARS.

Example 4

It may just be that opener has a 5 card A suit: -

West	East	West	East	(1) 15-16, quantitative
▲ AQ974	▲ J103	1NT	2*	
♥ QJ9	♥ AK76	2	4♥ (1)	
♦ J6	♦ A72	4 ▲ (2)	pass	
♣ AJ8	\$ 96			

The 4 \bigstar bid at (2) is best defined as a 5 card suit and offering 4 \bigstar or 4NT as a final resting place. With a 5 card \bigstar suit and a max hand, opener bids 6 \bigstar (or 5 \bigstar if you prefer), offering the choice of 6 \bigstar or 6NT.

Example 5

Occasionally we may luck out with this use of $4 \lor$ as a quantitive bid if opener has good 3 card support for \checkmark 's and goes for the Moysian fit: -

West	East	West	East	
▲ AK94	▲ J53	1NT	2*	
♥ QJ9	♥ AK76	2	4♥	(1)
♦ J6	♦ A72	pass		
♣ A984	♣ KJ6			(1) 15-16, quantitative (and obviously 4 ♥ 's as responder went via Stayman).

2.9.4.3 <u>4NT and 4▲ after opener has replied 2♥ to Stayman</u>

So, the two remaining sequences. But things are slightly different this time as opener may have $4 \bigstar$'s in addition to his $4 \checkmark$'s.

 $1NT - 2 \div - 2 \lor - 4 \bigstar$ = quantitative, 15-16. Four card \bigstar suit. $1NT - 2 \div - 2 \lor - 4NT$ = quantitative, 17. Four card \bigstar suit.

In addition to the normal options (4NT, 6NT or a minor suit slam), there is also the possibility of a A fit.

Example 6

West	East	West	East	
▲ A1064	▲ KQJ7	1NT	2*	(1) quantitative, 4 ▲ 's
♥ AK95	♥ 42	2♥	4 ▲ (1)	
♦ A8	♦ K532	6♠	pass	
& J97	♣ AK6			

West has just enough to accept East's invitation with \bigstar 's as trumps. East did not go via SARS because his \blacklozenge suit is a bit ropey for a \blacklozenge slam.

Example 7

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ A8 ♥ AK95 ♦ Q876 ▲ Q19 	 ▲ KQJ7 ♥ 42 ♦ K532 ▲ AK6 	1NT 2♥ 4NT	2 * 4 * (1) pass	(1) quantitative, 4 ▲ 's

West has the same values, but with no good fit there is no slam. As East did not try SARS, West knows that a possible \blacklozenge fit would not be a good suit for slam.

Example 8

West	East	West	East	
 ▲ A1064 ♥ AQ95 ♦ A8 ♣ J97 	 ▲ KQJ7 ◆ 42 ◆ K432 ▲ AQ6 	1NT 2♥ pass	2* 4* (1)	(1) quantitative, 4 ▲ 's

A \clubsuit fit this time, but West is minimum and so no slam.

Example 9				
West	East	West	East	
▲ A1064♥ AQ95	 ▲ KQJ7 ♥ 42 ₩ 122 	1NT 2♥	2 ♣ 4NT (1)	(1) max quantitative, $4 \bigstar$'s
◆ A8◆ J97	♦ KJ32♣ AK6	6 🛦	pass	

West has the same minimum hand, but this time East's quantitative bid shows max (17 points). West accepts because there is a fit.

Example 10				
West	East	West	East	
 ▲ A106 ♥ AJ95 ♦ AQJ7 ♣ J9 	 ▲ KQJ7 ◆ 42 ◆ K432 ◆ AK6 	1NT 2♥ 5♦ pass	2 ♣ 4 ▲ (1) 6 ♦	(1) quantitative, 4 ▲ 's

West is maximum. With good intermediates, an excellent \blacklozenge suit and good \blacklozenge support, the \blacklozenge slam is worth investigating.

Example 11

With no • fit, you are generally heading for 6NT and hope it makes? ...

West	East	West	East	
▲ A106	♠ KQJ7	INT	2*	(1) quantitative, $4 \bigstar$'s
♥ AJ95	♥ K82	2♥	4 ▲ (1)	
♦ AQJ7	♦ 432	5♦ (2)	5 ▲ (3)	
♣ J9	♣ AK6	6♠	pass	

After West's $5 \blacklozenge$ at (2) we are heading for slam. But it does no harm for East to emphasise his good \blacklozenge 's at (3). West is only too happy to oblige.

*** End of Chapter 2 ***