Texas Transfers (4 ♦ & 4 ♥)

Suppose partner opens 1NT and your hand dictates that you want to play in 4v. You have various options. You can always transfer with 2♦ and then bid 4♥. You could also bid 4♥ directly, but it is normally better for the 1NT opener to be declarer and so we have Texas transfers which immediately transfer opener to $4 \vee / \blacktriangle$. Transferring immediately to the 4 level is normally a sign off, i.e. not interested in slam.

There are two different versions of these Texas transfers: -

Scheme A (South African Texas)	Scheme B		
$4 = \text{transfer to } \bullet$	$4 \blacklozenge = \text{transfer to} \blacklozenge$		
$4 \blacklozenge = \text{transfer to} \blacklozenge$	$4 \bullet = \text{transfer to } \bullet$		

West

1NT

4▲ (2)

Which is to be preferred? Presumably scheme B as this is more efficient and leaves 4. available for another use such as Gerber. One drawback with scheme B is that opener may forget and pass a 4 v bid! Assuming that readers will not forget, which scheme is best? I personally prefer South African Texas but I will explain Texas Transfers here as they are more widely used.

So why do we want these Texas transfers when we can always go via Jacoby? Consider these two sequences: -

> Sequence 1 1NT - 2♥ - 2♠ - 4♠ Sequence 2 1NT - 4♥ - 4♠

What is the difference? Both show $6+ \bigstar$'s and a game going hand. Sequence 1 is mildly slam interested whereas sequence 2 is not, it may even be pre-emptive in nature. If opener is max and likes A 's then he may bid on in sequence 1.

(1) Texas transfer for \bigstar 's.

Example 1

- West ▲ A109 ♥ QJ84 ♦ KQJ8 ♣ A8
 - ♥ K103
 - ♦ 92 ♣ J4

East

▲ KQJ762

West is max and likes his hand for A's, but he is not allowed to do anything more than bid 4 A at (2).

(1)

East

4♥

pass

Example 2

East			
▲ 5	West	East	The hand is worth game and a Texas transfer
♥ KQJ654			is correct as you don't want partner to look for
♦ Q105	1NT	4 ♦ (1)	slam which he may do if you go via the
* 765	4♥	pass	Jacoby Transfer Route.

A Texas transfer may be used with a very weak distributional hand: -

Example 3						
Dealer:	▲ J3		West	North	East	South
West	♥ AK93					
Love all	♦ 9432		1NT	pass	4 ♥ (1)	pass
	♣ AQ3		4♠	pass	pass	pass
▲ A109	Ν	▲ Q876542				
♥ QJ84	W E	♥ 5				
♦ KQJ8	S	♦ 765				
♣ K8		\$ 97				
	♦ K					
	♥ 10762					
	♦ A10					
	♣ J106542					

4 \bigstar may make, but even one down is an excellent score against N-S's \checkmark or \clubsuit partscore or game. If East hand simply transferred with 2 \checkmark at (1) then North would have had an easy double of West's 2 \bigstar response. Neither North nor South can really say anything at the 4 level.

As we have seen, a Texas transfer is a weak bid or else a reasonable hand without slam interest. It is possible to have continuations by responder after the completion of a Texas transfer, and some players do play that 4NT (or Kickback) is RKCB. This would then free the 4.4 bid in a Jacoby transfer sequence for another use (some sort of slam try or perhaps a splinter). Quite playable and up to you.

New suits at the 5 level by responder can also be bid. These are probably best played as Exclusion Blackwood, asking for key cards outside the exclusion suit which would be a void. But you could play this equally well after a Jacoby transfer.