This is an extract from the 'Beginner's Pages' and basic Stayman is covered. Stayman will be covered in much more detail when an advanced NT bidding book will be placed on this web site later.

The first thing to remember when partner opens 1NT is that he has a balanced hand. Balanced hands usually play well in NT and NT scores more than a minor suit. In all of our examples, partner had opened 1NT (15-17).

Now when partner opens 1NT we know how many points he has (15-17) and it is up to us to stop now, invite game or bid game. We need 8-9 points to invite game and a good 9+ points is usually enough to bid game without inviting.

Hand 1	Hand 2	Hand 3	All of these hands are fairly balanced and we have no reason to think of anything other than NT as
▲ 1095	▲ 1095	▲ K105	a final strain. So with hand 1 we pass; with Hand 2
v 976	💙 Q76	💙 QJ8	we invite with 2NT and with Hand 3 we bid 3NT.
♦ J9	♦ KJ942	♦ KJ942	Note that even a 5 card \clubsuit or \blacklozenge suit is not worth
♣ KJ942	♣ Q9	♣ KJ	mentioning, NT scores more.

<u>Stayman</u>

Fine, a minor suit is usually not even worth mentioning; but major suit(s) are different! There is only 10 points difference between the scores for a NT contract and a \checkmark/\clubsuit contract and if there is a major suit fit (4-4, 5-3 or better) then you usually get more tricks by playing in the major.

Hand 4	Hand 5	Partner has again opened 1NT. Hand 4 is worth a game invitation and Hand 5 is worth game. But in NT or is there
▲ J87	▲ Q76	a 4-4 \checkmark fit? If we respond 2 \checkmark , how does opener know if that
♥ Q1095	♥ A1095	is a 4 or 5 card suit? Now I said above that we don't bother to
♦ KJ92	♦ KJ92	mention a \clubsuit or \blacklozenge suit, so the $2 \clubsuit / \blacklozenge$ bids are spare. We use the
♣ Q9	♣ J9	2. bid for the Stayman Convention. It says 'I have a 4 card major (possibly two
		4 card majors) and I want to find out if we have a fit. It is totally artificial and
		says nothing about the & suit

With both Hand 4 and 5 we bid Stayman 2. The responses to Stayman by opener are: -

- $2 \blacklozenge$ = I have no 4 card major
- $2 \checkmark$ = I have $4 \checkmark$'s (and also possibly $4 \blacktriangle$'s)
- $2 \bigstar$ = I have $4 \bigstar$'s but do not have $4 \checkmark$'s.

So with Hand 4 we bid 2* Stayman. If partner replies 2 (no major) or 2 (4 's but not 4 's) then we bid 2NT – showing our 8-9 points and invitational to 3NT. If partner bids 2 then we invite the 4 game by bidding 3. Hand 5 is worth game but we still start with Stayman. Over 2 we bid 3NT and over 2 we bid 4. One final point; since partner must respond to 2, we may have to play in 2NT and so 2, guarantees invitational values +. There are exceptions (see Garbage Stayman), but for now let's say Stayman guarantees 8 or more points.

Stayman when you have both majors

Let's now consider the situation when responder has two 4 card majors: -

Hand 6	Hand 7	Partner has again opened 1NT. Hand 6 is worth a game	
		invitation and Hand 7 is worth game. But in NT or is there	
♠ KJ92	♠ KJ92	a 4-4 major suit fit? So we know now to bid 2*, Stayman.	
♥ Q1095	♥ A1095	It's easy then. If partner responds $2 \lor$ or $2 \bigstar$ we invite with	
♦ J87	♦ Q76	3 of the major with hand 6 and bid 4 of the major with Hand 7.	
♣ Q9	\$ J9	If partner responds $2 \blacklozenge$ then we bid an invitational 2NT with hand 6 and bid 3NT with Hand 7.	

So, both majors is simple. But what if opener has both majors and partner bids Stayman?

Hand 8	Hand 9	You open 1NT with both of these hands and partner bids 2.
		With both majors you always respond $2 \mathbf{v}$, regardless of which
▲ AQ92	▲ AQ92	suit is 'better'. So you bid $2 \checkmark$ and partner bids 2NT, invitational.
♥ Q1095	♥ A1095	What do you do now? Hand 8 is minimal and you do not want
♦ KJ7	♦ KJ7	to be in game, so pass? No! Partner's 2. Stayman bid promises
♣ K9	♣ K9	a 4 card major, he did not like \checkmark 's and so he must have 4 \blacktriangle 's, so bid 3 \bigstar . With
		Hand 9 the same logic applies, since you want to accept the game invitation, bid
		4▲ (not 3NT).

Let's consider these same hands 8 & 9 some more. we opened 1NT, partner bid Stayman, we bid $2 \checkmark$ but this time partner jumps to 3NT. What do you do? Partner has said that we have sufficient values for game, so pass? No! If partner simply wanted to play in 3NT he would not have bid Stayman. Since he started with $2 \clubsuit$ and then bid 3NT over our $2 \checkmark$ response he has game going values with a 4 card \bigstar suit. We must convert to $4 \bigstar$ with both of these hands.

One more twist. It starts off the same but this time partner bids 4NT after our $2 \lor$ response. What is this? Blackwood is covered elsewhere, but this bid is not Blackwood, it is natural (quantitative). It is a slam invitation and we should bid slam with Hand 9 but not with Hand 8. So what do we do with Hand 8? Pass or $5 \bigstar$ would both be reasonable and I'm sure that either would get lots of votes; but what do you bid with Hand 9? $6 \bigstar$! This is not a 'new suit at the 6 level'! partner had promised a 4 card \bigstar suit and $6 \bigstar$ is usually better than 6NT when you have a good 4-4 fit and no points to spare.

Remember, the 2. Stayman bid *promises* at least one 4 card major. That is until we get onto the more complex variations in the NT book. For a much more extensive coverage of Stayman, refer to chapter 2 of the NT bidding book.

Pattaya Bridge Club - www.pattayabridge.com