# Club News Sheet – No. 234 www.pattayabridge.com 29th April 2007

My home phone is **038 422924** and my mobile number is **086 6089887** It is best to use my home number to contact me unless I am at the bridge club.

Mon 16 <sup>th</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> Janne & Lasse	68%	$2^{nd}$	Gene & Bengt	60%
Wed 18th	1 <sup>st</sup> Henrik & Terje	67%	$2^{\text{nd}}$	Janne & Lasse	56%
Fri 20 <sup>th</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> (individual) Ivy	61% =	= 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Phil, Dave, Sean, Paul W	58%

Bidding Quiz		Standard American is assumed unless otherwise stated.
Hand A	Hand B	With Hand A partner opens 1♥ and you respond 1♠. Partner then bids 2♦, what do you bid?
<b>▲</b> KQ108643	▲ A8653	
♥ Q	🕈 AK	(a) What do you open with Hand B?
♦ AQ92	♦ A532	(b) Suppose you open 1♠ and partner responds 2♠, what do
<b>★</b> 3	<b>◆</b> 43	you do now?
Bidding Sequ	ences Ouiz	All of these sequences occurred this week

С	1NT 2♠	pass pass	2 <b>♣</b> 4 <b>♣</b>	pass	What is 4 natural, splinter or ace-ask?
D	1♥ 2♦	pass pass	1 <b>≜</b> 4 <b>♣</b>	pass	What is 4♣ - natural, splinter or ace-ask?
E	1 <b>♣</b> 1♥	pass pass	1♦ 1♠	pass	What is $1 \bigstar$ - natural or $4^{th}$ suit forcing?
F	1 <b>♣</b> 1♥	pass pass	1♦ 2♠	pass	What is $2 \bigstar$ - natural or $4^{th}$ suit forcing?

#### Make declarer guess

I overbid and ended up in a very dodgy slam on this deal on Monday. But I note that **every** declarer made 12 tricks! I think that slam will not make with sensible defence.

Dealer:	♠ A76					
North	💙 KQJ984		West	North	East	South
Love all	♦ K92		-	1♥	pass	1♠
	♣ 8		pass	3♥ (1)	pass	4NT
			pass	5 <b>▲</b> (2)	pass	6♥ (3)
▲ 843	Ν	<b>▲</b> Q105				
♥ A65	W E	<b>v</b> 107	(1) A bit of	an overbid, b	ut North's	s♥ suit is
♦ Q5	S	108764	fairly sol	id and partner	r's ♠ bid i	improves the
♣ K9763		<b>♣</b> 1054	hand.			
	♠ KJ92		(2) 2 keycar	rds + the $\mathbf{v}Q$		
	♥ 32		(3) With mis	serable trump	s and tena	ices galore,
	♦ AJ3		6NT ma	y be a better	bid.	
	♣ AQJ2					

And what happened? The hand was played six times; three in  $6\Psi$  making and three in 3NT making +3. But this deal is not included for the bidding but the play; you really do need a lot of help from the defence to make 12 tricks – and I witnessed the play at two tables: -

When I was North, declarer in  $6 \mathbf{V}$ , East led the  $\mathbf{0}$  for some reason. So that was  $3 \mathbf{0}$  tricks in the bag for free. But I still needed to make a black suit guess; I drew 2 rounds of trumps and West ducked twice! That left him with his  $\mathbf{V}A$ , so I cleared the  $\mathbf{0}$ 's and played a trump and West was end-played, having to concede a black suit trick to dummy.

I was only playing for fun (my scores did not count) and I later kibitzed the hand being played by Dave as South in 3NT ( $1 \lor - 1 \triangleq - 2 \lor - 3NT - pass$ ). West led the  $\clubsuit 6$  and so declarer has 11 tricks. He tackled the  $\blacktriangledown$ 's and West again ducked twice. Dave simply led a  $3^{rd}$  round of  $\clubsuit$ 's and this West was also end-played to concede 12 tricks.

The bottom lines: -

- Sometimes it is correct to hold up with Axx this is usually when declarer has communication problems.
- But don't hold up if you are subsequently going to be end-played. Both of the above West's should have risen with the ♥A on the 2<sup>nd</sup> round and immediately exited with their 3<sup>rd</sup> ♥.
- Since everybody made 12 tricks I assume that all of the E-W's do not understand end-plays?
- Dave got a fortunate lead, but without the subsequent end-play Dave would have had to guess whether to finesse ♦'s or ♠'s for his 12<sup>th</sup> trick.
- I also got a fortunate (very strange) lead, but without the subsequent end-play I would have had to guess whether to finesse  $\bigstar$ 's or  $\bigstar$ 's for my 12<sup>th</sup> trick.
- Don't give declarer freebies make him guess.

Dealer: East	▲ KQ6 ✓ J10632			West	North -	Eas 1♠	t(B) (1)	South pass		
E-W vul	<b>♦</b> 94			2♠	pass	3♦	(2)	pass		
	<b>♣</b> K7			3♠	all pass			1		
<b>▲</b> J94	Ν	▲ A8653	(1)	What did	l you open w	rith this	s East	hand B(a)		
<b>v</b> 975	W E	V AK		in this we	ek's quiz? 5	242 is	not b	alanced, but		
♦ J6	S	♦ A532		I would o	open INT w	ith higł	1 hono	ours in both		
♣ QJ852		<b>♣</b> 43		of the do	ubletons. Wi	ith 뢒 4	3 I ag	gree with 1♠.		
	<b>▲</b> 107		(2)	(2) But here we see the problem $-$ should East now						
	♥ Q84			make a try for game? What did you bid with						
	• K1087			this East	hand B(b) in	this w	eek's	quiz?		
	♣ A1096			3 here was a help-suit game try. I think that						
				this is a v	very poor 15	(the ♥	AKa	re bad – points belong		
				in long s	uits) and I w	ould p	ass.	· · · ·		

The title is an allusion to page 20 of Tony Forrester's excellent book "Secrets of Success".

But rather than hearing my mutterings, let's hear it from a real expert – Tony Forrester. In Chapter 1 of 'Secrets of success' he explains when you should make a help-suit game try, having opened  $1 \triangleq$  and got a  $2 \triangleq$  response: -

"If your hand seems to be borderline then imagine that partner's hand contains two cards totaling six points, i.e. an ace and a queen or two kings, and place them specifically where you would like them to be. If, with these cards alone, game is likely to be on, make a game try; otherwise sit on your hands.

So how does this East hand fare in Tony's test? Clearly it's the AK and AK that are needed, but is game then a fair prospect? Looks like  $1 \triangleq$ ,  $1 \triangleq$  and  $2 \triangleq$  losers to me – so no. East should not make a try. There are other ways of looking at it – as I said, the doubleton AK are 'bad'. And yet another method – popular in the UK although I don't use it myself – is the losing trick count. This hand has 6 losers and that is considered to be only just above a minimal opening.

And what happened?  $3 \triangleq$  went minus two, so 200 away for a clear bottom.  $1 \triangleq$  and  $2 \triangleq$  made exactly at other tables for the top scores to E-W. Note that if West does not bid  $2 \triangleq$  but passes, then North will balance with  $2 \P$  and N-S can make  $3 \P$  for a good score. West's  $2 \triangleq$  bid would have worked great had East passed.

The bottom lines: -

- West's 2♠ response was sub minimum but acceptable in my opinion.
- Had E-W been playing 2/1 then there would have been no problem whatsoever West would have shown a weak raise by going via the forcing NoTrump.
- Do not open 1NT with 5422 type shape unless both doubletons are good.
- Ignore what I write if you wish, but listen to Tony Forrester.
- His book is in the library if you wish to borrow it.

## Dave's Column

Here is this week's Dave input involving the best play for the contract. Actually it's a quite complex issue involving Restricted Choice by both defenders. Restricted choice is a complicated mathematical topic which I rarely discuss in the news-sheets.

♠ KJ97	Ν	<b>▲</b> Q865
♥ 83	W E	♥ AK4
♦ AQ62	S	♦ KJ42
♣ AQ4		♣ K3

You are West, declarer in 6♠. You win the ♥Q
lead and lead a small $\bigstar$ to the $\bigstar$ K which North
wins with the $\bigstar A$ . North continues with the $\forall J$
which you win.

Problem A – which  $\clubsuit$  do you now lead from East? Problem B – which  $\clubsuit$  would you lead from East if East's  $\bigstar$ 's were  $\bigstar$ Q652?

## Dave's Column answer

Board 24 from Wednesday 18th

South ends up in 6. How do you play the hand (problem A) on the  $\mathbf{V}Q$  lead?

Dealer:	<b>♠</b> A		You open 1NT with the West hand and after a				
West	♥ QJ1052		Stayman sequence you reach the excellent $6 \bigstar$ .				
Love all	♦ 987		You win the opening lead in dummy and try a				
	♣ 8752		$\bigstar$ to your king but it loses to the $\bigstar$ A.				
			North continues with the ♥J which you win in				
<b>▲</b> KJ97	Ν	<b>▲</b> Q865	dummy, what do you do next?				
♥ 83	W E	♥ AK4					
♦ AQ62	S	♦ KJ42	Hint: All you have to do is avoid a 2 <sup>nd</sup> trump loser,				
♣ AQ4		<b>♣</b> K3	and the only danger is a 4-1 break				
	▲ 10432						
	<b>v</b> 976		<u>Tip</u> : When a defender plays a card, do not assume				
	♦ 105		that all combinations containing that card are				
	<b>♣</b> J1096		equally likely.				

<u>Play</u>: Several authors, including Hugh Kelsey in "Test Your Percentages", have misanalysed this position. The correct play is to continue with the  $\blacklozenge$ Q. Although A10xx with North is three times more likely than singleton ace, with the former holding North should duck over two thirds of the time (Restricted choice - see Terry's note next page). With the singleton ace he has no choice but to play it. Only if you believe that North would not duck that often should you play him to have  $\blacklozenge$ A10xx (so lead a low  $\blacklozenge$  from East). If the King had held you should then play low to the queen, as with  $\blacklozenge$ A10xx, West might have won. With a small singleton he had no choice but to play it. As Kelsey stated, arguing that's West's ace is 3 times more likely to be from A10xx, "such problems can be confusing when you first come across them". Indeed so.

Terry's comments: - I've got loads of them; they are on the next page.

<u>Terry's comments</u>: This all seems very complex maths and advanced psychology to me! I do not believe that there are many players in the Pattaya Bridge Club who would duck (over two thirds of the time!!) when holding A10xx. And actually the mathematics is interesting – the author states that A10xx is three times more likely than a singleton ace. Is that statement true? The four cases where West has the ace are below (we ignore singleton ten and 3-2 splits as then there is no problem).

North	South	When South plays the $\bigstar 2$ that actually eliminates possibilities
(a) A	10432	(c) and (d) so it appears that the odds are exactly even. But
(b) A1043	2	restricted choice also applies to South on this deal. Most
(c) A1042	3	players would randomly pick from the $\bigstar 2$ , 3 or 4, a 'small card'
(d) A1032	4	(I actually played the $\bigstar$ 3). So in reality the odds are indeed 3-1
		that North holds A10xx and South a 'small card'.

So then, as it's 3-1 on that North has A10xx, should declarer play a small cards from East? Not necessarily so! This is the point that the author is trying to make. If North understands restricted choice then he too will choose randomly from the A and his two small A's. The author maintains that a good defender will play low 'over two thirds of the time' and so when he does indeed play the A it is just as likely to be from A10xx as to be singleton.

That is true (if West indeed understands restricted choice and does frequently duck) and so an ace appearing from a West of this calibre is then evens of being singleton.

I believe that the author's claim that you should play the AQ is incorrect and I agree with the Hugh Kelsey and the other authors. Playing the AQ is at best a 50% shot and if North is a non-expert and likely to frequently play the ace from A10xx it is definitely inferior.

The bottom lines: -

- A bit too complex for a simple guy like me. Restricted choice is a very complicated can of worms "that can be confusing" (excuse the pun). It really is beyond the scope of the news-sheets.

- It would have been an easier problem, and perhaps more interesting, if the ♠8 in the East hand was exchanged with a low ♠ from the South hand (so problem B, which is my concoction). Declarer then does have to play the ♠Q at trick four as he cannot pick up an original ♠A108x with North but can pick up an original 108xx with South.
- And what happened at the Pattaya bridge club? Everybody made 11 tricks. Just one bid slam and went down; three made an overtrick in 4♠ and one made +2 in 3NT.
- Perhaps I should continue to place the emphasis on the bidding. Dave and his partner were the only ones to bid to 6♠. The sequence 1NT 2♣ 2♠ 4♣(ace ask) 4♥ 6♠ seems automatic to me and Dave and 6♠ only fails because of the bad 4-1 split.

So my (possibly rubbish) answers to the two problems are: Problem A - a low  $\bigstar$ . Problem B - the  $\bigstar$ Q.

<u>Support P</u>	<b>upport Partner</b> Board 22 fro			m Friday 27 <sup>tt</sup>					
Dealer: East E-W vul	<ul> <li>★ KQ108643</li> <li>♥ Q</li> <li>◆ AQ92</li> <li>★ 3</li> </ul>				<u>Table A</u> West - pass pass	Nor - 1♠ 4♠	(1)	East pass pass all pass	South 1♥ 2♦
<ul> <li>▲ J52</li> <li>♥ K62</li> <li>♦ 106</li> <li>♦ K10984</li> </ul>	N ♠ A97 W E ♥ J1074 S ♠ J7 ↓ ♣ J765 ♠ - ♥ A9853 ♠ K8543 ♣ AQ2			Table B West - pass pass pass	Nor - 1♠ 3♠ pass	(1) $(1)$ (1) $(1)$ $(2)$	East pass pass pass	South 1♥ 2♦ 3NT	
<u>'Expert Ta</u> West -	<u>ble'</u> North(A)	East pass	Sou 1♥	th	<u>Table C</u> West - pass	Nor - 1♠	th(A)	East pass pass	South 1♥ 2♦
pass pass pass pass	1♠ 4♣ (1) 4NT (6) pass (8)	pass pass pass pass	2♦ 4♥ 6♦	(5) (7)	pass pass	4 <b>♣</b> 6 <b>♠</b>	(1) (4)	pass	4♠ (3)
Table A: Table B:	<ul><li>(1) What c reasons</li><li>(1) This No.</li></ul>	lid you b s – (a) p orth's b	oid with oartner n id was e	this North nay have no ven worse	hand A in this $\Delta \triangleq$ support, $- 3 \triangleq$ is not for	s wee and (land)	k's qu o) 6♦ n	iz? This is a nay be on.	a poor bid for two
Table C:	<ul> <li>(2) North could recover by bidding ◆'s now – but it's messy.</li> <li>(1) This North correctly thought that slam was on. 4♣ was apparently Gerber (I do not recommend this, but then I would not ask for aces anyway).</li> <li>(3) South later said that he should have bid 5♣ - one level higher – to show two aces and a void. This is not an efficient method to show a void (which could either be ♣'s or ♠'s). There is a page on the website that tells you how to sensibly show voids in response to Blackwood.</li> <li>(4) With a known 4-4 or better ♦ fit this North decided to bid slam in a suit in which partner is</li> </ul>								
'Expert' Table:	<ul> <li>(4) With a known 4-4 or better ◆ fit this North decided to bid slam in a suit in which partner is probably short.</li> <li>(1) This is the best bid and my answer to question A. A splinter, agreeing ◆'s and showing ♣ shortage with definite slam interest. 3♣, 4<sup>th</sup> suit, is a good alternative.</li> <li>(5) A cue bid, so showing slam interest.</li> <li>(6) RKCB.</li> <li>(7) 1 or 3 keycards and a ♠ void. A void in partner's suit is not normally an asset, but South is going to slam and so it's best to show the shape. 5♣ is the alternative.</li> <li>(8) The ♠ void opposite does not improve North's hand and so he settles for the small slam.</li> </ul>								

And what happened? The board was played just these three times. The comfortable 6 was missed at every table and so the inelegant 4 +1 scored the top. The bottom lines: -

- Support partner.
- In suit contracts 4. is often needed as a cue bid or a splinter and should not be used as the ace ask.

### **Bidding Quiz Answers**

- Hand A: 4♣, a splinter agreeing ♦'s, showing ♣ shortage and slam interest. 3♣ (4<sup>th</sup> suit forcing) is also a very reasonable option; this would enable you to look for a higher scoring ♣ slam should partner come up with belated support (maybe a doubleton). Charging into any ♠ contract is simply being a bull in a china shop.
- Hand B: (a) 1♠. I will not open 1NT with two doubletons unless they both have top honours.
  (b) pass. It's fairly close between pass and making a game try (3♦) but I don't think it's quite good enough you run the risk of going one (or two) down in 3♠ when partner has a poor hand. This sequence highlights just one of the advantages of playing 2/1 when I would bid 3♦ as a direct 2♠ bid is constructive when playing 2/1.

### **Bidding Sequence Quiz Answers**

С	1NT 2♠	pass pass	2 <b>♣</b> 4 <b>♣</b>	pass	4♣ is asking for aces. You can play it as a keycard ask by agreement
D	1♥ 2♦	pass pass	1 <b>▲</b> 4 <b>♣</b>	pass	4♣ is a splinter agreeing ♦'s, showing ♣ shortage and looking for slam
E	1 <b>♣</b> 1♥	pass pass	1♦ 1 <b>♦</b>	pass	This is up to partner ship agreement. Most play it as natural.
F	1 <b>♣</b> 1♥	pass pass	1♦ 2♠	pass	This depends upon your answer your (E). If $1 \bigstar$ would be natural then $2 \bigstar$ presumably has to be $4^{th}$ suit forcing.

### 

- ♦ KJ52 You hold this hand and partner opens 1♣. Unless you are a Walsh player
- ♥ 62 (which I don't really want to go into as Lewis is the only club member other
- K8754 than myself who plays Walsh) then you will respond  $1 \bullet$ . Partner then bids  $1 \heartsuit$ ,
- ♣ 84 but he could easily be 4-4 in the majors and so you have to bid 1♠, natural.

So if you do not play Walsh, you need  $1 \triangleq$  in this sequence as natural. If you play Walsh then  $1 \triangleq$  would be forcing (probably to game) and you can play it as either natural or 'maybe natural'.

Having agreed that  $1 \clubsuit - 1 \bigstar - 1 \bigstar - 1 \bigstar$  needs to be natural, many players agree that sequence (F),  $1 \clubsuit - 1 \bigstar - 1 \bigstar - 2 \bigstar$  is  $4^{th}$  suit forcing.